

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# High-order-harmonic generation of vibrating $H_{2}^{+}$ and $D_{2}^{+}$

Dmitry A. Telnov, John Heslar, and Shih-I Chu Phys. Rev. A **95**, 043425 — Published 26 April 2017 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.95.043425 2

5

6

7

8

ç

## High harmonic generation of vibrating $H_2^+$ and $D_2^+$

Dmitry A. Telnov,<sup>1,\*</sup> John Heslar,<sup>2,†</sup> and Shih-I Chu<sup>2,3,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State University,

7-9 Universitetskaya nab., St. Petersburg 199034, Russia

<sup>2</sup>Center for Quantum Science and Engineering, Department of Physics,

National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045

(Dated: April 12, 2017)

We study the isotope effect on high harmonic generation (HHG) of vibrating  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  molecular ions aligned parallel to the polarization of the laser field. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation is solved accurately and efficiently by the time-dependent generalized pseudospectral and Fourier grid methods in three spatial coordinates, one of them being the internuclear separation and the other two describing the electronic motion. The laser pulses have the carrier wavelength of 800 nm and duration of 10 or 16 optical cycles. The peak intensities used in the calculations are  $2 \times 10^{14}$  and  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>. The effect of nuclear vibration is visible in both  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  but more pronounced in the lighter  $H_2^+$  molecule. A striking difference from the fixed nuclei case is a total disappearance of the traditional plateau in the HHG spectrum at the higher intensity and significant redshift of the harmonic peaks in the central part of the spectrum. These phenomena are explained based on the analysis of the dynamics of the nuclear vibrational wave packet.

### I. INTRODUCTION

High harmonic generation (HHG) is a fundamental 10 <sup>11</sup> strong-field process in atoms, molecules, and solids at-<sup>12</sup> tracting much attention due to its applications in science <sup>13</sup> and technology [1]. Closely related to HHG is generation of attosecond pulses [2, 3] opening a road to the at-14 tosecond optics [4]. Other applications of HHG include 15 ultrafast spectroscopy and molecular imaging [5, 6], a 16 possible tool for probing the electronic and nuclear mo-17 tion. Usually, the conversion efficiency of HHG the pho-18 ton energies above the ionization threshold of the target 19 atom or molecule (above-threshold harmonics) is quite 20 low (of the order  $10^{-6}$ ), thus a growing attention is at-21 tracted recently to near- and below-threshold harmonics 22 as a potential high-average-power light source in the vac-23 uum ultraviolet band [7–10] (see also recent review [11] 24 an references therein). 25

The basic mechanisms and theoretical foundations of 26 HHG are well understood since the work of Corkum [12] 27 and Lewenstein et al. [13]. Emission of the harmonic ra-28 diation is caused by the electronic motion, which is gen-29 erally much faster than the nuclear motion in molecules, 30 therefore neglecting the nuclear degrees of freedom seems 31 to be a reasonable approach. The fixed nuclei approxima-32 33 tion has been used in many theoretical studies of molec-34 ular HHG (see, for example, [14–17]). Within this approximation, such important phenomena as two-center 35 <sup>36</sup> interference and dependence of the HHG signal on the <sup>37</sup> orientation of the molecular axis with respect to the polarization of the laser field have been successfully studied 38 [15, 18, 19]. On the other hand, the time scale of the 39

<sup>40</sup> nuclear vibration can be as short as 10-20 fs and is com-<sup>41</sup> parable to the duration of driving laser pulses, thus the <sup>42</sup> nuclear dynamics during the interaction of the molecule <sup>43</sup> with the laser field can influence the electronic processes <sup>44</sup> such as HHG and multiphoton ionization. This influence <sup>45</sup> can be significant as confirmed experimentally [20–26].

46 Due to the extra degrees of freedom, even simplest di-47 atomic molecules show considerably more complicated <sup>48</sup> response to strong fields than that of atoms and pose 49 additional challenge to the theory. Accurate ab ini-50 tio treatment of all electronic and nuclear degrees of <sup>51</sup> freedom is still beyond the capabilities of modern com-52 putational equipment even for one-electron diatomic <sup>53</sup> molecules. Most of the existing theoretical and compu-54 tational studies on molecular HHG that treat both elec-55 tronic and nuclear motion are based on simplified models <sup>56</sup> involving the Born–Oppenheimer approximation [27–30] 57 (for consistent formulation of the time-dependent Born-Oppenheimer approximation, see Refs. [31, 32]) or re-<sup>59</sup> duced dimensionality [33–35]. The theories of Refs. [29] 60 and [30] treat the harmonic yield as sum of contributions <sup>61</sup> corresponding to different internuclear separations and weighted by the nuclear wave packet distribution. It was 62 observed that the harmonic signal is very sensitive to the bond length [29], so an accurate description of the nuclear 64 65 dynamics including non-adiabatic effects is important. One of the early studies beyond the Born–Oppenheimer 66 <sup>67</sup> approximation [36] reported the HHG spectra of disso- $_{68}$  ciating  $H_2^+$  at the driving laser wavelength 600 nm and 69 indicated that the dominant contribution to the HHG <sup>70</sup> signal comes from large internuclear distances. A model <sup>71</sup> that incorporates the internal vibrational degrees of free-<sup>72</sup> dom in molecules was presented in Refs. [37, 38] and ap- $_{73}$  plied to description of HHG processes in SF<sub>6</sub>. A theory 74 of short-time nuclear autocorrelation functions in poly-75 atomic molecules [39, 40] was used to explain the iso-76 tope and non-adiabatic coupling effects. Another the-

<sup>\*</sup> d.telnov@spbu.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> john.heslar@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> sichu@ku.edu

78 79 80 82 [43], respectively. More recently, theoretical investiga- 138 as follows [47]: 83 <sup>84</sup> tions [44–51] confirmed that HHG in vibrating molecules <sup>85</sup> may be very different from that in the fixed nuclei ap-<sup>86</sup> proximation and pointed out some interesting phenomena such as a redshift in the HHG spectra [44, 46] and 87 possible generation of even harmonics [48, 49, 51]. 88

In this paper, we study the isotope effect on HHG of 89 vibrating  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  molecular ions aligned parallel to 90 the polarization of the laser field. Our approach treats 91 the electronic motion in full dimensionality and includes 92 non-adiabatically the effect of nuclear vibration. Only 93 the nuclear rotation is neglected but its effect may not be 140 94 very important for aligned molecules on the femtosecond 95 <sup>96</sup> time scale. Our calculations reveal qualitative differences of the HHG spectra due to the nuclear motion, such as 97 transformation of the HHG plateau and redshift of the  $_{141}$  In Eqs. (2) and (3),  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are the prolate spheroidal 98 99 100 101 the vertical ionization potential, which depends on the  $_{145}$  mass, respectively, and  $\mu$  is the reduced electron mass: 102 internuclear distance and varies during the interaction of 103 the molecule with the laser pulse. 104

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly 105  $_{106}$  discuss our theoretical and computational approach; a  $_{146}$  Notation F(t) stands for the electric field strength of the detailed description of the method can be found in our 147 laser field. 107  $_{108}$  previous paper [47]. In Sec. III we present our results  $_{148}$ <sup>109</sup> regarding the dynamics of the nuclear wave packet and <sup>110</sup> HHG spectra, compare the behavior of  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$ , and <sup>111</sup> provide an in depth discussion of the key phenomena. <sup>112</sup> Sec. IV contains concluding remarks.

#### 113

#### II. METHOD

Detailed description of our theoretical and computa-114 115 116 117 Jacobi coordinates are the relative radius vector of the 118 <sup>119</sup> nuclei  $(\mathbf{R})$ , the radius vector of the electron with respect 120 to the center of mass of the nuclei (r), and the radius vector of the center of mass of the whole system  $(\mathbf{R}_{c})$ . First, 121 the center-of-mass motion can be separated, thus only the 122 coordinates R and r are remaining. Second, in the lin-123 early polarized laser field, we consider only the molecules 124 aligned parallel to the polarization vector of the field and 125 neglect the nuclear rotation. Then the direction of the 126 127 128 <sup>129</sup> vibration. Third, for the laser field polarized along the <sup>130</sup> molecular axis (let us denote it z-axis), the projection  $m_z$ <sup>131</sup> of the electron angular momentum on the molecular axis

77 oretical framework for HHG in complex molecules and 132 is conserved, and the electronic coordinate describing roclusters [41] is based on the time-dependent density func- 133 tation about z-axis can be eliminated. The remaining tional theory and includes the effect of nuclear dynam- 134 problem is 3D (one nuclear and two electronic degrees of ics as well as nonadiabatic couplings between the elec- 135 freedom). The total Hamiltonian, including the kinetic tronic states. The isotope effects in water and ammo-<sup>136</sup> energy operator and interaction with the laser field in the nia molecules were studied numerically in Refs. [42] and 137 dipole approximation (length gauge) can be represented

139

$$H(t) = H_0 + V(t),$$
 (1)

$$H_{0} = -\frac{1}{M} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}} + \frac{2}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right] + \frac{1}{R}$$
$$-\frac{2}{\mu R^{2}} \frac{1}{(\xi^{2} - \eta^{2})} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\xi^{2} - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (1 - \eta^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right] - \frac{m_{z}^{2}}{\xi^{2} - 1} - \frac{m_{z}^{2}}{1 - \eta^{2}} - \frac{4\xi}{R(\xi^{2} - \eta^{2})},$$

$$V(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{m}{2M+m} \right) F(t) R\xi \eta.$$
(3)

harmonic peaks, as compared to the fixed nuclei case.  $_{142}$  coordinates of the electron radius vector r (with the foci We analyze these phenomena and compare the effect of 143 of the prolate spheroidal coordinate system situated on nuclear vibration in  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$ , emphasizing the role of  $_{144}$  the nuclei), M and m are the single nucleus and electron

$$\mu = \frac{2Mm}{2M+m}.\tag{4}$$

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\xi,\eta,R,t) = H(t)\Psi(\xi,\eta,R,t)$$
(5)

149 for the Hamiltonian (1) is solved using the time-<sup>150</sup> dependent generalized pseudospectral method (TDGPS) <sup>151</sup> [52]. The coordinates  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are discretized with the <sup>152</sup> help of the generalized pseudospectral (GPS) method, <sup>153</sup> applying the Gauss-Legendre set of collocation points <sup>154</sup> for  $\eta$  and Gauss-Radau set for  $\xi$  [19, 53]. For distional approach is given elsewhere [47]. Here we briefly 155 cretization of the R coordinate, we apply the Fourier outline the key points. We use Jacobi coordinates to de- 156 grid (FG) method [54]. For the time evolution of the scribe one-electron diatomic systems  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$ . The <sup>157</sup> wave function, we employ the following split-operator, 158 second-order short-time propagation formula:

$$\Psi(t + \Delta t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\Delta t H_0\right)$$
$$\times \exp\left(-i\Delta t V(t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t)\right) \tag{6}$$
$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\Delta t H_0\right)\Psi(t).$$

vector R (molecular axis) is fixed in space, and only its 159 Here  $\Delta t$  is the time propagation step. The operator length (the internuclear distance R) describes the nuclear  $_{160} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\Delta t H_0\right)$  is constructed by the spectral expansion:

$$\exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\Delta t H_0\right) = \sum_n \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\Delta t E_n\right) |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n| \quad (7)$$

TABLE I. Low-lying vibrational eigenvalues of  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$ (a.u.). (A) Present calculations for aligned molecules with no rotation. (B) Fully non-adiabatic rovibrational eigenvalues for the total angular momentum J = 0 [55].

205

215

	$\mathrm{H}_2^+$		$D_2^+$	
v	А	В	А	В
0	-0.59723	-0.59714	-0.59883	-0.59879
1	-0.58725	-0.58716	-0.59165	-0.59160
2	-0.57785	-0.57775	-0.58485	-0.58471
3	-0.56899	-0.56891	-0.57816	-0.57811
4	-0.56064	-0.56061	-0.57107	-0.57179
5	-0.55297	-0.55284	-0.56695	-0.56574

<sup>162</sup> respectively, of the unperturbed Hamiltonian  $H_0$  (2). <sup>217</sup> tion for the Hamiltonian  $H_0$  (2) and obtain the unper-<sup>163</sup> In practical calculations, the summation in (7) includes <sup>218</sup> turbed energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $H_2^+$  and <sup>164</sup> all eigenvectors with the energies  $E_n < E_b$  where the <sup>219</sup>  $D_2^+$ . The low-lying energy eigenvalues are listed in Table I <sup>165</sup> upper limit  $E_b$  should be large enough to describe all <sup>220</sup> (the results for  $H_2^+$  were previously reported in Ref. [47]). 166 167 168 170 171  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>, this value of  $E_b$  is approximately equal <sup>227</sup> propagator in Eq. (7). <sup>173</sup> to  $15U_p$  ( $U_p$  is the ponderomotive potential), that is <sup>228</sup> The laser pulse F(t) used in our calculations has a <sup>174</sup> well in excess of the expected cutoff energy of the HHG <sup>229</sup> sin<sup>2</sup> envelope with the carrier wavelength 800 nm. We 175 spectrum. For the given  $\Delta t$ , the propagator matrix 230 report here the results for the total durations of 10 opti-176  $_{177}$  once before the propagation process starts. The matrix  $_{232}$  respectively], and peak intensities  $2 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and 179 180 181 182 183 184 energy matrix elements is required). 185

The problem is solved in the box with the linear di-186 mension of  $r_b = 43$  a.u. for the electronic motion. The 187 box size must be large enough to accommodate electron 188 excursions in the laser field (the excursion amplitude does 189 not exceed 29 a.u. for the chosen field parameters); on 190 the other hand, it should be kept as small as possible to 191 make the calculations more accurate with the same num-192 ber of grid points. We performed several tests in the 2D 193 fixed-nuclei computational scheme (which requires much  $_{244}$  where c is the speed of light. The time-dependent dipole 194 195 <sup>196</sup> that  $r_b = 43$  a.u. is enough for convergence of the HHG <sup>246</sup> fest theorem. Upon transformation to the Jacobi coordispectra up to the harmonic order 65, that is the cutoff re- 247 nates, one obtains [47]: 197 gion at R = 2 a.u. and intensity  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>. In our 198 3D calculations, the internuclear distance R is restricted 199 to the interval [0.75, 8.75], which is large enough to con-200 tain the nuclear wave packet during the laser pulse. To 201 <sup>202</sup> achieve sufficient accuracy, we use 96 grid points in  $\xi$ , 24 203 grid points in  $\eta$ , and 31 grid points in R (the total linear

<sup>204</sup> dimension of the Hamiltonian matrix is 71424). In the present work, we use 4096 time steps per optical cycle; <sup>206</sup> this is enough to achieve convergence for the wavelength 207 and intensity used in the calculations. In intense laser 208 fields, ionization can be significant. In our present calcu-209 lations, the ionized parts of the electronic wave packet are 210 collected by an absorbing layer placed near the bound- $_{211}$  ary  $r_b$ . Because of the absorber, the normalization of the <sup>212</sup> wave function decreases in time. The ionization prob-<sup>213</sup> ability can be calculated from the normalization of the <sup>214</sup> wave function at the end of the laser pulse.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

<sup>161</sup> where  $\psi_n$  and  $E_n$  are the eigenvectors and eigenvalues, <sup>216</sup> First, we solve the time-independent Schrödinger equarelevant physical processes. With the control of high- 221 As one can see, our energies are in good agreement with energy contributions to the propagator matrix, we can 222 the corresponding rovibrational eigenvalues for the total avoid population of physically irrelevant regions of the  $^{223}$  angular momentum J = 0 from the accurate variational energy spectrum and improve numerical stability of the 224 calculations [55] but slightly lower since we do not include computations. In the present work, we use  $E_b=10$  a.u.<sup>225</sup> the rotational degrees of freedom. Then the eigenvalues For the carrier wavelength 800 nm and peak intensity <sup>226</sup> and eigenfunctions are used to construct the short-time

 $\exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\Delta t H_0\right)$  is time-independent and constructed only <sup>231</sup> cal cycles (o.c.) and 16 o.c. [that is, 26.7 fs and 42.7 fs,  $\exp\left(-i\Delta t V(t+\frac{1}{2}\Delta t)\right)$  is time-dependent and must be <sup>233</sup>  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>. With the total time-dependent electron calculated at each time step. However, for the interac-  $^{234}$  and nuclear wave function  $\Psi(\xi,\eta,R,t)$  we can calculate tion with the laser field in the length gauge, this matrix is <sup>235</sup> the spectrum of emitted harmonics and analyze the modiagonal in both the GPS and FG methods, and its cal- 236 tion of the nuclear wave packet. The HHG spectra are culation is not time-consuming (all potential terms are 237 calculated according to the widely used semiclassical aprepresented by their values on the coordinate grid and <sup>238</sup> proach, where the basic expressions come from the clasappear as diagonal matrices; no calculation of potential 239 sical electrodynamics but the classical quantities such as <sup>240</sup> dipole moment and its acceleration are replaced with the <sup>241</sup> corresponding quantum expectation values. The spectral <sup>242</sup> density of the radiation energy is given by the following <sup>243</sup> expression [56]:

$$S(\omega) = \frac{2}{3\pi c^3} |\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\omega)|^2, \qquad (8)$$

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ \boldsymbol{a}(t) \exp(i\omega t), \tag{9}$$

less computer memory and time) varying  $r_b$  and found 245 acceleration a(t) is calculated with the help of the Ehren-

$$\boldsymbol{a}(t) = -\frac{M+m}{Mm} \left\langle \Psi \left| \nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}} \left[ \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{R}|} + \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{r} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{R}|} \right] \right| \Psi \right\rangle + \frac{M+2m}{Mm} \boldsymbol{F}(t).$$
(10)



FIG. 1. (Color online) HHG spectra of  $H_2^+$  (left) and  $D_2^+$ (right) initially in the ground state (v = 0) for the peak intensity of the laser field  $2 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> (upper panels) and  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> (lower panels). Pulse duration is 10 optical cycles. Red dashed line in all panels shows the spectrum for the nuclei fixed at R = 2 a.u.



FIG. 2. (Color online) HHG spectra of  $H_2^+$  (left) and  $D_2^+$ (right) initially in the ground state (v = 0) for the peak intensity of the laser field  $2 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> (upper panels) and  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> (lower panels). Pulse duration is 16 optical cycles. Red dashed line in all panels shows the spectrum for the nuclei fixed at R = 2 a.u.

248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257  $_{258}$  longer laser pulse. According to the well-known semi-  $_{313}$  tion  $T_{\rm n} = 2\pi/\omega_{\rm n}$ , where the frequency  $\omega_{\rm n}$  is calculated as  $_{259}$  classical recollision model [12], the cutoff of the HHG  $_{314}$  a difference between the energies of the levels with v = 1spectrum is expected at the photon energy  $I_p + 3.17U_p$  and v = 0 (see Table I). For  $D_2^+$ ,  $T_n \approx 21$  fs. The ratio

where  $I_p$  is the ionization potential of the target. For 261 the vertical ionization potential at R = 2 a.u. and peak intensities  $2 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>, the 263 cutoff energy corresponds to the harmonic orders 44 and 264 56, respectively. As one can see, the HHG spectra for 265 the fixed nuclei show sharp cutoffs in fair agreement 266 with the semiclassical prediction. However, the cutoffs 267 are rather smooth in the case of vibrating nuclei. The 268  $_{269}$  most striking difference can be seen in  $\rm H_2^+$  at the higher  $_{270}$  peak intensity  $3\times 10^{14}~\rm W/cm^2$ : the traditional plateau in the HHG spectrum totally disappears, and the spectrum 271 manifests monotonously decreasing pattern. In  $D_2^+$ , this 272 phenomenon is less pronounced, although the plateau be-273 comes narrower compared with the fixed nuclei case for 274 the same laser pulse parameters. Another observation 275 from Figs. 1 and 2 is that the HHG signal from the vibrating molecules is considerably stronger everywhere in the spectrum except for the cutoff region where the situ-278 ation is reversed. The difference is larger for higher peak 279 intensities and longer pulse durations. In the low-energy 280 281 and central parts of the spectrum, it is increased from  $_{282}$  1-2 orders of magnitude for the  $2 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 o.c. <sub>283</sub> pulse to 3-4 orders of magnitude for the  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>, 284 16 o.c. pulse.

Certainly, the significant difference between the HHG 285 spectra of vibrating molecules and that calculated in the 286 287 fixed nuclei approximation is related to the nuclear motion and its influence on the electronic degrees of freedom. That is why it is instructive to study the dynamics 289  $_{290}$  of the nuclei in  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  subject to the laser field. The <sup>291</sup> probability density of the nuclear wave packet  $\rho_n(R, t)$  is <sup>292</sup> obtained from the total wave function upon integration <sup>293</sup> over the electronic coordinates:

$$\rho_{\rm n}(R,t) = \int d^3 r |\Psi(\boldsymbol{r},R,t)|^2.$$
 (11)

The time evolution of the nuclear wave packet is shown 294 <sup>295</sup> in Figs. 3 and 4 for the pulse durations 10 and 16 o.c., re-296 spectively. As one can see, when the laser field is switched on, the nuclear wave packet remains strongly localized 297 around the equilibrium distance R = 2 a.u. until the 298 field almost reaches its peak intensity. For the 10 o.c. 299 300 pulse, noticeable changes begin at about 4 o.c., for the 301 16 o.c. pulse it happens at about 7 o.c.; thus the nuclear <sup>302</sup> vibration mainly takes places in the second half of the In Figs. 1 (10 o.c. pulse) and 2 (16 o.c. pulse), we 303 laser pulse. For the 10 o.c. pulse, a half cycle of vibrashow the HHG spectra  $S(\omega)$  for H<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> and D<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> initially in <sup>304</sup> tion is complete by the end of the pulse: the nuclear wave the ground state v = 0. Also shown are the spectra 305 packet goes back to the equilibrium distance for  $D_2^+$  and obtained in the fixed nuclei approximation at the inter- 306 even smaller distances for H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>. For the 16 o.c. pulse, nuclear distance R = 2 a.u., which is close to the equilib- 307 one can also see the second half cycle of the vibration rium internuclear separation for both  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$ . The 308 motion, when the wave packet reaches the smallest in-HHG spectra for the pulse durations 10 and 16 o.c. gen-  $_{309}$  ternuclear separation for both  $D_2^+$  and  $H_2^+$ ; then it goes erally resemble each other for the same target and peak  $_{310}$  again to larger distances for  $H_2^+$ . The nuclear vibration is intensity. As expected, the absolute value of the signal is  $_{311}$  faster for H<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>; the vibration period  $T_n$  of the nuclear wave larger and the harmonic peaks are more distinct for the 312 packet can be estimated as 15 fs, according to the equa-



FIG. 3. (Color online) Time-dependent nuclear density distribution of  $H_2^+$  (left) and  $D_2^+$  (right) initially in the ground state (v = 0) for the peak intensity of the laser field  $2 \times 10^{14}$  $W/cm^2$  (upper panels) and  $3 \times 10^{14} W/cm^2$  (lower panels). Pulse duration is 10 optical cycles.

 $_{316}$  of the vibration periods of  $D_2^+$  and  $H_2^+$  calculated using 317 the eigenvalue data from Table I (approximately equal 318 to  $\sqrt{2}$ ) is in a full agreement with that based on the nu-<sup>319</sup> clear mass ratio. The vibration amplitude and spreading  $_{320}$  of the nuclear wave packet is larger for  $H_2^+$  for the same 321 peak intensity and pulse duration. At the higher peak  $_{322}$  intensity  $3\times 10^{14}~{\rm W/cm^2},$  one can clearly see a substan-<sup>323</sup> tial portion of the nuclear wave packet corresponding to dissociation for both pulse durations (Figs. 3 and 4). For 324  $D_2^+$ , the dissociation is only visible for the 16 o.c. pulse 325 (Fig. 4), and it is weaker than for  $H_2^+$ . 326

327 <sup>328</sup> rameter that affects HHG in vibrating molecules, as was <sup>353</sup> ders of magnitude larger than the ionization probability 329 <sup>330</sup> course of laser-induced nuclear vibration the molecule <sup>355</sup> step model of HHG [12], larger probability of ionization 331 332 333 334  $_{335}$  the ground electronic state  $(1\sigma_q)$  is monotonously de-  $_{360}$  the nuclei fixed at the equilibrium distance. However, 336 creasing with increasing internuclear separation, varying 361 as one can see from Figs. 3 and 4, the nuclear vibration  $I_{p} = 2$  a.u. at R = 0 to  $I_{p} = 0.5$  a.u. at  $R \to \infty$ .  $I_{p} = 0.5$  a.u. at  $R \to \infty$ .



FIG. 4. (Color online) Time-dependent nuclear density distribution of  $H_2^+$  (left) and  $D_2^+$  (right) initially in the ground state (v = 0) for the peak intensity of the laser field  $2 \times 10^{14}$  $W/cm^2$  (upper panels) and  $3 \times 10^{14} W/cm^2$  (lower panels). Pulse duration is 16 optical cycles.

<sup>340</sup> the fixed nuclei approximation). In the tunneling ioniza-<sup>341</sup> tion regime, which is established in intense near-infrared 342 and mid-infrared laser fields, smaller ionization potential <sup>343</sup> means larger ionization probability. Because of the high 344 non-linearity of the process, even small variations of the 345 ionization potential can result in dramatic changes of the 346 ionization probability. In Table II, we report the ioniza- $_{347}$  tion probabilities of vibrating  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  after 16 o.c.  $_{348}$  laser pulses with the peak intensities  $2 \times 10^{14}$  and  $3 \times 10^{14}$  $_{349}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and compare them with the corresponding ion-350 ization probabilities in the fixed nuclei approximation at  $_{351} R = 2$  a.u. As once can see, ionization probability of  $H_2^+$ The vertical ionization potential is an important pa- $_{352}$  is larger than that of  $D_2^+$ , and both of them are 2 to 3 ordiscussed previously [34]. As Figs. 3 and 4 show, in the  $_{354}$  for the nuclei fixed at R = 2 a.u. According to the threespends a substantial amount of time in stretched configu- 356 at the first step means enhanced emission of harmonic rations, where the internuclear distance is larger than the 357 radiation at the final step of the process. Based on this equilibrium value of R = 2 a.u. In the adiabatic Born- 358 simple picture, one can generally expect a higher HHG Oppenheimer picture, the vertical ionization potential of <sup>359</sup> signal from vibrating molecules compared with that for At the equilibrium distance R = 2 a.u., it is equal to  $_{363}$  when the peak intensity is already passed and the laser  $_{339}$  1.103 a.u. (there is no difference between  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  in  $_{364}$  field becomes weaker with time. Hence there must be

TABLE II. Ionization probabilities of  ${\rm H}_2^+$  and  ${\rm D}_2^+$  after 16 optical cycles laser pulse.

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Peak\ intensity} \\ {\rm (W/cm^2)} \end{array}$	Fixed nuclei $R = 2$ a.u.	Vibrating $H_2^+$	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\times10^{14} \\ 3\times10^{14} \end{array}$	$3.04 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.13 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.64 \times 10^{-4}$
	$8.17 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.91 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.16 \times 10^{-3}$



FIG. 5. (Color online) Time-frequency dipole acceleration SST spectrum of  $H_2^+$  initially in the vibrational states v = 0, for the peak intensity of the laser field  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and pulse duration 10 optical cycles. The horizontal yellow line shows vertical ionization potential at R = 2 a.u.

<sup>365</sup> an interplay between the decreasing ionization potential
<sup>366</sup> and decreasing instantaneous intensity of the field. Be<sup>367</sup> sides that, the smaller ionization potential means smaller
<sup>368</sup> cutoff energy, that is suppressed high-energy part of the
<sup>369</sup> HHG spectrum. All these factors affect HHG in vibrating
<sup>370</sup> molecules.

A deeper insight into the mechanisms involved can be 373 obtained from the time-frequency analysis of the dipole 374 acceleration. In the present study, we use the syn-375 chrosqueezing transform (SST) [57], which can reveal 376 more fine structures in the data than the traditional 377 wavelet transform. Previously SST was successfully ap-378 plied for analysis of the dynamical origin of below- and 379 near-threshold harmonics in Cs [58] and  $H_2^+$  [59]. Below 380 we shall use the case of  $H_2^+$  in the field with the peak

 $_{381}$  intensity  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and pulse duration 10 o.c. as 382 an example which manifests general properties of HHG in vibrating molecules. The SST of the dipole accelera-383 tion in this case is presented in Fig. 5. As one can see, 384 both below- and above-threshold harmonics (the ionization threshold at R = 2 a.u. is marked with the yellow 386 horizontal line in Fig. 5) are mostly emitted in the second 387 half of the laser pulse, within the time interval 6 to 8 o.c. 388 An exception is made by harmonics in the cutoff region 389 (harmonic orders 55 to 63). These harmonics are emitted 390 in the center of the pulse where the instantaneous inten-391 sity is close to its peak value. The SST analysis of the 392 dipole acceleration at different peak intensities and pulse 393 durations for both  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  produces patterns similar to that seen in Fig. 5. The time-frequency SST analy-394 395 sis thus confirms that majority of the harmonics (except 396 397 for the cutoff region) are emitted by vibrating molecules in stretched configurations when the vertical ionization 398 potential is lower than that at the equilibrium internu-399 clear separation. Lower ionization potential favors ion-400 ization, which is the first step of the HHG process ac-401 cording to the three-step model [12], hence the harmonic 402 403 radiation is enhanced compared with the case of the nuclei fixed at R = 2 a.u. Harmonics in the cutoff region 404 for the given laser pulse parameters can be efficiently 405 produced when the instantaneous intensity is close to its 406 peak value and vertical ionization potential is still close 407 to the equilibrium value (the cutoff energy is equal to 408  $1.103 + 3.17U_p$  where 1.103 is the vertical ionization po-409 tential at R = 2 a.u.). For stretched molecules with low 410 vertical ionization potentials, such harmonics lie beyond 411 <sup>412</sup> the cutoff for the particular stretched configuration and <sup>413</sup> are strongly suppressed. Consequently, the condition for 414 efficient generation of the cutoff harmonics in vibrating <sup>415</sup> molecules is satisfied for shorter period of time than in <sup>416</sup> molecules with the nuclei fixed at the equilibrium inter-<sup>417</sup> nuclear distance. That is why the HHG signal in the 418 cutoff region is stronger in the fixed nuclei approxima- $_{419}$  tion; for vibrating molecules, it is stronger in  $D_2^+$  than  $_{420}$  in H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> since the nuclei move slower in D<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>. It was a dis- $_{421}$  cussion in the literature [22, 33] that the HHG signal is <sup>422</sup> generally stronger in heavier isotopes because of a slower 423 nuclear motion. The latter results in a more efficient re-<sup>424</sup> combination phase since the recombination amplitude is <sup>425</sup> proportional to the overlap between the initial and final nuclear parts of the molecular wave function that 426 evolves from the moment of ionization until the point of 427 <sup>428</sup> recollision [22]. The effect can be quantified through the <sup>429</sup> nuclear autocorrelation function [33]. It was experimen- $_{430}$  tally confirmed for the isotope pair H<sub>2</sub>/D<sub>2</sub> [23-26] and shown to increase towards higher harmonic orders (that <sup>432</sup> is, longer electron travel times between the ionization and <sup>433</sup> recombination), where the measured harmonic intensity  $_{434}$  in D<sub>2</sub> can be 2 to 3 times larger than the corresponding  $_{435}$  intensity in H<sub>2</sub>. Both the nuclear autocorrelation effect 436 and enhanced ionization are reflected in our calculated <sup>437</sup> HHG spectra, as seen in Figs. 1, 2, and 6. For the laser <sup>438</sup> pulse parameters used in the calculations, the nuclear



FIG. 6. (Color online) HHG spectra of  $H_2^+$  (solid blue line) and  $D_2^+$  (dashed green line) initially in the ground state (v = 0) for the peak intensity of the laser field  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and pulse duration 16 optical cycles. Black vertical lines indicate odd harmonic orders.

<sup>439</sup> autocorrelation mechanism can be dominant in the cut-<sup>440</sup> off region where the HHG signal in  $D_2^+$  exceeds that in <sup>441</sup>  $H_2^+$ . In the other parts of the spectrum, enhanced ion-<sup>442</sup> ization due to lower vertical ionization potential in the <sup>443</sup> stretched molecule is more important, thus the HHG sig-<sup>444</sup> nal in  $H_2^+$  is stronger than that in  $D_2^+$ , due to larger <sup>445</sup> vibration amplitude in  $H_2^+$ . For relatively long (10 or 16 <sup>446</sup> o.c.) laser pulses it is difficult to cleanly separate the nu-<sup>447</sup> clear autocorrelation and enhanced-ionization effects; for <sup>448</sup> this purpose, the pump-probe scheme with short pulses <sup>449</sup> [44] would be preferable.

Another phenomenon observed in the HHG spectra of 450 vibrating molecules is a redshift of the harmonic peaks. 451 In Fig. 6, we show the HHG spectra of  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  for 452 the peak intensity  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and pulse duration 16 o.c. Black vertical lines indicate odd harmonic orders 454 where one expects to see the peaks for the systems with 455 inversion symmetry. One can see in Fig. 6 that positions 456 of low-energy harmonic peaks (approximately, up to the 457 order 15) correspond to odd integer numbers with high 458 accuracy. However, higher harmonics exhibit a notice-<sup>460</sup> able systematic red shift, which becomes very significant for the harmonic orders 33 to 47 and can reach the photon 461 energy, so some harmonics in this range have positions 462 close to even harmonic orders. The redshift is decreasing 463 <sup>464</sup> in the cutoff region (harmonic orders 49 to 61). In the <sup>465</sup> central part of the spectrum (harmonic orders 33 to 47),  $_{466}$  the redshifts in  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  are comparable, but for lower  $_{467}$  and higher harmonics  $H_2^+$  exhibits larger shifts. At the <sup>468</sup> laser peak intensity  $2 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> (not shown in Fig. 6) the red shift is also present but smaller than that at the 469 intensity  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>. It is worth to note that in 470 the fixed nuclei approximation there is no redshift, and <sup>472</sup> all harmonic peaks correspond to odd integer harmonic orders. 473

<sup>474</sup> Previously the redshift in the molecular HHG spectra <sup>475</sup> was detected in the simulations of the pump-probe spec-

troscopy scheme [44] and manifested a dependence on the 476 delay time between the pump and probe pulses; it was 477 noted that the shift correlates with the sole contribution 478 of one electron return within one-half laser cycle. The 479 probe pulse in Ref. [44], however, was very short (5.3 fs 480 with the central wavelength 800 nm), thus discrete har-481 <sup>482</sup> monics are hardly seen in the spectra. For longer pulses, <sup>483</sup> an appealing explanation of the redshift comes as a fre-484 quency modulation of intercycle HHG dynamics on the 485 falling edge of the laser pulse [46] (see also discussion in Refs. [50, 51]). However, this mechanism is related solely 486 to the laser pulse shape and would lead to the redshift of 487 all the harmonics generated predominantly in the second 488 half of the laser pulse, including the low-energy harmon-489 ics where our calculations do not show any significant 490 changes in the positions of the harmonic peaks. Here 491 492 we suggest another mechanism behind the redshift in the HHG spectra of vibrating molecules that can contribute 493 to the total redshift along with the frequency modulation 494 <sup>495</sup> effect and explain different shifts of the harmonics in dif-<sup>496</sup> ferent parts of the spectrum. According to the three-step model of harmonic generation [12], there is a time delay 497 between the first (ionization) and third (recombination) 499 steps of the process; this time is required for the electron to return to the parental ion following either short <sup>501</sup> or long trajectory. As revealed by our analysis above, the <sup>502</sup> harmonics are predominantly generated in the part of the <sup>503</sup> laser pulse, when the nuclei are still moving apart and the vertical ionization potential is decreasing. That is why the energy released by the electron at the recombination 505 <sup>506</sup> time is smaller than that absorbed from the field at the <sup>507</sup> ionization time. In other words, the energy of the emit- $_{\rm 508}$  ted harmonic photon is less than the total energy of the <sup>509</sup> corresponding odd integer number of the carrier photons, <sup>510</sup> leading to the redshift of the peaks in the HHG spectrum. 511 Of course, one should realize that the discrete harmonic <sup>512</sup> spectrum is shaped not by a single recombination event <sup>513</sup> but inetereference of contributions from several consecu-<sup>514</sup> tive optical cycles of the driving laser pulse. A concept of carrier photons with the specific energy is only applicable if the laser pulse contains at least a few optical cycles. <sup>517</sup> When the ionization potential is decreasing between the <sup>518</sup> ionization and recombination events during several con-<sup>519</sup> secutive optical cycles, the radiation energy released at <sup>520</sup> recombination in each optical cycle is less than it would <sup>521</sup> be in the case of the constant ionization potential. Then <sup>522</sup> the interference of the contributions from all these op-<sup>523</sup> tical cycles enforces that the energy absorbed from the <sup>524</sup> driving field is equal to an integer multiple of the car-<sup>525</sup> rier photon energy, and the harmonic spectrum is shaped with red-shifted discrete harmonics. Since both ioniza-526 <sup>527</sup> tion and recombination steps take place within the same 528 optical cycle, the redshift of the harmonics can be esti-529 mated from the variation of the vertical ionization poten- $_{\rm 530}$  tial during one optical cycle. For the  $3\times10^{14}~{\rm W/cm^2}.$ <sup>531</sup> 16 o.c. laser pulse, this variation is about 0.032 a.u., as 532 calculated from the time-dependent expectation value of <sup>533</sup> the internuclear distance during the 10th optical cycle.

<sup>534</sup> Hence the variation of the vertical ionization potential <sup>592</sup> eration of even harmonics is still suppressed. has the same order of magnitude as the photon energy

(0.057 a.u.) and can contribute considerably to the red-536 shift of the harmonics along with the frequency modula-537 tion effect on the falling edge of the laser pulse. 538

For above-threshold harmonics, where the three-step 539 model is applicable, the actual variation of the verti-540 cal ionization potential between the ionization and re-541 combination steps of the HHG process differs for differ-542 ent harmonic orders. As one can see from the time-543 frequency distribution (Fig. 5), the largest time delays 544 (or the longest trajectories) correspond to the harmonics 545 in the middle of the HHG spectrum, while both high-546 energy and near-threshold harmonics have smaller emis-547 sion times within the same optical cycle. Moreover, 548 the cutoff harmonics are predominantly generated at the 549 time when the instantaneous intensity is close to its peak 550 value (that is, in the center of the laser pulse). At this 551 552 time, the nuclear motion is still quite slow, so the variation of the vertical ionization potential during one optical 553 cycle is insignificant; the frequency modulation effect is 554 also minimal in the center of the pulse. That is why 555 both cutoff and near-threshold harmonics have smaller 556 redshifts than those in the middle of the spectrum. Fi-557 nally, below-threshold harmonics are not described by 558 the three-step model. Although these harmonics are also 559 predominantly generated in the stretched molecule con-560 figuration, when the nuclei are moving apart, there is 561 no noticeable time delay between absorption of the car-562 rier photons and emission of the harmonic photon, thus 563 the variation of the vertical ionization potential does not affect the energy of the emitted photon, and the shift 565 of below-threshold harmonic peaks is much smaller than 566 that of the above-threshold harmonics. 567

568  $_{569}$  on generation of even harmonics in vibrating  $H_2^+$  and  $_{624}$  but suppressed at higher energies, compared with the <sup>570</sup> D<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>, recently discussed in the literature [48, 49, 51] and <sup>625</sup> fixed nuclei case. Dramatic difference between the HHG 572 573 the redshift; the latter, if large enough, can also move 629 the HHG plateau is crucial. 574 the harmonic peak close to the even order position. Our 630 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 <sup>590</sup> distances (except for the dissociation channel, which is <sup>645</sup> spectroscopy can be used as a tool for probing the nuclear <sup>591</sup> quite weak for the laser pulse parameters used), thus gen-<sup>646</sup> motion.

#### CONCLUSION IV.

594 In this paper, we have studied the isotope effect on high <sup>595</sup> harmonic generation of vibrating  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  molecular 596 ions subject to the laser pulses with the carrier wave-<sup>597</sup> length 800 nm. The time-dependent wave function of <sup>598</sup> the diatomic molecule aligned parallel to the polariza-<sup>599</sup> tion direction of the laser field depends on three spa-<sup>600</sup> tial coordinates, including the internuclear distance and <sup>601</sup> two electronic coordinates. While we neglect rotation <sup>602</sup> of the molecule, the nuclear vibration is treated on the <sup>603</sup> same footing as the full dimensionality electronic motion. <sup>604</sup> The time-dependent Schrödinger equation is solved accu- $_{\rm 605}$  rately and efficiently with the help of the time-dependent <sup>606</sup> generalized pseudospectral and Fourier grid methods.

For the laser field frequency, peak intensities, and pulse 607 <sup>608</sup> durations used in our calculations, the spectral density  $_{609}$  of emitted radiation energy for both  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$  is larger <sup>610</sup> than that in the fixed nuclei approximation at the equi-611 librium internuclear distance, except for the cutoff re-<sub>612</sub> gion. The difference is more pronounced for the lighter  $_{\rm 613}~{\rm H}_2^+$  molecule and eventually results in total disappear- $_{\rm 614}$  ance of the traditional HHG plateau at the peak inten- $_{615}$  sity  $3 \times 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and pulse duration 16 o.c. We 616 have explained such transformations of the HHG spec-617 tra by variation of the vertical ionization potential in the <sup>618</sup> course of nuclear vibration. When the nuclei are moving <sup>619</sup> apart and the vertical ionization potential is decreasing, 620 it leads to both enhancement of ionization as the first <sub>621</sub> step of the HHG process and lowering of the cutoff en-<sub>622</sub> ergy. Consequently, the harmonic radiation is enhanced At the end of this section, we would like to comment 623 in the low-energy and central parts of the HHG spectrum attributed to dynamical electron localization [48, 49] or 626 spectra of vibrating and fixed-nuclei molecules must be spatially asymmetric emission along the laser polariza- 627 taken into account in various HHG applications, such as tion direction [51]. This phenomenon is different from 528 generation of attosecond pulses, where the structure of

Another feature of the HHG spectra related to variapresent calculations do not produce any noticeable even 631 tion of the vertical ionization potential is the redshift of harmonics. In the previous studies of  $H_2^+$  within the fixed  $_{632}$  the harmonic peaks. The largest shift can be seen in the nuclei approximation [60, 61], we did see even harmonics 633 middle of the spectrum and is comparable to the carrier at large internuclear separations (5 to 9 a.u.). Generation 634 photon energy. Since harmonics are predominantly emitof even harmonics in this case is well explained by dynam- 635 ted during the stretching phase of the nuclear vibration, ical rupture of symmetry and localization of the electron 636 the vertical ionization potential is decreasing between the near one of the nuclei for a substantially long period of 637 ionization and recombination time moments, so the entime; this mechanism was confirmed by calculations of 638 ergy released into the harmonic photon at the recombithe time-dependent electron probability density [61]. At 639 nation time is less than the energy absorbed from the large internuclear separations, such a dynamical electron 640 laser field at the ionization time. This mechanism conlocalization is favored by strong coupling between the op- 641 tributes to the total redshift along with the frequency posite parity  $1\sigma_q$  and  $1\sigma_u$  states (charge resonance effect  $_{642}$  modulation effect on the trailing edge of the laser pulse. [62]). In our present calculations, however, the nuclear  $_{643}$  The shifts are larger for the faster nuclear motion ( $H_2^+$ ) wave packet does not spread to very large internuclear  $_{644}$  and smaller for the slower motion  $(D_2^+)$ , thus the redshift

647 648 649 650 651 <sup>652</sup> strongly depends on the parameters of the driving laser <sup>666</sup> and 106R8700-2). D.A.T. acknowledges the partial sup-653 field. In intense fields, where populations of the ex- 667 port from Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant cited vibrational energy levels and dissociation channel 668 No. 16-02-00233). 654 <sup>655</sup> are substantial, transformation of the HHG spectra be-656 cause of the nuclear motion can still be significant even 657 for heavier molecules.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

658

This work was partially supported by the Chemi-659 660 cal Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division of

- [1] F. Krausz and M. Ivanov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 163 710 [20] H. Niikura, F. Légaré, R. Hasbani, A. D. Bandrauk, 669 (2009).670 711
- [2] M. Hentschel, R. Kienberger, C. Spielmann, G. A. Rei- 712 671 der, N. Milosevic, T. Brabec, P. Corkum, U. Heinzmann, 713 672 M. Drescher, and F. Krausz, Nature 414, 509 (2001). 673
- [3] P. M. Paul, E. S. Toma, P. Breger, G. Mullot, F. Augé, 715 674 P. Balcou, H. G. Muller, and P. Agostini, Science 292, 716 675
- 689(2001).676 [4] Z. Chang, P. B. Corkum, and S. R. Leone, J. Opt. Soc. 718 677 Am. B 33, 1081 (2016). 678
- [5] J. Itatani, J. Levesque, D. Zeidler, H. Niikura, H. Pépin, 720 679 J. C. Kieffer, P. B. Corkum, and D. M. Villeneuve, Na-680 ture **432**, 867 (2004). 681
- [6]W. Li, X. Zhou, R. Lock, S. Patchkovskii, A. Stolow, 682 H. C. Kapteyn, and M. M. Murnane, Science 322, 1207 683 (2008).684
- H. Soifer, P. Botheron, D. Shafir, A. Diner, O. Raz, B. D. [7]685 Bruner, Y. Mairesse, B. Pons, and N. Dudovich, Phys. 686 Rev. Lett. 105, 143904 (2010). 687
- M. Chini, X. Wang, Y. Cheng, H. Wang, Y. Wu, E. Cun-[8] 688 ningham, P.-C. Li, J. Heslar, D. A. Telnov, S. I. Chu, 689 and Z. Chang, Nat. Photonics 8, 437 (2014). 690
- [9] W.-H. Xiong, J.-W. Geng, J.-Y. Tang, L.-Y. Peng, and 691 Q. Gong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 233001 (2014). 692
- J. Heslar, D. A. Telnov, and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 93, 693 [10]063401 (2016). 694
- W.-H. Xiong, L.-Y. Peng, and Q. Gong, J. Phys. B 50, 695 [11] 032001 (2017). 696
- [12] P. B. Corkum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1994 (1993). 697
- [13] M. Lewenstein, P. Balcou, M. Y. Ivanov, A. L'Huillier, 698 and P. B. Corkum, Phys. Rev. A 49, 2117 (1994). 699
- X. Chu and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 63, 023411 (2001). [14] 700
- G. Lagmago Kamta and A. D. Bandrauk, Phys. Rev. A [15]701 71, 053407 (2005). 702
- [16] D. A. Telnov and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 71, 013408 703 (2005).704
- [17] M. Lein, J. Phys. B 40, R135 (2007). 705
- M. Lein, N. Hay, R. Velotta, J. P. Marangos, and P. L. 747 [18]706 Knight, Phys. Rev. A 66, 023805 (2002). 707
- D. A. Telnov and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 76, 043412 749 [19]708 (2007).709

Our present results are obtained for  $H_2^+$  and  $D_2^+$ , the  $_{661}$  the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Sciences, simplest and lightest diatomic molecular systems. In 662 U.S. Department of Energy under grant No. DE-FG02heavier diatomic molecules, one can generally expect that 663 04ER15504. We also acknowledge the partial support of the effects discussed above are smaller due to slower nu- 664 the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan and clear motion. However, the nuclear vibration regime 665 National Taiwan University (Grants No. 106R104021

- M. Y. Ivanov, D. M. Villeneuve, and P. B. Corkum, Nature 417, 917 (2002).
- [21] H. Niikura, F. Légaré, R. Hasbani, M. Y. Ivanov, D. M. Villeneuve, and P. B. Corkum, Nature **421**, 826 (2003). 714
- S. Baker, J. S. Robinson, C. A. Haworth, H. Teng, R. A. [22]Smith, C. C. Chirilă, M. Lein, J. W. G. Tisch, and J. P. Marangos, Science **312**, 5772 (2006). 717
- S. Baker, J. S. Robinson, M. Lein, C. C. Chirilă, R. Tor-[23]res, H. C. Bandulet, D. Comtois, J. C. Kieffer, D. M. 719 Villeneuve, J. W. G. Tisch, and J. P. Marangos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 053901 (2008). 721
- [24]T. Kanai, E. J. Takahashi, Y. Nabekawa, and K. Mi-722 dorikawa, New J. Phys. 10, 025036 (2008). 723
- [25]S. Haessler, W. Boutu, M. Stankiewicz, L. J. Frasinsk, 724 S. Weber, J. Caillat, R. Taïeb, A. Maquet, P. Breger, P. Monchicourt, B. Carré, and P. Saliéres, J. Phys. B **42**, 134002 (2009).

725

726

727

- A. Zaïr, T. Siegel, S. Sukiasvan, F. Risoud, L. Brugnera, [26]728 C. Hutchison, Z. Diveki, T. Auguste, J. W. G. Tisch, 729 P. Saliéres, M. Y. Ivanov, and J. P. Marangos, Chem. 730 Phys. 414, 184 (2013). 731
- 732 [27]C. B. Madsen and L. B. Madsen, Phys. Rev. A 74, 023403 (2006).733
- [28]C. C. Chirilă and M. Lein, Phys. Rev. A 77, 043403 734 (2008).735
- M. Y. Emelin, M. Y. Ryabikin, and A. M. Sergeev, New 736 [29]J. Phys. 10, 025026 (2008). 737
- A. T. Le, T. Morishita, R. R. Lucchese, and C. D. Lin, 738 [30]Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 203004 (2012). 739
- [31] L. S. Cederbaum, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 124101 (2008). 740
- [32]A. Abedi, N. T. Maitra, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. 741 Lett. 105, 123002 (2010). 742
- M. Lein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 053004 (2005). [33]743
- A. D. Bandrauk, S. Chelkowski, S. Kawai, and H. Lu, [34]744 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 153901 (2008). 745
- P. P. Corso, E. Fiordilino, and F. Persico, J. Phys. B 40, [35]746 1383(2007).
- S. Chelkowski, A. Conjusteau, T. Zuo, and A. D. Ban-[36]748 drauk, Phys. Rev. A 54, 3235 (1996).
- Z. B. Walters, S. Tonzani, and C. H. Greene, J. Phys. B [37] 750

40, F277 (2007). 751

- [38] Z. B. Walters, S. Tonzani, and C. H. Greene, Chem. 776 752 Phys. Lett. **366**, 103 (2009). 753
- [39] S. Patchkovskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 253602 (2009). 754
- [40] S. Patchkovskii and M. S. Schuurman, J. Phys. Chem. A 755 **118**, 12069 (2014). 756
- [41] P. G. Lisinetskaya and R. Mitrić, Phys. Rev. A 83, 757 033408 (2011). 758
- M. Falge, V. Engel, and M. Lein, Phys. Rev. A 81, 783 [54] [42]759 023412 (2010). 760
- [43] J. Förster and A. Saenz, Chem. Phys. Chem. 14, 1438 761 (2013).762
- T. Bredtmann, S. Chelkowski, and A. D. Bandrauk, J. 763 [44]Phys. Chem. A 116, 11398 (2012). 764
- S. Chelkowski, T. Bredtmann, and A. D. Bandrauk, [45]765 Phys. Rev. A 85, 033404 (2012). 766
- [46] X. B. Bian and A. D. Bandrauk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 767 193901 (2014). 768
- [47] D. A. Telnov, J. Heslar, and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 90, 769 063412(2014).770
- [48] F. Morales, P. Rivière, M. Richter, A. Gubaydullin, 771
- M. Ivanov, O. Smirnova, and F. Martín, J. Phys. B 47, 772 204015 (2014). 773
- 774 [49] R. E. F. Silva, P. Rivière, F. Morales, O. Smirnova, 798 [62] R. S. Mulliken, J. Chem. Phys. 7, 20 (1939).

- M. Ivanov, and F. Martín, Sci. Rep. 6, 32653 (2016). 775
- [50] H. Ahmadi, M. Vafaee, and A. Maghari, J. Phys. B 49, 035602(2016).777
- [51] H. Ahmadi, M. Vafaee, and A. Maghari, Phys. Rev. A 778 **94**, 033415 (2016). 779
- [52]X. M. Tong and S. I. Chu, Chem. Phys. 217, 119 (1997). 780
- [53] D. A. Telnov and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 80, 043412 781 (2009).782
- C. C. Marston and G. G. Balint-Kurti, J. Chem. Phys. **91**, 3571 (1989). 784
- L. Hilico, N. Billy, B. Grémaud, and D. Delande, Eur. 785 [55] Phys. J. D 12, 449 (2000). 786
- 787 [56] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The classical theory of fields (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975). 788
- 789 [57] Y. L. Sheu, L. Y. Hsu, H. T. Wu, P.-C. Li, and S. I. Chu, AIP Advances 4, 117138 (2014). 790
- 791 [58] P.-C. Li, Y. L. Sheu, C. Laughlin, and S. I. Chu, Nat. Commun. 6, 7178 (2015). 792
- [59]J. Heslar and S. I. Chu, Sci. Rep. 6, 37774 (2016). 793
- [60]K. N. Avanaki, D. A. Telnov, and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. 794 A 90, 033425 (2014). 795
- K. N. Avanaki, D. A. Telnov, H. Z. Jooya, and S. I. Chu, 796 [61]Phys. Rev. A **92**, 063811 (2015). 797