This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Formation of ultrashort pulses from quasimonochromatic XUV radiation via infrared-field-controlled forward scattering

T. R. Akhmedzhanov, V. A. Antonov, and Olga Kocharovskaya

Phys. Rev. A **94**, 023821 — Published 10 August 2016

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.94.023821](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.023821)

Formation of ultrashort pulses from quasi-monochromatic XUV radiation via IR field controlled forward scattering

T.R. Akhmedzhanov^{1,*}, V.A. Antonov^{2,3,4}, and Olga Kocharovskaya¹

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy and Institute for Quantum Studies and Engineering,
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA,*

²*Institute of Applied Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
46 Ulyanov street, Nizhny Novgorod 603950, Russia,*

³*N.I. Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod,
23 Gagarin avenue, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia,*

⁴*Kazan Federal University, 18 Kremlyovskaya street,
Kazan 420008, Republic of Tatarstan, Russia.*

*We suggest a highly efficient method of ultrashort pulse formation from the resonant XUV radiation due to sub-laser-cycle modulation of the excited state of non-hydrogen-like atoms by a nonionizing IR laser field. This modulation results in formation of the Raman Stokes and anti-Stokes sidebands in coherently forward scattered radiation, which, in turn, leads to formation of short pulses, when the phases of the sidebands are matched. This method is a generalization of recently suggested technique [Phys. Rev. A **88**, 053849 (2013)] to non-hydrogen-like medium. Possibility to form 2 fs XUV pulses in the gas of helium atoms and 990 as XUV pulses in the plasma of Li⁺ ions with efficiencies over 80% is shown.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Sub-femtosecond XUV pulses provide a unique combination of high spatial and time resolution and find numerous applications to capture the motion of electrons, atoms, and molecules in real time, to observe element-specific dynamics at the M- and L- shells absorption edges of magnetic materials, etc. [1-6]. The conventional way of producing such ultrashort XUV pulses in table-top setup is high harmonic generation (HHG) in gases [7,8]. This method allows formation of very short pulses (up to 67 as [9]). However, the efficiency of conversion of visible or IR laser radiation into high harmonics is low. In particular, in the water window 2.3-4.4nm, it is 10^{-8} - 10^{-9} [10,11]. It results in low total energy of the generated pulse trains (on the order of nJ) which limits their applications. Much higher energy per pulse can be achieved at XFELS in a few femtosecond regime, but there are only few such facilities in the world [12-15]. Modern table-top X-ray lasers are able to generate high energy (in the mJ range) X-ray pulses, but with relatively long duration in the range of few picoseconds [16-18]. Thus, a highly efficient method of transformation of an output pulse of X-ray laser into the sub-femtosecond pulses would be very desirable.

Recently, a technique for production of ultrashort pulses from a quasi-monochromatic XUV radiation via the resonant interaction with atoms, dressed by a moderately strong IR laser field was suggested [19,20] and studied [21-23]. The two regimes of pulse formation were considered: (i) via the linear Stark effect in hydrogen-like medium, irradiated by the nonionizing IR field, and (ii) via rapid excited-state-ionization in arbitrary atomic gas. In the first case, the pulse formation relies on adiabatic (quasi-static) splitting of the resonant excited atomic energy level in space and time due to the linear AC Stark effect. Namely, under the action of modulating field the instantaneous values of transition frequencies from the ground to excited energy levels linearly depend on the instantaneous value of modulating field at the considered moment of time and point in space. The degeneracy of the first excited energy level of hydrogen (or a hydrogen-like ion) and the anti-phase shift of its relevant sublevels under the action of the IR field play a key role in the pulses formation. The second regime is based on sudden interruption of the resonant

interaction of the XUV field with the medium due to the complete atomic ionization from the resonant excited state within each half-cycle of the IR field. In this case, the produced pulses are extremely short. However, since the pulse formation is essentially based on ionization, this regime can't be realized in the active media of X-ray plasma lasers. Besides, its efficiency is essentially lower than that in the first, nonionizing, regime, which is characterized by the very high efficiency of transformation of an incident XUV radiation into the ultrashort pulse trains (close to unity) and can be potentially realized in the active media of X-ray lasers. But, since it essentially relies on the degeneracy of the first excited state of hydrogen-like atoms, the possibility of its generalization and realization in the other atomic gases remains questionable.

In the present paper we suggest the generalization of the technique, based on modulation of the resonant excited atomic state by the nonionizing IR field. This approach is not restricted to the atoms of hydrogen and hydrogen-like ions with degenerate excited energy levels. Below, we consider its implementation in the medium of helium or helium like ions, but it can be realized in a variety of atomic gases, which makes its experimental realization more feasible and promising for wider potential applications. By using Floquet formalism [24], we study the formation of a train of ultrashort pulses from quasi-monochromatic XUV radiation in the gas of IR-field-dressed He atoms. We investigate the case of not too strong IR field, when the role of ionization is negligible in comparison with mixing and modulation of the excited atomic states. Under the action of IR field the excited states of atoms are properly described in Floquet basis [24], rather than in the bare one. It is shown, that the parameters of the IR field can be optimized in a way that one of the Floquet states produces a few in-phase sidebands of an incident field with comparable amplitudes. If the incident XUV field is tuned in resonance with this state, the scattered XUV field contains a few sidebands in phase with each other, which, after attenuation of an incident spectral component to the level of generated sidebands, leads to formation of a train of ultrashort pulses. Firstly, within a three-level model of He atom, we show that the pulses with time duration of 2 fs can be produced at the output of an optically thin medium of He atoms. Secondly, we verify that neither the presence of higher lying discrete excited states nor ionization affect the possibility of pulse formation. Thirdly, we consider the propagation problem and show that the efficiency of transformation of an incident quasi-monochromatic XUV field into the train of femtosecond pulses in the optically thick medium of helium may exceed 80%. Finally, we show that with proper scaling of the parameters of an IR field, the suggested method allows formation of the train of sub-femtosecond pulses in the plasma of He-like ions with an efficiency 87.4%.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section II, we analyze the spectral and temporal properties of the XUV field scattered by an optically thin layer of He atoms within a three-level approximation, using Floquet approach [24]. We show that for the properly chosen dressing IR field wavelength and intensity, a train of ultrashort pulses can be produced at the output of the medium. In Section III, we study an influence of the higher lying excited states and ionization from the excited states on the pulse formation. At first, we consider 5 level model of He and show that taking into account of the two extra excited states does not change the pulse shape appreciably. After that we confirm the possibility of the pulse formation by solving the full time dependent Schrodinger equation (TDSE), taking into account all the bound and continuum states of an atom in a single active electron approximation. In Section IV we numerically study the propagation of an XUV field in the optically thick medium and demonstrate high efficiency of transformation of the incident radiation into the train of ultrashort pulses. In Section V we discuss a possibility to reduce the pulse duration using plasma of He-like ions and derive the scaling law which allows immediately find the IR field parameters required for pulse formation in He-like media. In conclusion we summarize the main results of the paper. Atomic units are used throughout the paper, unless specified otherwise.

II. THREE-LEVEL MODEL

Let us consider the linearly polarized quasi-monochromatic XUV radiation, propagating along x -axis through the atomic gas with quasi-resonant atomic transition from the ground state, $|1\rangle$, to an excited state, $|2\rangle$. At the input of the medium ($x=0$), the field is given by:

$$\vec{E}(x,t) = \vec{z}_0 \frac{1}{2} \tilde{E}(x,t) \exp\{-i\omega\tau\} + \text{c.c.}, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{z}_0$ is the unit vector directed along the polarization of the field, $\tilde{E}(x,t)$ is an amplitude of the field, ω is its carrier frequency, c is the speed of light in vacuum, and c.c. stands for complex conjugation. $\tau = t - x/c$ is the local time in the reference frame, co-moving with the X-ray radiation wave. The XUV field is considered to be sufficiently weak so that it does not change the population of the ground and excited states during the interaction time. We consider an interaction of the XUV field with the atomic gas in the presence of an additional linearly polarized IR field, propagating in the same direction as the XUV radiation:

$$\vec{E}_{IR}(x,t) = \vec{z}_0 \tilde{E}_{IR} \cos(\omega_{IR}\tau), \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{E}_{IR}$ is amplitude of IR field, ω_{IR} is its frequency. The IR field is moderately strong: it does not couple the ground state to the excited states, but it couples the state $|2\rangle$ to another excited state $|3\rangle$.

Mixing of the states $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ by the IR field leads to appearance of two Floquet states [24]. The wave function of the IR-field-dressed atom can be represented in the Floquet basis as follows [25]:

$$|\psi\rangle = c_1 |1\rangle + \sum_{i=1,2} c_{\lambda_i} \exp(-i\lambda_i\tau) |\Phi_{\lambda_i}(\tau)\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where energy of the ground state is chosen to be zero. Here λ_i is the quasi-energy of the i^{th} Floquet state $|\Phi_{\lambda_i}\rangle$, which periodically depends on time and constitutes the Fourier series:

$$|\Phi_{\lambda_i}(\tau)\rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-im\omega_{IR}\tau) \sum_{\alpha} a_m^{i;\alpha} |\alpha\rangle, \quad (4)$$

and c_{λ_i} is an amplitude of this Floquet state. The amplitudes $a_m^{i;\alpha}$ of Fourier components of Floquet states (4) and quasi-energies λ_i of these states are determined by the intensity and the frequency of the IR field and can be expressed analytically in terms of the infinite continued fractions (see, e.g. [26]). In general case $a_m^{i;\alpha}$ are the complex numbers. For the field (2) they can be chosen to be real. The index α enumerates excited states of a bare atom (an atom in the absence of the IR field), that is, $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ states. It is worth noting that quasi-energy of Floquet state is defined up to integral number of ω_{IR} since

Floquet state $|\Phi_{\lambda_i - n\omega_{IR}}(\tau)\rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-i(m+n)\omega_{IR}\tau) \sum_{\alpha} a_m^{i;\alpha} |\alpha\rangle$ with quasi-energy $\lambda_i - n\omega_{IR}$ is

physically the same as state $|\Phi_{\lambda_i}(\tau)\rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-im\omega_{IR}\tau) \sum_{\alpha} a_m^{i;\alpha} |\alpha\rangle$ with quasi-energy λ_i [24]. In the

following we choose the quasi-energies in a way that $m=0$ corresponds to the levels, nearest to the

unperturbed energy of the 1s2p state. Another important property of Floquet states is that coefficients $a_m^{i;2}$ are not zeroes only for even m , and $a_m^{i;3}$ are not zeroes only for odd m (or vice versa – depending on the choice of the quasi-energy) [24, 25, 27], that is, with our choice of quasi-energies, $a_{2m+1}^{i;2} = 0$ and $a_{2m}^{i;3} = 0$.

If the XUV field is tuned close to the exact resonance with the transition from the ground state $|1\rangle$ to the only step with $m=k$ one Floquet state i , then, within the framework of perturbation theory, the slowly-varying amplitude of the XUV field at the output of an optically thin medium can be found as [25,27]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(x,t) &= \tilde{E}(0,t) + \tilde{E}_{Scatt} = \\ &= \tilde{E}(0,t) - x \frac{2\pi\omega N |d_{2,1}|^2}{c\hbar} e^{-i(\lambda_i - \omega)\tau} \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_{2m}^{i;2} \exp(-2im\omega_{IR}\tau) \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \exp(i(\lambda_i - \omega)\tau') \tilde{E}(\tau') (a_k^{i;2})^* d\tau', \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Excitation of another Floquet state by XUV field is negligible as long as the bandwidth of incident XUV pulse is small as compared to the frequency separation between the two neighboring Floquet states (i.e. the pulse duration is sufficiently large). As it is seen from (5), the properties of the output field are controlled by the amplitudes $a_{2m}^{i;2}$ of Fourier components of Floquet states, which are determined by the parameters of the IR field. In order to form a train of ultrashort pulses we need to find the wavelength and intensity of the IR field that correspond to a Floquet state where the majority of coefficients $a_{2m}^{i;2}$ will have comparable amplitudes and nearly the same phases. Within the framework of Hermitian Floquet theory, this optimization can be quickly done numerically for some specific atom. The $a_{2m}^{i;2}$ are determined by the two dimensionless parameters, namely by the ratios of the IR field frequency, ω_{IR} , and the Rabi frequency of the IR field, $\tilde{E}_{IR} d_{2,3}$ (where $d_{2,3}$ is dipole moment of transition $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$), to the frequency of transition $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$, those are $\omega_{IR} / \omega_{23}$ and $\tilde{E}_{IR} d_{2,3} / \omega_{23}$.

Let us consider, in particular, a gas of helium atoms under the following conditions. The XUV field is weak and its frequency is close to the frequency of transition from the ground state $1s^2$ to 1s2p state. So it couples only these states, exciting polarization at the transition $1s^2 \leftrightarrow 1s2p$. The IR field is low frequency (as compared to the frequencies of transitions from the resonantly populated 1s2p state to the other states of helium) and not too strong. Taking into account that the dipole moment of transition $1s2s \leftrightarrow 1s2p$ is a few times larger than those of transitions from the resonant 1s2p state to the other excited states, while the frequency of transition $1s2s \leftrightarrow 1s2p$ is a few times smaller, it is reasonable to consider coupling of the IR field only to $1s2s \leftrightarrow 1s2p$ transition. The corresponding transition wavelengths are shown in Fig. 1(a). Thus, the three-level model, including $1s^2$, $1s2s$ and $1s2p$ states (which are the states $|1\rangle$, $|3\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ in our notation, correspondingly) is well justified in the case under consideration (the role of other excited discrete states and continuum will be investigated in the next sections).

As it was already mentioned, mixing of $1s2s$ and $1s2p$ states by the IR field leads to appearance of two Floquet states. We optimize the IR field parameters in order for one of the Floquet states to have a few $a_{2m}^{i;2p}$'s of the same phase and comparable amplitudes. The result of optimization is shown in Fig. 1(b). As it can be seen, the first Floquet state (the left ladder in Fig. 1(b)) contains 6 sidebands of the same

order of magnitude, with 5 of them having the same sign. The IR field producing this Floquet states has wavelength 6176 nm (corresponding to $\omega_{IR} / \omega_{23} \approx 1/3$) and intensity $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} W / cm^2$ (corresponds to $\tilde{E}_{IR} d_{23} / \omega_{23} \approx 1.11$).

The spectral structure of the resonantly scattered field is fully defined by the structure of the Floquet ladder itself. However, the XUV radiation at the output of an optically thin medium is a sum of the incident and scattered fields. In an absorbing medium, the resonant component of scattered field is always in antiphase to the incident field. Therefore, if the resonant component is in anti-phase to the others, then all the other spectral components will be in-phase with the incident XUV field, resulting in pulse formation, while if the resonant component is in-phase with the others, then most of the sidebands will be in anti-phase with the incident field, and the pulses will not be produced. Thus, the XUV field should be tuned in resonance with the step of Floquet ladder with $a_2^{1,2p} = -0.228$, which has opposite sign in comparison to the other steps. In the considered case, it corresponds to wavelength 59.16 nm. It is worth noting that in general, when the excited-state-ionization is taken into account, the quasi-energies λ_i are complex numbers [24]. In order to take into account the finite lifetime of the excited states $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ within the three-level model, we add a small complex part γ_i to both λ_1 and λ_2 , $\gamma_i \ll \omega_{IR}$, $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|$, artificially. The value γ_i determines the half-linewidths of transitions to the ground atomic state, $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ and $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$. In its turn, the bandwidth of an incident XUV field is considered to be smaller than this linewidth. Under such conditions the XUV field, scattered by atoms under the simultaneous action of monochromatic XUV and IR fields, is given by

$$\tilde{E}_{scatt} = -x A \tilde{E}_0 \frac{a_2^{1,2p}}{\gamma} \left(\sum_m a_{2m}^{1,2p} \exp(-2im\omega_{IR}\tau) \right), \quad (6)$$

where $A = \frac{2\pi\omega N |d_{2p1s}|^2}{c\hbar}$ and $\tilde{E}_0$ is incident XUV field amplitude. The validity of these assumptions is justified in the next sections via numerical solution of the full TDSE. The spectral structure of this field corresponds to the left Floquet ladder in Fig. 1(b). The XUV radiation at the output of thin medium is given by the sum of scattered field and the incident field:

$$\tilde{E}(x,t) = \tilde{E}_0 - x A \tilde{E}_0 \frac{a_2^{1,2p}}{\gamma} \left(\sum_m a_{2m}^{1,2p} \exp(-2im\omega_{IR}\tau) \right) \approx \tilde{E}_0 - x \tilde{E}_0 A \frac{a_2^{1,2p}}{\gamma} \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} a_{2m}^{1,2p} \exp(-2im\omega_{IR}\tau) \right). \quad (7)$$

Summation of the incident and the resonantly scattered fields results in phase matching of the central frequency component with the sidebands. To produce the pulses of shortest duration, the amplitude of the incident XUV field spectral component at the output of the medium should be attenuated to the level of the generated sidebands. In such a case, the output XUV field takes the form:

$$\tilde{E}(x,t) = -x A \tilde{E}_0 \frac{a_2^{1,2p}}{\gamma} \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} a_{2m}^{1,2p} \exp(-2im\omega_{IR}\tau) - a_2^{1,2p} \right). \quad (8)$$

This field corresponds to the train of well-shaped pulses with pulse duration 2 fs, shown in Fig. 2 by solid red line. An attenuation can be produced by frequency selective multilayer mirrors with half of FWHM of reflectivity curve less than $2\omega_{IR}$ [28,29]. On the other hand, it is not necessary to attenuate the incident

XUV spectral component with the additional tools. Instead, one may simply increase the optical thickness of the resonant absorbing modulated medium. In the last case, the produced pulses will be slightly longer, but the efficiency of transformation of the incident XUV radiation into the pulse train can exceed 80%. The possible experimental realizations of the last approach are discussed in Sections IV and V.

It is worth noting that the pulse formation does not require exact tuning of wavelength of the IR and XUV fields, which may vary in the ranges 6100-6200 nm and 59.05-59.25 nm, respectively (although, a larger pedestal up to 0.2 of peak pulse intensity might appear).

The choice of modulation field parameters, presented above, is not unique. Namely, the proper Floquet state with comparable amplitudes and nearly the same phases of the spectral components, resulting in ultrashort pulses formation, can be produced with different choices of frequency and intensity of the IR field. For example, in Fig. 3 we show the train of pulses with duration 1.2 fs and carrier wavelength 59.24 nm, formed in optically thin layer of helium atoms irradiated by 4117 nm IR field with intensity $I=8.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ W/cm}^2$ (the incident XUV spectral component is attenuated according to (8)). However, in general, with further increase of intensity or shortening of wavelength of the IR field, the three-level approximation becomes invalid, so that more levels need to be taken into account. Moreover, the linewidth of the resonant XUV transition becomes broader due to the increased excited-state-ionization rate, making the selective interaction of the XUV field with the only one specific Floquet state impossible.

Figure 1. (a) Three level model and its implementation in helium. Vertical arrows correspond to the transition wavelengths. Transition energies are shown in atomic units. (b) Coupling of $1s2s$ and $1s2p$ states of He by the IR field leads to formation of the two Floquet states. Each state corresponds to the energy ladder with the steps separated by the IR field frequency. However, the steps containing the same state ($|2\rangle$ or $|3\rangle$) are separated by the doubled photon energy of the IR field. Only the steps containing $2p$ state are shown. Note that these steps don't contain $2s$ state, since $a_{2m}^{i;2s} = 0$ (vice versa, the steps containing $2s$ state don't contain $2p$ state, since $a_{2m+1}^{i;2p} = 0$, and hence they are not coupled to the XUV field). The vertical axis corresponds to energy in atomic units, while numbers near the steps correspond to

the amplitudes $a_{2m}^{i,2p}$. The quasi-energies λ_1 and λ_2 are shown by the dashed black horizontal lines. The IR field parameters are $\lambda=6176$ nm, $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ W / cm}^2$.

Fig. 2. Intensity of the 59.16 nm XUV field at the exit of an optically thin layer of helium, irradiated by 6176 nm IR field with intensity $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ W / cm}^2$. The solid red line corresponds to three-level model, dash black line corresponds to five-level model. The incident XUV frequency component is attenuated according to (8).

Fig. 3. Intensity of the 59.24 nm field at the exit of an optically thin layer of helium irradiated by 4117 nm IR field with intensity $I=8.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ W / cm}^2$. The incident XUV spectral component is attenuated according to (8).

III. ROLE OF HIGHER LYING STATES AND IONIZATION

In this section, we study the influence of higher lying bound energy levels of helium, as well as ionization, on the spectral and temporal properties of the output XUV radiation and prove that the results, derived in previous section for the three level model, still hold within more accurate models of helium atom.

Firstly, we repeat the Floquet state calculation, taking into account five unperturbed states of helium atom, namely, $1s^2$, $1s2s$, $1s2p$, $1s3s$ and $1s3d$ states. We have added $1s3s$ and $1s3d$ states, since they correspond to the strongest dipole allowed transitions from $1s2p$ state (with an exception of the $1s2s \leftrightarrow 1s2p$ transition) and are the closest to it in energy. The excited states $1s2s$, $1s2p$, $1s3s$ and $1s3d$ are coupled to each other by the IR field. The IR field with the same parameters, as considered in the

previous section (those are wavelength 6176 nm and intensity $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ W / cm}^2$) produces four Floquet states. One of these states has the amplitudes of the “steps” $a_{2m}^{i;2p}$ ’s, which are very similar to $a_{2m}^{i;2p}$ ’s of the one of two Floquet states, calculated within the three-level model (the corresponding ladder looks similar to the one on the left in Fig.1(b)). Excitation of this state by the resonant XUV field with the wavelength 59.23 nm (which is slightly different from the value 59.16 nm, given by the three-level model) and subsequent attenuation of the incident XUV spectral component to the level of the sidebands according to (8) leads to formation of the ultrashort pulse train shown in Fig. 2 by dashed black line. As it can be seen, the agreement between the predictions of 3-level and 5-level models is excellent, except minor difference in pedestal shape.

Secondly, we study the influence of the higher-lying bound atomic states as well as ionization on pulse formation on the basis of numerical solution of full TDSE for helium atom simultaneously interacting with XUV and IR field. We use the numerical scheme first introduced in [30], and the model potential [31]. In order to find the proper frequency of the incident XUV field for TDSE calculation, we firstly repeat the Floquet states calculation within the five-level model of with parameters of the states $1s^2$, $1s2s$, $1s2p$, $1s3s$ and $1s3d$, defined by the model potential [31]. The XUV field, quasi-resonant to the required Floquet state, has wavelength 59.24 nm. We perform TDSE calculation for He atoms placed in this XUV field along with 6176 nm IR field with intensity $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ W / cm}^2$. As a result, we numerically find the induced dipole moment $d(t) = \langle z(t) \rangle$. The XUV field at the output of the optically thin medium is given by:

$$\tilde{E}_{XUV}(x, \tau) = \tilde{E}_{XUV}(0, \tau) - \frac{2\pi x}{c} \frac{dP}{dt}, \quad P = Nd(t). \quad (9)$$

The squared modulus of this field after attenuation of the incident XUV spectral component to the level of the adjacent sidebands is shown in Fig. 4 by the thin blue line. As it can be seen, the pulses are clearly formed and are in a rather good agreement with predictions of the three-level model, shown in Fig. 4 by the bold red line. Thus, the performed calculations confirm validity of the simple three level model of He and open up the prospects for experimental realization of the suggested method.

Fig. 4. The results of TDSE calculation. Intensity of the 59.24 nm XUV radiation after propagation through an optically thin medium of helium, irradiated by 6176 nm IR field with intensity $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ W / cm}^2$. The spectral component at the incident XUV radiation frequency is attenuated to the level of the adjacent sidebands.

IV. PROPAGATION EFFECTS AND EFFECIENCY OF THE METHOD

In this section we analyze the effects of propagation of the XUV radiation through the optically thick medium of helium, dressed by the IR field, on the shape of produced pulses and determine the efficiency of transformation of the incident XUV radiation into the pulse train.

Propagation of the resonant XUV field through an optically thick gas of helium irradiated by the strong IR field is described by the wave equation for the XUV field and the density matrix equations for the helium atoms. Use of the density matrix allows taking into account both ionization- and collisional-broadening of the atomic transition lines. Within the previously formulated three-level model, in the slowly varying amplitude approximation for the XUV field and the resonant polarization of the medium, as well as the rotating-wave approximation for the elements of the density matrix, and the approximation of the given amplitude of the IR field, the equations take the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial x} = i \frac{2\pi\omega}{c} \tilde{P} \\ \tilde{P} = 2Nd_{1s,2p} \tilde{\rho}_{2p,1s} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{1s,1s}}{\partial \tau} = -\gamma_{1s} \tilde{\rho}_{1s,1s} + \frac{id_{1s,2p}}{2} (\tilde{E}^* \tilde{\rho}_{2p,1s} - \tilde{E} \tilde{\rho}_{1s,2p}) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{2s,2s}}{\partial \tau} = -\gamma_{2s} \tilde{\rho}_{2s,2s} + i\tilde{E}_{IR} \cos(\omega_{IR}\tau) d_{2s,2p} (\tilde{\rho}_{2p,2s} - \tilde{\rho}_{2s,2p}) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{2p,2p}}{\partial \tau} = -\gamma_{2p} \tilde{\rho}_{2p,2p} - \frac{id_{1s,2p}}{2} (\tilde{E}^* \tilde{\rho}_{2p,1s} - \tilde{E} \tilde{\rho}_{1s,2p}) - i\tilde{E}_{IR} \cos(\omega_{IR}\tau) d_{2s,2p} (\tilde{\rho}_{2p,2s} - \tilde{\rho}_{2s,2p}) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{2p,1s}}{\partial \tau} = -(\gamma_{2p,1s} + i(\omega_{2p} - \omega)) \tilde{\rho}_{2p,1s} + \frac{id_{1s,2p}\tilde{E}}{2} (\tilde{\rho}_{1s,1s} - \tilde{\rho}_{2p,2p}) + i\tilde{E}_{IR} \cos(\omega_{IR}\tau) d_{2s,2p} \tilde{\rho}_{2s,1s} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{2s,1s}}{\partial \tau} = -(\gamma_{2s,1s} + i(\omega_{2s} - \omega)) \tilde{\rho}_{2s,1s} - \frac{id_{1s,2p}\tilde{E}}{2} \tilde{\rho}_{2s,2p} + i\tilde{E}_{IR} \cos(\omega_{IR}\tau) d_{2s,2p} \tilde{\rho}_{2p,1s} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_{2p,2s}}{\partial \tau} = -(\gamma_{2p,2s} + i(\omega_{2p} - \omega_{2s})) \tilde{\rho}_{2p,2s} + \frac{id_{1s,2p}\tilde{E}}{2} \tilde{\rho}_{1s,2s} + i\tilde{E}_{IR} \cos(\omega_{IR}\tau) d_{2s,2p} (\tilde{\rho}_{2s,2s} - \tilde{\rho}_{2p,2p}) \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_{ij}$ are the slowly-varying amplitudes of the density matrix elements, $\tilde{P}$ is slowly varying atomic polarization, $\omega_{2s,1s} = (E_{2s} - E_{1s})/\hbar$ and $\omega_{2p,1s} = (E_{2p} - E_{1s})/\hbar$ are the frequencies of transitions $1s2s \leftrightarrow 1s2p$ and $1s^2 \leftrightarrow 1s2p$, respectively, while γ_i and $\gamma_{i,j}$ are decay rates of the diagonal and non-diagonal elements of the density matrix. The population decay rate γ_i is estimated as ionization rate from the corresponding i^{th} atomic state under the action of the IR field, which is determined from auxiliary numerical calculation (by numerically solving time-dependent Schrodinger equation with He model potential [31]). For the considered parameters of the IR field, those are wavelength 6176 nm and intensity $I = 2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ W/cm}^2$, $\gamma_{2p} \approx 2 \times 0.11 \omega_{IR}$, $\gamma_{2s} = 2 \times 0.055 \omega_{IR}$, and $\gamma_{1s} \approx 0$. Since in a rare atomic gas, exposed to a strong laser field, the collisional and Doppler broadenings are negligible in comparison with the ionization one, the off-diagonal decay rates can be calculated as $\gamma_{i,j} = (\gamma_j + \gamma_i)/2$. The initial and boundary conditions are:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{E}(0,t) = \tilde{E}_0 f(t) \\ \rho_{1s,1s}(x,0) = 1 \\ \rho_{2s,2s}(x,0) = 0, \\ \rho_{2p,2p}(x,0) = 0, \\ \rho_{i,j}(x,0) = 0, i \neq j \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

where $f(t)$ is an envelope of the incident XUV field. These equations are written and numerically solved in the basis of bare atomic states using a fourth order Runge-Kutta scheme for time step and a second order Adams-Bashforth scheme for step in x [32].

Let us consider a propagation of an incident XUV pulse with carrier wavelength 59.16 nm and Gaussian envelope with FWHM 145 fs and peak intensity $I=10^{10} W / cm^2$ through the gas of helium with atomic density $10^{17} cm^{-3}$, irradiated by 6176 nm IR field with intensity $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} W / cm^2$. The bandwidth of the incident XUV pulse is $0.01 eV$, which is much less than the energy separation between the Floquet states, $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \approx 0.58 \omega_{IR} \approx 0.12 eV$. The XUV field with such wavelength and pulse duration might be produced via the resonantly enhanced high-harmonic generation in InP plasma plume [33, 34]. Another way to generate it is frequency doubling of 355 nm radiation in a nonlinear crystal, followed by its frequency tripling in a gas. The time-dependence of the output XUV intensity strongly depends on whether the output spectral component at the frequency of the incident XUV radiation is attenuated, or not, see Fig. 5. In the presence of attenuation to the level of the generated sidebands the well-shaped pulses with duration 2 fs and peak intensity, equal to 0.87 maximum intensity of the incident XUV radiation are produced at the output of the medium with the *optimal* propagation length 4.00 mm, Fig. 5a. It is worth noting, that an optical thickness, defined as a ratio of medium length to characteristic length at which an intensity of the resonant field is decreased by $e \approx 2.718$ times, in the medium of IR-dressed-atoms is smaller, than in the unperturbed medium. Namely, additional multiplier $a_2^{1,2p} \sum_{m \neq 0} a_{2m}^{1,2p} \exp(-2im\omega_{IR}\tau)$ appears in (7). Thus the effective optical thickness for perturbed medium

might be roughly estimated as $2 \left| a_2^{1,2p} \right|^2 \frac{2\pi\omega x N \left| d_{2p,1s} \right|^2}{c\hbar\gamma_{1s,2p}}$. For the considered parameters of the medium

and the IR field, it is equal to 0.87. Under these conditions, the efficiency of transformation, defined as a ratio of the incident XUV radiation energy to the energy contained in the pulse train, equals 19.3%. For shorter propagation lengths a form of pulses is almost identical, while their intensity (and, thus, the transformation efficiency) is smaller. For longer propagation distances, the peak intensity of the produced pulses is no longer increasing, while their duration becomes larger. In the absence of attenuation, both the peak pulse intensity and the efficiency of transformation are substantially increased at the cost of increased pulse duration and pedestal. Thus, for the same parameters of the incident XUV and IR fields and the medium in the absence of attenuation the peak intensity of the pulses is 2.7 times larger than peak intensity of the incident XUV radiation, while the efficiency of transformation reaches 80.6%, Fig. 5b.

Fig. 5. (a) The XUV pulse at the entrance to the medium (black dashed line) and after propagation through 4 mm of helium gas with atomic density 10^{17} cm^{-3} irradiated by 6176 nm IR field with intensity $I=2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ W/cm}^2$ (blue solid line). The resonant component of the output XUV radiation (corresponding to the incident field) is attenuated to the level of adjacent sidebands. Inset: a couple of pulses from the train shown in the main figure. (b) The same as in (a), but the resonant XUV spectral component is not attenuated.

V. SCALING TO OTHER ATOMIC SYSTEMS

The suggested method is easily scalable to an arbitrary three-level system with one high frequency (say, XUV) dipole-allowed transition and one low frequency (say, IR) dipole-allowed transition. Such a scalability potentially allows to adjust the carrier frequency and duration of the produced pulses of XUV radiation via the proper choice of the generating medium. Let us consider an atomic system with some IR transition with frequency ω_{23} . Choosing the frequency and the amplitude of the IR field according to the criteria presented in Section II, that is $\omega_{IR} / \omega_{23} = 1/3$ and $\tilde{E}_{IR} d_{2,3} / \omega_{23} \approx 1.11$, leads to creation of Floquet states with the same coefficients $a_{i;\alpha_0}^m$ as in Section II and allows pulse train formation from the resonant XUV radiation. Suppose we choose three-level atoms with IR transition frequency X times bigger than $2s2p$ transition in He and set the frequency and the Rabi frequency of the IR field to be X times bigger as well (the incident XUV radiation frequency has to be properly adjusted). In this case, the sideband separation, $2\omega_{IR}$, will also be X times bigger than in case of He atoms, which means that the *pulses duration (as well as the repetition period) will be X times smaller*. It opens up the possibility for efficient conversion of an XUV radiation into a train of sub-femtosecond pulses.

Let us consider, for example, Li^+ ions. The energy level diagram of Li^+ ion is the same as for helium, but the transition wavelengths for $1s^2 \leftrightarrow 1s2p$ and $1s2s \leftrightarrow 1s2p$ transitions are 19.928 nm and 958.4 nm, correspondingly. The other relevant parameters of Li^+ ions can be found in [35]. It is worth noting that

19.9 nm Li+-based X-ray laser was theoretically suggested and investigated [36-38]. An emission at 19.9 nm was experimentally observed from lithium plasma XUV sources [39,40]. Applying the described scaling approach, we immediately find the wavelength and intensity of the IR field, required for the ultrashort pulse formation, which are $3 \times 958.4 \sim 2875$ nm and $I = 4.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ W / cm}^2$, respectively. Using Floquet approach, we find that XUV field resonant to the first Floquet state has wavelength 20.1 nm. In order to estimate an efficiency of transformation of the XUV radiation into the pulse train we simulate propagation of both XUV and IR fields in an optically thick Li+ plasma layer taking into account the plasma dispersion, as well as the collisional broadening of the relevant transition lines. The plasma dispersion for the IR field is taken into account in Eqs. (10) by replacing $\cos(\omega_{IR} \tau)$ with $\cos(\omega_{IR}(t - x n_{plasma} / c))$, where $n_{plasma} = \sqrt{1 - \omega_p^2 / \omega_{IR}^2}$ is the plasma refractive index, ω_p is plasma frequency; the plasma dispersion for the XUV field is negligible. For the typical temperature and concentration of plasma ~ 5000 K and 10^{18} cm^{-3} , the linewidths $\gamma_{1s2p}, \gamma_{1s2s}, \gamma_{2s2p}$ are mainly determined by collisional broadening and are in the range of a few meV [41,42]. Since the ionization potentials of 1s2s and 1s2p states are much higher in Li+ than in He (while the required intensity of the IR field is just an order of magnitude higher), a contribution of the ionization rates is negligible. As it follows from the numerical solution of Eqs. (10), at the output of the medium with the length 80 μm (which corresponds to

the effective optical thickness $2 |a_2^{1,2p}|^2 \frac{2\pi\omega x N |d_{2p,1s}|^2}{c\hbar\gamma_{1s,2p}} \approx 3.9$), a train of pulses will be produced with

the pulse duration 0.99 fs (which is remarkably close to the prediction of the scaling law, $2fs \times 2875 / 6176 \approx 0.93fs$), see Fig. 6. The efficiency of transformation into the pulse train equals 26.6%. The output pulses are delayed with respect to incident one due to the resonant dispersion of Li+ ions, which turns out to be important, since bandwidth of the incident XUV radiation exceeds linewidth of the resonant transition $1s^2 \leftrightarrow 1s2p$. Similar to the case of He atoms, without attenuation of the resonant output XUV spectral component both the peak pulse intensity and the efficiency of transformation are substantially increased at the cost of increased pulse duration and pedestal. Thus, for the same parameters of the medium in the absence of attenuation the peak intensity of the pulses is 2 times larger than the peak intensity of the incident XUV field, while efficiency of transformation reaches 87.4%, see Fig.6b.

Fig. 6. Intensity of the 20.1 nm XUV field at the entrance to the medium (black dashed line) and after propagation through 80 μm of Li^+ plasma along with 2875 nm IR field with intensity $I=4.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ W} / \text{cm}^2$ (blue solid line). (a) The resonant frequency of the XUV field at the exit of the medium is attenuated. Ion density is 10^{18} cm^{-3} . Inset: a couple of the pulses from the train shown in the main figure. (b) The same as (a), but the resonant XUV spectral component is not attenuated.

VI. CONCLUSION

In the present paper, we have shown the possibility to produce the ultrashort femto- and sub-femtosecond pulses of XUV radiation via its resonant interaction with the medium of IR-field-dressed non-hydrogen-like atoms. The mechanism of pulse formation is based on mixing and modulating of the excited atomic states by the nonionizing relatively low frequency IR field. Using Floquet formalism within the three-level model of He atoms and He-like Li^+ ions, we found the optimal parameters (frequency and amplitude) of the IR field, providing formation of well-shaped pulses with duration 2 fs and 990 as, correspondingly. We verified that the presence of the other bound atomic states and ionization results in only slight changes in the shape of produced pulses. We found a simple scalability law which can be used for determination of the optimal parameters of the IR field providing the ultrashort pulse formation in various non-hydrogen-like media under conditions when the three-level model approximation is applicable. Under the optimal conditions, the efficiency of pulse formation reaches 80-90%, which makes the suggested technique very favorable for time shaping of picosecond pulses of X-ray lasers. Since this method does not imply ionization neither from the ground, nor from the excited states of the generating medium, it can be potentially used directly in the active media of X-ray lasers.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) grant PHY-1307346 and PHY-1506467. Authors acknowledge Texas A&M High Performance Research Computing for the use of supercomputer time. Timur Akhmedzhanov gratefully acknowledges the support by Herman F. Heep and Minnie Belle Heep Texas A&M University Endowed Fund held/administrated by Texas A&M Foundation. V.A.A. acknowledges support by RFBR under the grants No. 16-32-60173, No. 16-02-01034, No. 16-02-00527, No. 14-02-00762, No. 14-29-07152, and No. 14-22-02034, as well as a personal grant for young scientists from the Grant Council of the President of Russian Federation and a personal grant for young scientists from Dynasty Foundation.

References:

1. A. Cavalleri, M. Rini, H. H. W. Chong, S. Fourmaux, T. E. Glover, P. A. Heimann, J. C. Kieffer, and R. W. Schoenlein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 067405 (2005).
2. K. Hoffmann, B. Murphy, B. Erk, A. Helal, N. Kandadai, J. Keto and T. Ditmire, *High Energ. Dens. Phys.* **6**, 185-189 (2010).
3. L. Yue and L. B. Madsen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 033001 (2015)
4. S. Mathias, M. Bauer, M. Aeschlimann, L. Miaja-Avila, H. C. Kapteyn, and M. M. Murnane, in *Dynamics at Solid State Surfaces and Interfaces: Current Developments, Volume I*, edited by U. Bovensiepen, H. Petek and M. Wolf (Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2010), pp. 501-535.
5. C. Ott, A. Kaldun, P. Raith, K. Meyer, M. Laux, J. Evers, C. H. Keitel, C. H. Greene, T. Pfeifer, *Science* **340**, 716-720 (2013).
6. D. Fabris, T. Witting, W. A. Okell, D. J. Walke, P. Matia-Hernando, J. Henkel, T. R. Barillot, M. Lein, J. P. Marangos, and J. W. G. Tisch, *Nature Photon.* **9**, 383-387 (2015).
7. P.M. Paul, E.S. Toma, P. Breger, G. Mullot, F. Auge, Ph. Balcou, H.G. Muller, and P. Agostini, *Science* **292**, 1689 (2001).
8. T. Popmintchev *et al.*, *Science* **336**, 1287-1291 (2012).
9. K. Zhao, Q. Zhang, M. Chini, Y. Wu, X. Wang, and Z. Chang, *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 3891–3893 (2012).
10. M.-C. Chen, P. Arpin, T. Popmintchev, M. Gerrity, B. Zhang, M. Seaberg, D. Popmintchev, M. M. Murnane, and H. C. Kapteyn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 173901 (2010).
11. D. Popmintchev *et al.*, *Science* **350**, 1225-1231 (2015).
12. S. Schreiber *et al.*, “First Lasing in the Water Window with 4.1 nm at FLASH”, in Proc. 33rd Free-Electron Laser Conf., Shanghai, pp. 164-165, (2011).
13. W. Ackermann *et al.*, *Nature Photonics* **1**, 336 - 342 (2007).
14. C. Pellegrini *et al.*, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. A* **331**, 223-227 (1993).
15. *SPring-8-II Conceptual Design Report* (Riken SPring-8 Center, 2014).
16. B. A. Reagan, M. Berrill, K. A. Wernsing, C. Baumgarten, M. Woolston, and J. J. Rocca, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 053820 (2014)
17. P.W. Wachulak, A. Bartnik, H. Fiedorowicz, P. Rudawski, R. Jarocki, J. Kostecki, and M. Szczurek, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. B* **268**, 1692-1700 (2010).
18. D. V. Korobkin, C. H. Nam, S. Suckewer and A. Goltsov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 5206 (1996).
19. Y. V. Radeonychev, V. A. Polovinkin, and Olga Kocharovskaya, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 183902 (2010).
20. V. A. Polovinkin, Y. V. Radeonychev, and Olga Kocharovskaya, *Opt. Lett.* **36**, 2296 (2011).
21. V. A. Antonov, Y. V. Radeonychev, and O. Kocharovskaya, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 053849 (2013).
22. V. A. Antonov, Y. V. Radeonychev, and O. Kocharovskaya, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 213903 (2013).
23. V.A. Antonov, T.R. Akhmedzhanov, Y.V. Radeonychev and Olga Kocharovskaya, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 023830 (2015).
24. S. I. Chu and D. A. Telnov, *Phys. Rep.* **390**, 1 (2004).
25. M.Chini, X. Wang, Y. Cheng, Y. Wu, D. Zhao, D. A. Telnov, S. I. Chu and Z. Chang, *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1105 (2013).
26. S. H. Autler and C. H. Townes, *Phys. Rev.* **100**, 703 (1955).

27. T.R. Akhmedzhanov, V.A. Antonov, Olga Kocharovskaya, submitted to *J. Phys. B*
28. N. Kaiser, S. Yulin, M. Perske, and T. Feigl, High-performance EUV Multilayer Optics, *Advances in Optical Thin Films III*, edited by N. Kaiser, M. Lequime, and H. A. Macleod, *Proc. SPIE* **7101**, 71010Z (2008).
29. S. A. Bogachev, N. I. Chkhalo, S. V. Kuzin, D. E. Pariev, V. N. Polkovnikov, N. N. Salashchenko, S. V. Shestov, and S. Y. Zuev, *Appl. Opt.* **55**, 2126-2135 (2016).
30. X.-M. Tong and S.-I. Chu, *Chem. Phys.* **217**, 119 (1997).
31. P.-C. Li, C. Laughlin, and S.I. Chu, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 023431 (2014).
32. G. Demeter, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **184**, 1203-1210 (2012).
33. R. A. Ganeev, H. Singhal, P. A. Naik, V. Arora, U. Chakravarty, J. A. Chakera, R. A. Khan, I. A. Kulagin, P. V. Redkin, M. Raghuramaiah, and P. D. Gupta, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 063824 (2006).
34. Rashid A. Ganeev, High-order Harmonic Generation in Laser Plasma Plumes, p. 46, Imperial College Press, London, 2013.
35. W. L. Wiese and J. R. Fuhr, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **38** 565 (2009).
36. P. L. Shkolnikov and A. E. Kaplan, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **9**, 2128-2131 (1992).
37. K. Lan, E. E. Fill and J. Meyer-ter-Vehn *Europhys. Lett.*, **64**,454-460 (2003).
38. K. E. Lan, E.Fill, and J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, *Laser and Particle Beams* **22**, 261–266, (2004).
39. Y. Nagata, K. Midorikawa, S. Kubodera, M. Obara, H. Tashiro, and K. Toyoda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3774 (1993).
40. S.A. George, W. Silfvast, K. Takenoshita, R. Bernath, C.-S. Koay, G. Shimkaveg, and M. Richardson, *Proc. of SPIE* **6151**, 615143, (2006).
41. M. S. Dimitrijevic and S. Sahal-Brchot, *Phys. Scripta* **54**, 50-55 (1996).
42. N. Konjevic, *Physics Reports* **316**, 339-401 (1999).