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We present a general method to derive entanglement breaking (EB) conditions for continuous-variable quantum gates. We start with an arbitrary entanglement witness, and reach an EB condition. The resultant EB condition is applicable not only for quantum channels but also for general quantum operations, namely, trace-non-increasing class of completely positive maps. We illustrate our method associated with a quantum benchmark based on the input ensemble of Gaussian distributed coherent states. We also exploit our idea for channels acting on finite dimensional systems and present a Schmidt-number benchmark based on input states of two mutually unbiased bases and measurements of generalized Pauli operators.

An important task for future realization of quantum information technology is to establish a reliable quantum channel. A powerful tool to estimate an experimental implementation of quantum gates is quantum process tomography. However, it is not always feasible to measure the input-and-output relations for a set of tomographic complete states. Instead of tomographic approach, one may be interested in probing a basic coherence of quantum channels using a small set of feasible input states. Quantum benchmarks provide such a method based on the context of quantum entanglement [1–7]. A quantum benchmark is typically determined by an upper bound of an average fidelity achieved by a class of quantum channels called entanglement breaking (EB) [8]. If an experimental fidelity surpasses the fidelity bound we can verify that any classical measure-and-prepare map is unable to simulate the channel. Mathematically, it implies the Choi-Jamiolkowsky (CJ) state of the channel is entangled, hence, there exists, at least, one entangled input state whose inseparability maintains under the channel action. There have been several works to determine such classical fidelities [3–5, 9–11] or other forms of EB limits [12–14]. One can also apply the notion of EB limits to quantum operations, namely, trace-non-increasing class of completely positive (CP) maps [15, 16]. In addition to a proof of the inseparability in the physical process, one can demonstrate a more specified type of channel’s coherence by quantifying the amount of entanglement in the CJ state [17–22].

Although it has been known that an EB condition is mathematically equivalent to a separable condition, the varieties of known EB conditions are rather limited compared with massive theoretical works on separable conditions. In fact, one can easily find several systematic methods to produce a series of separable conditions [23–25], while potential applications of separable conditions to the quantum benchmark problems have little been mentioned in the literatures on the separability problems [26, 27].

In this report, we present a general method to convert a separable condition to an EB condition for continuous-variable quantum channels as a generalization of the method developed in [14]. Given a formula of entanglement witness we compose an EB condition by separately assigning an entangled density operator. After a general composition we illustrate our method associated with a quantum benchmark based on the Gaussian distributed coherent states [16]. We also exploit our idea for channels acting on finite dimensional systems and present a Schmidt-number benchmark [20, 22] for qudit channels based on input states of two mutually unbiased bases and measurements of generalized Pauli operators.

Let \( \rho \) be a density operator and write an expectation value of an operator \( \hat{O} \) as \( \text{Tr}(\hat{O}\rho) = \langle \hat{O} \rangle_\rho \). A general form of separable conditions can be written by a function of expectation values for a set of operators \( \{\hat{O}_i\}_{i=1,2,\cdots,N} \) as

\[
F(\langle \hat{O}_1 \rangle_\rho, \langle \hat{O}_2 \rangle_\rho, \cdots, \langle \hat{O}_N \rangle_\rho) \geq 0. \tag{1}
\]

A special case is based on an operator called the entanglement witness \( \hat{W} \) that satisfies

\[
\text{Tr}(\hat{W}\rho_s) = \langle \hat{W} \rangle_\rho_s \geq 0 \tag{2}
\]

for any separable state \( \rho_s = \sum p_i |a_i\rangle \langle a_i|_A \otimes |b_i\rangle \langle b_i|_B \), and there exists at least one entangled state that satisfies \( \langle \hat{W} \rangle_\rho < 0 \). In what follows we derive an EB condition starting from an arbitrary separable condition associated with an witness operator \( \hat{W} \). We can readily extend our method for the general form in Eq. (1). This form includes non-linear terms of expectation values and is often referred to as the non-linear witness.

We consider a two-mode system \( AB \) described by bosonic field operators satisfying the commutation relations \([a, a^\dagger] = [b, b^\dagger] = 1\). Let us suppose \( \hat{W} \) is expressed in the anti-normal order regarding the field operators \( \{b, b^\dagger\} \) for the second system \( B \) such as

\[
\hat{W}(a, a^\dagger, b, b^\dagger) = \sum_{n,m} W^{(n,m)}(a, a^\dagger) b^{n}(b^\dagger)^{m}. \tag{3}
\]

Then, we can rewrite it as

\[
\hat{W} = \sum W_{n,m}(a, a^\dagger) b^{n} \mathbb{I}_{B} (b^\dagger)^{m}
= \sum W^{(n,m)}(a, a^\dagger) \int (\alpha^*)^{m} |\alpha^*|^{2} \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi}
= \int \hat{W}(a, a^\dagger, \alpha^*, \alpha) |\alpha^*|^{2} \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi}, \tag{4}
\]
where we used the closure relation for coherent states \( \int |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| d^2\alpha/\pi = \mathbb{1} \) for the subsystem \( B \). Here, we express the closure with \( \alpha^* \), the complex conjugate of \( \alpha \), for a notation convention. Equation (4) implies

\[
\text{Tr}(\hat{W}\rho) = \text{Tr}_A \left[ \int \hat{W}(a,a^\dagger,\alpha^*,\alpha) \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \right],
\]

(5)

where \( \text{Tr}_A \) denotes partial trace over system \( A \).

Let \( \psi = \psi_{AB} \) be an entangled density operator of the two-mode field \( AB \). We define an ensemble of states \( \{ p_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha \} \) on a one-mode system as

\[
p_\alpha := \text{Tr}[[_{AB} (|\alpha^*\rangle\langle\alpha^*|)_{AB}] \psi_{AB}],
\]

\[
\varphi_\alpha := |\alpha\rangle|\alpha\rangle_B / p_\alpha.
\]

(6)

Note that \( \varphi_\alpha \) is a type of the relative states of \( |\alpha^*\rangle \) regarding \( \psi_{AB} \) and \( p_\alpha \) is a probability density satisfying \( \int p_\alpha d^2\alpha/\pi = 1 \).

Let us consider the local action of a physical map \( \mathcal{E} \) for the state \( \psi \),

\[
J = \mathcal{E}_A \otimes I_B(\psi)
\]

(7)

where \( \mathcal{E} \) is a CP map acting on system \( A \) and \( I \) is the identity map. When \( \mathcal{E} \) is a trace-decreasing operation, we can formally normalize \( J \) as a density operator by \( J/P_s \) with

\[
P_s := \text{Tr}[J] = \int p_\alpha \text{Tr}[\mathcal{E}(\varphi_\alpha)] d^2\alpha/\pi,
\]

(8)

where we use the relations in Eq. (6). Note that we have \( P_s = 1 \) for the trace-preserving maps. Substituting \( \rho = J/P_s \) into Eq. (5) we can write

\[
\text{Tr}(\hat{W}\rho) = \frac{1}{P_s} \text{Tr}\left[ \int \hat{W}(a,a^\dagger,\alpha^*,\alpha) p_\alpha \mathcal{E}(\varphi_\alpha) \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \right].
\]

(9)

Here, system \( B \) is traced out and \( \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\rho) \) is represented by the mean values of operators on system \( A \) over channel’s outputs \( \mathcal{E}(\varphi_\alpha) \) subjected to the input state \( \{ \varphi_\alpha \} \).

Let us suppose that \( \mathcal{E} \) is an EB map, i.e., \( \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_i \text{Tr}[M_i \rho] \sigma_i \) with \( M_i \geq 0 \), \( \sum_i M_i = 1 \), and a set of density operators \( \{ \sigma_i \} \). Then, \( \rho \) becomes a separable density operator and \( \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\rho) \) has to fulfills the separable condition of Eq. (2). Therefore, we obtain the following EB condition:

\[
\frac{1}{P_s} \text{Tr}\left[ \int \hat{W}(a,a^\dagger,\alpha^*,\alpha) p_\alpha \mathcal{E}(\varphi_\alpha) \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \right] \geq 0.
\]

(10)

In this manner one can compose an EB condition from a separable condition by separately assigning an entangled state \( \psi \). To be concrete, the inequality of Eq. (10) is a necessary condition for entanglement breaking, and any violation of this inequality implies that the map \( \mathcal{E} \) cannot be an EB map.

For a non-linear witness in the form of Eq. (1), we simply assign an operators \( \hat{W}_i \) for each of \( \hat{O} \), and express its expectation value as in Eq. (9) by repeating the procedure above. Then, we can generally convert separable conditions in the form of Eq. (1) into EB conditions by replacing the relevant expectation values as follows:

\[
\langle \hat{O}_i \rangle_\rho \rightarrow \frac{1}{P_s} \text{Tr}\left[ \int \hat{W}_i(a,a^\dagger,\alpha^*,\alpha) p_\alpha \mathcal{E}(\varphi_\alpha) \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \right].
\]

(11)

Note that the obtained EB condition depends on the choice of the entanglement \( \psi \) which determines the state ensemble \( \{ p_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha \} \) owing to Eq. (6). Accordingly, a different choice of \( \psi \) could constitute a different EB condition even the original separable condition is the same.

Let us illustrate our method associated with the fidelity-based quantum benchmark [4, 5, 11, 16]. In experiments of quantum optics, coherent states are commonly available as a state of laser light. It is thus feasible to probe an experimental quantum gate by an input of coherent states. We will consider an input ensemble of Gaussian distributed coherent states [28]. This ensemble can be associated with the case that \( \psi \) is a two-mode squeezed state [11, 29]. In fact, by substituting the two-mode squeezed state \( |\psi_\xi\rangle = \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi^n |n\rangle \) with \( \xi \in (0, 1) \) into Eqs. (6), we obtain the ensemble of Gaussian distributed coherent states,

\[
p_\alpha = (1 - \xi^2)e^{-(1-\xi^2)|\alpha|^2},
\]

\[
\varphi_\alpha = |\xi\alpha\rangle \langle \xi\alpha|.
\]

(12)

Let \( X \geq 0 \) and \( (u,v) \) be a pair of real number that fulfills \( u^2 + v^2 = 1 \) and \( u \neq 0 \). Let us define an witness operator

\[
\hat{W} := \frac{\mathbb{1}}{1 + X} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int e^{-X|\alpha|^2} |va\rangle \langle va| \otimes |ua^*\rangle \langle ua^*| d^2\alpha,
\]

(13)

such that \( \langle \hat{W} \rangle \geq 0 \) becomes the separable condition in Eq. (21) of Ref. [30]. Since \( \hat{W} \) is already expanded in the local coherent states similar to the form in Eq. (4) it is no need to consider the operator ordering. From Eqs. (9), (12), and (13) we can write

\[
\text{Tr}[\hat{W}J] = \frac{1}{1 + X} - \frac{1}{\pi P_s u^2} \left( \lambda + \frac{X}{\xi^2 u^2} \right)
\]

\[
\times \int e^{-\lambda|\alpha|^2} (\sqrt{\eta}|\alpha\rangle \langle |\alpha|\rangle) |\sqrt{\eta}\alpha\rangle d^2\alpha,
\]

(14)

where \( \lambda = \xi^{-2}(Xu^{-2} + (1-\xi^2)) \) and \( \eta := v^2/(\xi u^2) \). Using the condition of Eq. (10) and taking the limit \( X \to 0 \) we obtain the following EB condition

\[
P_s - \frac{1}{u^2} \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int e^{-\lambda|\alpha|^2} (\sqrt{\eta}|\alpha\rangle \langle |\alpha|\rangle) |\sqrt{\eta}\alpha\rangle d^2\alpha \geq 0,
\]

(15)
where \( w^2 = (1 + \lambda + \eta)/(1 + \lambda) \). This corresponds to the fidelity-based quantum benchmark for general CP maps [16]. In Ref. [16], its derivation is based on the duality of semidefinite programing. For quantum channels (the trace-preserving class of CP maps; \( P_s = 1 \)), one can find other derivations in Refs. [4, 5, 11].

Note that there is a wide interest in formulating separable conditions based on the moments of canonical quadrature variables \([23, 31–33]\). This is because the moments of quadrature variables can be directly observed by homodyne measurements in experiments. Among all, second-order conditions have been widely used as a feasible method for entanglement detection. It is well-known that each of the sum condition \([31]\) and the product condition \([33]\) is sufficient for witnessing two-mode Gaussian entanglement. By applying our method we can translate them into the EB conditions with the input ensemble of the Gaussian distributed coherent states in Ref. [14] (Corollary 1 and Proposition, respectively), which are sufficient to witness one-mode Gaussian channels in the quantum domain, namely, one-mode Gaussian channels being nonmember of the EB class. Further, the formalism developed in Ref. [14] would be usable as a quantitative quantum benchmark because it can be related to entanglement of formation on the C3 state (See Ref. [34]). Similar statements could hold for the fidelity-based approach. In fact, the entanglement witness of Eq. (13) is known to be sufficient for witnessing two-mode Gaussian entanglement \([30]\) and the fidelity-based EB condition is also sufficient for detecting one-mode Gaussian channels in the quantum domain \([5]\). However, its connection to a meaningful entanglement measure remains open.

In the rest of this report, we discuss the case of the physical process acting on a finite dimensional system. The key mechanism to introduce the ensemble of input states \( \{ \rho_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha \} \) in Eq. (6) is the coherent-state expression of system \( B \) in Eq. (4). Analogously, we can introduce a state ensemble by decomposing the witness operator with a set of hermitian operators \( \hat{h} \) on system \( B \) as follows

\[
\hat{W} = \sum_l w_A^{(l)} \otimes \hat{h}_B^{(l)} = \sum_l w_A^{(l)} \otimes \left( \sum_j \hat{h}_j^{(l)} |j^{(l)}\rangle \langle j^{(l)}| \right)_{B \otimes A},
\]

(16)

where \( \{ \hat{h}_j^{(l)}, |j^{(l)}\rangle \} \) represents the spectral decomposition of \( \hat{\rho}_j^{(l)} \). This implies the set of input states similarly to Eq. (6) as

\[
\rho_{j,l} := \text{Tr} \left[ \Pi_A \otimes |j^{(l)}\rangle \langle j^{(l)}| \right]_B \varphi_{j,l} := \langle j^{(l)}| \varphi_{AB} |j^{(l)}\rangle / \rho_{j,l}.
\]

(17)

Therefore, instead of Eq. (10), we obtain an EB condition in the following form:

\[
\frac{1}{P_s} \sum_{j,l} \rho_{j,l} \hat{h}_j^{(l)} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{W} | \mathcal{E} (\varphi_{j,l}) \right] \geq 0,
\]

(18)

where we define \( P_s = \sum_{j,l} \text{Tr} [\varphi_{j,l} ] \). Note that an example of the decomposition in Eq. (16) can be obtained by choosing a Hilbert-Schmidt orthonormal basis on subsystem \( B \).

Finally, using this framework we will derive a Schmidt-number benchmark for quantum operations acting on a \( d \)-dimensional (qudit) system. A Schmidt-number benchmark of class \( k+1 \) \((k \in [1, d-1])\) enables us to eliminate the possibility that the channel or operation is described by Kraus operators of rank \( k \) or less than \( k \) \([20, 22]\). This class of quantum processes is called \( k \)-partial EB maps \([35–37]\), and \( k = 1 \) represents the class of EB maps. Hence, one can certify that the process outperforms a wider class of lower coherent processes, if the criterion of the benchmark is fulfilled.

Note that Schmidt-number benchmarks rather directly tells us channel’s structure, while one can arbitrarily choose an entanglement measure to define a quantitative quantum benchmark, which is supposed to verify channel’s capability of transmitting entanglement with respect to the specific entanglement measure.

Let us consider a Schmidt-number-(\( k+1 \)) witness for \( d \)-dimension quantum system given in Ref. [38],

\[
g_{k,d} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{Z}_A \hat{Z}_B + \hat{Z}_B^\dagger \hat{Z}_A + \hat{X}_A \hat{X}_B + \hat{X}_B^\dagger \hat{X}_A \right) \geq (19)
\]

where \( g_{k,d} = [(d - k) \cos \omega + (d + k)] / d \), and \( \hat{Z} := \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e^{i \omega j} |j\rangle \langle j| \) and \( \hat{X} := \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (j + 1) |j\rangle \langle j| \), are the generalized Pauli operators. Here, we assumed a fixed \( Z \)-basis \( (|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \cdots , |d-1\rangle) \) with modulo-\( d \) conditions \( |j+d\rangle = |j\rangle \) and \( \omega := 2\pi / d \). By expanding \( \hat{Z} \) and \( \hat{X} \) respectively in \( Z \)-basis \( (|j\rangle) \) and \( X \)-basis \( (|j\rangle) \), which is defined through \( |j\rangle := |j\rangle \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} |j\rangle \right) \), we can see that the operators on system \( B \) in Eq. (19) can be expressed by the projections onto the mutually unbiased bases, \( (|j\rangle, |j\rangle) \).

Using this expansion and \( J = \mathcal{E}_A \otimes I_B (|\Phi_d\rangle \langle \Phi_d|) / P_s \) with \( |\Phi_d\rangle = d^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} |j\rangle / |j\rangle \) with Eqs. (17) and (18) we obtain the following necessary condition for \( k \)-partial EB maps:

\[
P_s g_{k,d} - \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \text{Tr} \left[ (\hat{Z} e^{-i \omega j} + \hat{Z}_B^\dagger e^{i \omega j} ) \mathcal{E} (|j\rangle \langle j|) \right] + (\hat{X} e^{-i \omega j} + \hat{X}_B^\dagger e^{i \omega j} ) \mathcal{E} (|j\rangle \langle j|) / d \geq 0.
\]

(20)

Hence, a violation of this condition implies a quantitative quantum benchmark for Schmidt-number \( k + 1 \), namely, any Kraus representation of \( \mathcal{E} \) has, at least, one Kraus operator whose rank is \( k + 1 \) or higher. Therefore, it provides an evidence that the process can maintain a higher order entanglement of Schmidt rank more-than-\( k \). An experimental test would be executed by input states of two mutually unbiased bases and projections to these bases [20]. Note that we can readily extend the result in Ref. [20] for quantum operations acting on qudit states by using the normalized state \( J = \mathcal{E}_A \otimes I_B (|\Phi_d\rangle \langle \Phi_d|) / P_s \).

While our approach here is motivated to give an EB condition starting from a separable condition, one may
be interested in a converse problem to specify a separable condition given an EB condition. There has been a substantial interest in using phase covariant input states for testing quantum channels. A set of phase covariant states can be defined as $\rho_\phi = U_\phi \rho_0 U_\phi^\dagger$ where $\rho_0$ is a seed state and $U_\phi$ is a rotation unitary with $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$. A phase covariant quantum benchmark [7] has been formulated based on an average of Uhlmann fidelity

$$\bar{f}_E := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\phi f(\rho_\phi, E(\rho_\phi))$$

(21)

where Uhlmann fidelity can be written as $f(\rho_1, \rho_2) = (\text{Tr} \sqrt{\rho_1 \sqrt{\rho_2}})^2$. Note that we can rewrite the channel action as

$$E(\rho_\phi) = d\text{Tr}_B[E_A \otimes I_B (|\Phi_d\rangle \langle \Phi_d|) I_A \otimes (\rho_\phi^* B)] = d\text{Tr}_B[J_{AB} (\rho_\phi^* B)]$$

(22)

where $d$ stands for the dimension of the support of the covariant states $\{\rho_\phi\}$, and an orthonormal basis of the support $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{d-1}$ defines the maximally entangled state $|\Phi_d\rangle = d^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} |j\rangle |j\rangle$. Moreover, the complex conjugate $\rho_\phi^*$ is defined with regard to the basis $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{d-1}$. Subsequently, the EB condition given in Ref. [7]

$$\bar{f}_E = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\phi f(\rho_\phi, E(\rho_\phi)) \leq F$$

(23)

is fulfilled if $J$ is separable. Since, the classical limit $F$ in Ref. [7] is determined by optimizing the subset of positive partial transpose states satisfying $d\text{Tr}_A[J] = I_B$, it is not clear whether the condition holds for any separable state. Hence, it would be necessary to redetermine the classical limit value of $F$ by removing the condition $d\text{Tr}_A[J] = I_B$, if we extend the phase-covariant benchmark for quantum operations. The same procedure could be essential if we assign a non-maximally entangled state to present a modified EB condition. Note also that, in this example, it is not clear whether the separable condition can be represented by a function of the expectation values for a set of operators $\{\langle \hat{O}_i \rangle\}$ as in Eq. (1) (although we can write the condition as $F = d \int f(\rho_\phi \otimes \rho_\phi^* \rho_\phi^*)/d\phi/(2\pi) \geq 0$ when $\rho_0$ is a pure state).

In summary, we have presented a method for converting separable conditions to EB conditions. Given a separable condition we can generate an EB condition by separately assigning an entangled state that determines the ensemble of input states. By considering a normalization of this state the resultant EB condition becomes applicable to general quantum operations, namely, trace-non-increasing class of CP maps. To illustrate our method we present a different derivation of the fidelity-based quantum benchmark in Ref. [16] starting from a separable condition given in Ref. [30]. Although we focus on single-mode operations, our method can be straightforwardly extended for multi-mode bosonic quantum channels and operations. We have also developed a similar framework for quantum operations acting on infinite dimension systems and presented a Schmidt-number benchmark for quantum operations. We hope our method provides a variety of distinctive options for verification of quantum coherences in experimental implementations of quantum information processes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

RN was supported by the DARPA Quiness program under prime Contract No. W31P4Q-12-1-0017 and Industry Canada.


