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In quantum information science, the external control of qubits must be balanced with the extreme isolation of the qubits from the environment. Atomic qubit systems typically mitigate this balance through the use of gated laser fields that can create superpositions and entanglement between qubits. Here we propose the use of high-order optical Stark shifts from optical fields to manipulate the splitting of atomic qubits that are insensitive to other types of fields. We demonstrate a fourth-order AC Stark shift in a trapped atomic ion system that does not require extra laser power beyond that needed for other control fields. We individually address a chain of tightly-spaced trapped ions and show how these controlled shifts can produce an arbitrary product state of ten ions as well as generate site-specific magnetic field terms in a simulated spin Hamiltonian.

I. INTRODUCTION

Trapped atomic ions have emerged as one of the most promising quantum information platforms [1, 2] due to their long coherence times [3, 4], high fidelity readout [5], and high fidelity single [6–8] and two qubit [7, 8] operations that are driven by external fields. Small scale quantum algorithms have even been demonstrated as the first steps toward the goal of a fault-tolerant quantum computer [9, 10]. These same qualities also make atomic ions an excellent platform for quantum simulation [11–13], leveraging the long lifetimes and low noise to study dynamics that are classically intractable due to their exponential scaling with system size.

The pervasive challenge facing all quantum information platforms is the undesired interaction of the qubit with environment. In trapped ions, one such coupling to the environment is the modulation of the qubit energy splitting by stray magnetic fields. This can be circumvented by using levels whose energy difference is insensitive to magnetic fields to first order, allowing for coherence times exceeding 10 minutes [3, 4]. Such “clock-state” qubits are an excellent starting point for fault-tolerant quantum computation and quantum simulation [14]. For example, simulations of quantum magnetism have been performed with up to 16 spins [15] and with various entangling spin-spin Hamiltonians [16–23]. However, the use of clock-state qubits by definition does not easily allow the direct generation of certain classes of Hamiltonians that are equivalent to the modulation of qubit energy splittings [24]. In quantum computing, such control is also desirable for efficiently realizing universal logic gate families such as arbitrary rotations [25].

Here we propose and demonstrate the use of a fourth-order Stark shift to achieve fast, individually addressed, single-qubit rotations in a chain of $^{171}$Yb$^+$ ions. We experimentally realize a 10 MHz shift on the qubit splitting with only moderate amounts of laser power. We exploit this control in a quantum system of 10 trapped ion clock-state qubits by preparing arbitrary initial product states and applying an independent programmable disordered splitting on each lattice site in a quantum simulation, all demonstrated with low cross-talk.

II. FOURTH-ORDER STARK SHIFT THEORY

The studies reported here are performed on a linear chain of $^{171}$Yb$^+$ ions, but can be generalized to any species of clock qubits. The ions are confined using a linear radiofrequency (rf) Paul trap and the qubit is encoded in the $^2S_{1/2} [F = 0, m_f = 0]$ and $^2S_{1/2} [F = 1, m_f = 0]$ hyperfine clock states, denoted as $|0,0\rangle$ and $|1,0\rangle$ respectively, which have an unshifted splitting of $\omega_{HF}/2\pi = 12.642821$ GHz.

We irradiate the ions using an optical frequency comb generated from a mode-locked laser with a center frequency detuned by $\Delta$ from the $^2P_{1/2}$ manifold and by $\omega - \Delta$ from the $^2P_{3/2}$ manifold. The laser bandwidth is much smaller than the fine structure splitting $\omega_F$ of the $P$ states and also the detuning $\Delta$. However, the laser bandwidth is much larger than the qubit splitting $\omega_{HF}$ so that the laser pulses directly drive stimulated Raman processes between the qubit states while not appreciably populating the excited $P$ states [26]. We assume that the pulse area of each laser pulse is small and has only a modest effect on the atom, and that the intensity profile for each pulse is well approximated by a hyperbolic secant envelope [26]. Under these assumptions, the $k$th comb tooth at frequency $k\nu_{rep}$ from the optical carrier has a resonant $S \rightarrow P$ Rabi frequency [27],

$$ g_k = g_0 \sqrt{\frac{\pi \nu_{rep}}{\tau \text{sech}(2\pi \nu_{rep})}} $$

where $\tau$ is laser pulse duration, $g_0 = \gamma^2 I/2I_0$, $I_0$ is the time-averaged intensity of the laser pulses, $I_0$ is the saturation intensity of the transition, and $\gamma$ is the spontaneous decay rate. Since $\sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} g_k^2 = g_0^2$, and assuming the parameters specified above, the second-order Stark
shift $E_{00}^{(2)}$ of state $|\alpha\rangle$ due to the frequency comb can be computed for an arbitrary polarization (taking $\hbar = 1$) [26, 28]:
\[
E_{00}^{(2)} = \frac{g_0^2}{12} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} - \frac{2}{\omega_F - \Delta} \right), \\
E_{10}^{(2)} = \frac{g_0^2}{12} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta + \omega_H F} - \frac{2}{\omega_F - (\Delta + \omega_H F)} \right). 
\]

Here we neglect all excited state hyperfine splittings since they only contribute to the Stark shifts at a fractional level of $\sim 10^{-5}$. We also ignore all states outside of the $P$ manifold since their separation from the ground $S$ states are too far detuned from the applied laser fields to give appreciable Stark shifts.

Assuming that 20 mW of time-averaged power is focused down to a 3 µm waist, the differential second-order Stark shift on the qubit splitting is $\delta \omega^{(2)} = E_{10}^{(2)} - E_{00}^{(2)} = -1.5$ kHz.

We will show that there is a fourth-order effect that can be much larger than the differential second-order Stark shift when using a frequency comb for specific polarizations of the beam. An intuitive understanding can be gained by considering that any two pair of comb teeth, $k_0$ and $k_1$, have a beat-note frequency $(k_0 - k_1)2\pi v_{rep}$. If the bandwidth of the pulse is large enough, then there will be beat-notes that are close to the ground state hyperfine splitting. Assuming that none are on resonance, these off-resonant couplings can have a large effect on the ground states, as much as three orders of magnitude larger than the differential AC Stark shift.

We first calculate the fourth-order Stark shift in the simplified case of just two comb teeth and one excited state of the $^{171}$Yb$^+$ level structure (see Fig. 1), equivalent to two phase coherent continuous wave (CW) beams in a three level system. Let the excited state $|e\rangle$ have frequency splitting $\omega_{\epsilon}$ from the $|0\rangle$ ground state, and the absolute frequencies of the comb teeth $k_0$ and $k_1$ be $\omega_{k_0}$ and $\omega_{k_1}$ respectively. Also, let the polarization of each tooth, $i$, be defined as $\epsilon^i = \epsilon_+ \sigma_- + \epsilon_0 \pi + \epsilon_- \sigma_+$ with $|\epsilon_+|^2 + |\epsilon_0|^2 + |\epsilon_-|^2 = 1$ where $\sigma_-$, $\pi$, and $\sigma_+$ are the polarization basis in the frame of the atom. In the rotating frame of the electro-magnetic fields of the laser, we can write the Hamiltonian
\[
H = H_0 + V \\
= \delta |1,0\rangle \langle 1,0| + \Delta |e\rangle \langle e| \\
+ \frac{\Gamma_0}{2} |0,0\rangle \langle 0,0| + \frac{\Gamma_1}{2} |1,0\rangle \langle 1,0| + h.c. 
\]

where $H_0$ contains the diagonal terms and $V$ includes the off-diagonal terms induced by the laser, $\delta = \omega_{HF} - (\omega_0 - \omega_1)$, $\Gamma^i = g_0 C(\epsilon^i)$ is the resonant Rabi frequency from beam $i$ with a dipole coupling matrix element $C(\epsilon^i)$ for polarization $\epsilon^i$. The fourth-order correction $E_n^{(4)}$ to the ground state energy levels, from perturbation theory, has the following form:
\[
E_n^{(4)} = \sum_{j,l,m \neq n} \frac{V_{n,m} V_{l,j} V_{j,n}}{E_{n,j} E_{j,l} E_{l,m}} - \frac{|V_{n,j}|^2 |V_{n,m}|^2}{E_{n,j}^2 (E_{m,n})^2} \\
- 2 V_{n,n}^2 \frac{|V_{n,m}|^2}{(E_{n,j})^2 (E_{m,n})^2} + V_{n,n}^2 \frac{|V_{n,m}|^2}{(E_{m,n})^2}. 
\]

Here $j, l, m,$ and $n$ each represent different energy levels, $V_{a,b} = \langle a | V | b \rangle$, $E_{a,b} = E_{a}^{(0)} - E_{b}^{(0)}$ is the unperturbed energy difference between the states $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$. Applying this to the Hamiltonian above, the last two terms are zero since $V$ has no diagonal terms leaving the fourth-order Stark shifts of the qubit levels,
\[
E_{00}^{(4)} = -\frac{|\Omega|^2}{4\delta}, \\
E_{10}^{(4)} = \frac{\Omega^2}{4\delta}. 
\]

In these expressions, we assume $\delta \ll \Delta$ and $\Gamma_0 \sim \Gamma_1$. We also parametrize $\Omega = \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \Delta$, which is the resonant $(\delta = 0)$ stimulated Raman Rabi frequency.

The above derivation is valid for any three level system. We now include the more complete case in $^{171}$Yb$^+$ where all excited states with major contributions, namely the $^2P_{1/2}$ and $^2P_{3/2}$ manifolds, are considered. Calculating the fourth-order Stark shift on any state $|n\rangle$ reduces...
to computing its shift due to all other states coupled via a two-photon Raman process by fields at frequencies $\omega_0$ and $\omega_1$. In $^{171}$Yb$^+$, this means we must consider all hyperfine ground states. The two Zeeman states, $|F=1, m_F=\pm1\rangle$, of the ground state manifold, denoted as $\{|1,1\rangle, |1,-1\rangle\}$ have a Zeeman splitting $\omega_{Zee}/2\pi \approx \pm 7$ MHz under a magnetic field of approximately 5 Gauss. To calculate the fourth-order Stark shift, we sum over all states $|a\rangle \neq |n\rangle$,

$$E_n^{(4)} = \sum_{a \neq n} \frac{\Omega_{n,a}^2}{4\delta_{n,a}}$$

where $\Omega_{n,a}$ is the two-photon Rabi frequency between $|n\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$, $\delta_{n,a} = \omega_n - (\omega_0 - \omega_1)$, and $\omega_n = E_n^{(0)} - E_0^{(0)}$. Computing all of the relevant Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{n,a}$ under the same assumptions as in Eq. 2 [28], we find

$$\Omega_{00,10} = (\epsilon^0 \epsilon^* - \epsilon_0^0 \epsilon_+^*) \Omega_0$$
$$\Omega_{00,1-1} = - (\epsilon_0^0 \epsilon_+^* + \epsilon_+^0 \epsilon_-^*) \Omega_0$$
$$\Omega_{00,11} = (\epsilon_0^0 \epsilon_-^* + \epsilon_-^0 \epsilon_+^*) \Omega_0$$
$$\Omega_{10,1-1} = (\epsilon_0^0 \epsilon_+^* + \epsilon_+^0 \epsilon_-^*) \Omega_0$$
$$\Omega_{10,11} = (\epsilon_0^0 \epsilon_-^* + \epsilon_-^0 \epsilon_+^*) \Omega_0.$$  

Here $\Omega_0 = \frac{E_0^2}{\hbar} \left( \frac{1}{\varphi - \Delta} \right)$ and $\Omega_0^2 = \gamma^2 I/2I_0$. From Eq. 7, we see that if $\hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\sigma}_\pm$, the Rabi frequency $\Omega_{00,10}$ is maximized and equal to $\Omega_0$. If instead $\hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\beta}$, then $\Omega_{00,10} = 0$ while all other Rabi frequencies are equal to $\Omega_0/\sqrt{2}$. It should be noted that a linear polarization from a single beam cannot drive Raman transitions between any of the $^{171}$Yb$^+$ hyperfine ground states. These polarizations are the two which provide the largest Rabi frequencies, while all others have smaller effective Rabi rates, so we dwell on these two cases. An important note is that in the case of $\hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\beta}$, $E_{10}^{(4)} = 0$ because the shifts from $|1,1\rangle$ and $|1,-1\rangle$ are equal and cancel each other.

We now compute the differential fourth-order Stark shift on the qubit states $|1,0\rangle$ and $|0,0\rangle$, $\delta \omega^{(4)} = E_{10}^{(4)} - E_{00}^{(4)}$.

$$\delta \omega^{(4)} = \frac{\Omega_0^2}{2\delta_{00,10}} \left( \frac{1}{\delta_{00,11}} + \frac{1}{\delta_{00,11}} \right)$$

when $\hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\sigma}_\pm$. Finally, we generalize to incorporate all possible pairs of comb teeth. The two-photon Rabi frequency for any two comb teeth $k_0$ and $k_1$, where $k_1 - k_0 = l$ is $\Omega_n = \left[ g_{k_0} g_{k_0+l/2} \right] \Omega_0 \text{sech}(\pi l \nu_{rep})$ [27]. Let $j$ be defined such that $|\omega_n - 2 \pi j \nu_{rep}|$ is minimized, assuming that it is nonzero. If we now plug this into Eq. 6 summing over all comb teeth, we find

$$E_n^{(4)} = \sum_{a \neq n} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{sech}^2((j + k)\pi \nu_{rep})}{\delta_{n,a} - k(2\pi \nu_{rep})}$$

where $\delta_{n,a} = \omega_n - j(2\pi \nu_{rep})$, and

$$C_{n,a} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{sech}^2((j + k)\pi \nu_{rep})}{1 - k(2\pi \nu_{rep})/\delta_{n,a}}.$$  

Because the denominator in Eq. 10 grows rapidly with $k$, only the closest few beatnotes are important, and as long as $2\pi \nu_{rep} \gg \omega_{Zee}$, then $E_{10}^{(4)}$ remains zero for $\hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\beta}$. The differential fourth-order Stark shift then becomes

$$\delta \omega^{(4)} = \frac{C_{00,10}}{\Omega_0^2} \left( \frac{C_{00,11}}{\delta_{00,11}} + \frac{C_{00,11}}{\delta_{00,11}} \right)$$

when $\hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\sigma}_\pm$. Assuming the same parameters as with the second-order Stark shift (20 mW of time-averaged power focused down
to a 3 µm waist) and with $\nu_{rep} = 120$ MHz and $\tau = 14$ ps, we find that the fourth-order shift is

$$\delta \omega^{(4)} / 2\pi = \begin{cases} 247 \text{ kHz} & \text{when } \delta = \sigma_\pm \\ 132 \text{ kHz} & \text{when } \delta = \beta. \end{cases}$$ (12)

This result is $\sim 100$ times larger than the differential second-order Stark shift for the same parameters. Comparing the fourth and second-order expressions, we find that $\delta \omega^{(4)} / \delta \omega^{(2)} \propto g_0^2 / (\omega_{HF} \delta)$, clearly defining the regime where the fourth-order shift dominates. The second-order shift only becomes larger with a hundred-fold reduction in the laser intensity, corresponding to an applied shift below 10 Hz. Since the differential fourth-order shift can easily be made large as shown above, it is a practical means to control a large number of qubits.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The laser used to generate the fourth-order Stark shift is a mode-locked, tripled, ND:YVO$_4$ [29], at 355nm with a repetition rate of $\nu_{rep} = 120$ MHz, a maximum average power of $P = 4$W, and a pulse duration of $\tau = 14$ps, giving a bandwidth of about 70 GHz. These parameters are well-suited for the $^{171}$Yb$^+$ system since the laser bandwidth covers the qubit splitting but does not give rise to appreciable spontaneous emission from the excited states [26].

The optical access of our current vacuum chamber restricts the polarization of the Stark shifting beam since the magnetic field is orthogonal to all viewports, prohibiting the use of pure $\sigma_\pm$ light. However, as discussed earlier, the differential fourth-order Stark shift has two possible polarizations with large shifts for a single beam: the first is pure $\sigma_\pm$, the second is $\delta = \beta \equiv 1/2 \delta_+ + 1/\sqrt{2\pi} + 1/2 \delta_-$. We use the $\beta$ polarization which slightly reduces the maximum shifts applicable, but does not require pure $\sigma_\pm$.

The small spot size required to individually apply a shift to each qubit is achieved by using the imaging objective designed for qubit state readout. Since the cycling transition of $^{171}$Yb$^+$ is 369 nm and the center wavelength of the modelocked laser is 355 nm, we use a Semrock dichroic beam combiner (LP02-355RU-25) for separating the 355 nm laser from the resonant light at 369 nm (Fig. 2). Guided by simulations of the optical system in the commercial ray-tracing software, Zemax [30], we focus the 355 nm light down to a less than 3 µm horizontal waist using an objective lens with a 0.23 numerical aperture.

In order to address each ion in a chain of up to 10 sites, we use an acousto-optical deflector (AOD, Brimrose CQD-225-150-355). Since the AOD is not imaged, it maps the rf drive frequency to ion position and the rf power of that drive frequency to the applied intensity. The rf control is implemented using an arbitrary waveform generator (AWG, Agilent M8109A), because it allows precise, easy, and arbitrary control while being easily reconfigurable. The differential fourth-order Stark shift is a direct change in the energy splitting of the qubit, so unlike in stimulated Raman processes, phase coherence does not require optical phase stability or even rf phase stability, but only depends on the integrated time-averaged intensity. Thus phase-coherent control only requires timing resolution better than the period of the differential fourth-order Stark shift, which is easily achieved with the AWG. The AWG also allows the application of many frequencies to the AOD, which will Stark shift multiple ions simultaneously. Additionally, the AWG gives arbitrary amplitude control of each frequency, providing time-dependent amplitude modulation of the four photon Stark shift.

Due to the quadratic dependence of the differential fourth-order Stark shift on intensity, when we divide the optical power across $N$ ions, each ion’s fourth-order Stark shift is diminished by $1/N^2$ due to the quadratic dependence on intensity. We recover a linear dependence on ion number by rastering the beam, or applying a large shift for a short time, $t_0$ sequentially to the ions. As long as each pulse chapter of length $N t_0$ is much shorter than the interaction time-scale, then the shift on each ion is then proportional to $1/N$.

![FIG. 3. Sketch of a typical raster pulse sequence. When the light is evenly distributed across $N$ ions, the applied fourth-order Stark shift diminishes by $1/N^2$ due to the quadratic dependence on intensity. We recover a linear dependence on ion number by rastering the beam, or applying a large shift for a short time, $t_0$ sequentially to the ions. As long as each pulse chapter of length $N t_0$ is much shorter than the interaction time-scale, then the shift on each ion is then proportional to $1/N$.](image)
a single cycle. In order for the raster to be fast enough to justify averaging the Stark shift, the length of each raster cycle, $N t_0$, must be small compared to the total elapsed time $T = N mt_0$. We assume that any time where the beam is not hitting any of the ions during a raster cycle is small compared to the raster cycle duration and can be neglected. Substituting into Eq. 14,

$$\delta \omega^{(4)}(\text{ion}) = \max(\delta \omega^{(4)}) \frac{1}{N}$$

which recovers a linear dependence on the system size. In Fig. 3, we show a diagram of an example raster sequence. The limitation on this technique is how small $t_0$ can be made. In our case, $t_0$ is limited by the rise time of the AOD, which is approximately 50 ns, which is still fast compared to $N/\delta \omega^{(4)}$ and very fast when compared to a mechanical deflector rise time.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION

Using Ramsey spectroscopy [31], we measure the total Stark shift on the qubit splitting from the applied light. A quadratic dependence on the intensity distinguishes the fourth-order Stark shift from the typical linear dependence of the second-order AC Stark shift (Eq. 11). By measuring the total shift as a function of applied time-averaged optical power, the data in Fig. 4a demonstrates that the observed shift is consistent with the $I^2$ dependence of the fourth-order Stark shift.

By translating the ion through the beam, we measure the horizontal beam waist by fitting the resulting Stark shift to the square of a Gaussian distribution (Fig. 4b):

$$\delta \omega^{(4)}(\Delta x) = \delta \omega^{(4)}(0) \left(e^{-2\Delta x^2/\sigma^2}\right)^2.$$  

We measure the horizontal waist to be $\sigma = 2.68 \pm 0.03 \mu m$. This small waist allows for independent control of qubits. In Fig. 5a, we show how qubit 5 can be driven in a ten ion system with only minimal crosstalk of approximately 2% on the adjacent spins (ions 4 and 6). In this configuration, the ions are separated by 2.76 and 2.64 $\mu m$ respectively. By increasing the distance between ions, we can decrease the crosstalk on adjacent spins. For example, in a system of two spins separated by 7 $\mu m$, we individually drive each ion with the cross-talk $\leq 2 \times 10^{-5}$ over a time $t = 30 \times 2\pi/\delta \omega^{(4)}$.

As indicated above, the rf drive frequency maps to position on the ion chain, while the small spot size allows for individual control of the ions. In Fig. 5b, we show this mapping in a chain of ten ions by scanning the drive frequency of the AOD while fixing the rf power and time. The difference in the applied fourth-order Stark shift of each ion is due to the rf bandwidth of the AOD, since the diffraction efficiency is lower at the extremes of the bandwidth. In the current optical setup, a change of 10 MHz to the drive frequency corresponds to a displacement of approximately 3.4 $\mu m$ along the ion chain.

![FIG. 4. Measured fourth-order Stark shift as a function of optical power with fit residuals (a). We fit the measured light shift as a function of optical power to Eq. 11 for $\epsilon = \beta$ taking into account an astigmatism of the imaging optics resulting in the vertical waist being $\sim 1.5$ times the horizontal and find very good agreement showing that the light shift arises from the fourth-order Stark shift. Measurement of the beam waist at the ion with fit residuals (b). By translating the ion through the beam with a fixed applied optical power of 40 mW, we extract the horizontal optical waist at the ion. We found this to be 2.68 $\mu m$.]

This control enables the preparation of arbitrary, high-fidelity product states when the individual addressing beam is used in conjunction with global qubit operations from the Raman beams. In Fig. 6, we illustrate a pulse sequence used to generate a product state. This method, effectively a Ramsey sequence, is used to prepare a spatially-alternating spin state, which is the most difficult state to produce since it is the most susceptible to crosstalk. We observe a fidelity of 87 $\pm$ 1% for the desired state, which includes all state preparation and measurement (SPAM) errors. This fidelity is consistent with a 2% error of the $\pi$-pulses on five of the ions arising from the intensity noise and the small inter-ion crosstalk.
of the individual addressing beam, and some residual fidelity stemming from the off-resonant coupling of the ground states and the ion detection error.

Two features of the experimental noise should be noted. The first stems from the quadratic nature of the fourth-order light shift. This quadratic dependence on the intensity doubles the fractional uncertainty in the light shift relative to small amplitude noise in the laser intensity. The second arises from the off-resonant coupling of levels in the ground state manifold. This off-resonant coupling leads to effective Rabi dynamics that cause unwanted qubit evolution with probability $\sim \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta_{a}^{2} \rangle / (\langle \delta_{a}^{2} \rangle + \langle \delta_{a}^{2} \rangle)$, which can be viewed as qubit state decoherence or leakage to other states. In the experiment, light shifts of order 5 MHz are expected to cause such unwanted evolution with a probability of about 20%. For this reason, during coherent operations we restrict the shift size to $< 300$ kHz, where this probability is less than 2.5%.

V. CONCLUSION

The freedom and control afforded by an individually addressed, Stark-shifting beam opens many possibilities that were previously inaccessible to clock state qubits. One such new application is that we can now apply site addressed, Stark-shifting beam opens many possibilities that were previously inaccessible to clock state qubits. One such new application is that we can now apply site addressed, Stark-shifting beam opens many possibilities that were previously inaccessible to clock state qubits. One such new application is that we can now apply site addressed, Stark-shifting beam opens many possibilities that were previously inaccessible to clock state qubits. One such new application is that we can now apply site addressed, Stark-shifting beam opens many possibilities that were previously inaccessible to clock state qubits.

By applying light to only ion 5 in a chain of 10, we measure the crosstalk on the nearest neighbors, ion 4 and 6, to be only 2%, which is consistent with our measured horizontal beam waist and the ion separation. Solid line is a fit to an exponential decaying oscillation with decay parameter $\tau = 133 \mu$s, which is a 2% error per $\pi$-pulse. Individual ion signal as the beam is swept over a chain of ten ions (b). By scanning the AOD drive frequency for a fixed power and duration, we map the fourth-order Stark shift as a function of drive frequency. This corresponds to a displacement of beam position at the ion chain. The effective scanning range of the AOD is approximately 30 $\mu$m.

of the individual addressing beam, and some residual in-fidelity stemming from the off-resonant coupling of the ground states and the ion detection error.

Two features of the experimental noise should be noted. The first stems from the quadratic nature of the fourth-order light shift. This quadratic dependence on the intensity doubles the fractional uncertainty in the light shift relative to small amplitude noise in the laser intensity. The second arises from the off-resonant coupling of levels in the ground state manifold. This off-resonant coupling leads to effective Rabi dynamics that cause unwanted qubit evolution with probability $\sim \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta_{a}^{2} \rangle / (\langle \delta_{a}^{2} \rangle + \langle \delta_{a}^{2} \rangle)$, which can be viewed as qubit state decoherence or leakage to other states. In the experiment, light shifts of order 5 MHz are expected to cause such unwanted evolution with a probability of about 20%. For this reason, during coherent operations we restrict the shift size to $< 300$ kHz, where this probability is less than 2.5%.

The freedom and control afforded by an individually addressed, Stark-shifting beam opens many possibilities that were previously inaccessible to clock state qubits. One such new application is that we can now apply site dependent transverse magnetic fields to an interacting Ising spin system [32]. Since the strength of each field is controlled by the rf amplitude from the AWG, we are able to quickly generate hundreds of different random instances of individual ion fields in a reproducible way. Furthermore, this technique enables dynamic individual control, enabling quantum simulations of interesting systems such as loops with non-zero magnetic flux [33].

The primary limitation in the current apparatus is the intensity applied to each ion, especially those on the edge of the chain due to the bandwidth of the AOD. The maximum intensity on each ion is simply

$$I_{ion} = \frac{2\bar{P} P(NA)^2}{\lambda^2} DE$$

where $\bar{P}$ is the time-averaged power into the AOD, NA is the objective numerical aperture, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the light, $DE$ is the diffraction efficiency of the AOD at the drive frequency, $\nu$, corresponding to the ion position. By enlarging the NA of the objective lens, the intensity applied to each ion would greatly increase while simultaneously lowering the inter-ion crosstalk. Further, improving the diffraction efficiency and bandwidth of the AOD would further increase the maximum intensity available for each ion.
AOD will allow more ions to be addressed. By implementing changes on both of these elements, we should be able to address 20+ ions without difficulty.

In this work we demonstrate that a large Stark shift can be generated on a clock state qubit with modest laser powers via a fourth-order light shift using an optical frequency comb. We show that by focusing this light, it can be used to rotate individual qubits with low crosstalk, create arbitrary product states, and generate site-specific terms in a model Hamiltonian. These new tools are important additions to the quantum toolbox and may be integral to future developments in quantum information.