This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Single-photon superradiance and radiation trapping by atomic shells
Anatoly A. Svidzinsky, Fu Li, Hongyuan Li, Xiwen Zhang, C. H. Raymond Ooi, and Marlan O. Scully
Phys. Rev. A 93, 043830 — Published 18 April 2016
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043830
Collective nature of light emission by atomic ensembles yields fascinating effects such as superradiance and radiation trapping even at the single-photon level. Light emission is influenced by virtual transitions and collective Lamb shift which yields peculiar features in temporal evolution of the atomic system. We study how two-dimensional atomic structures collectively emit single photon. Namely, we consider spherical, cylindrical and spheroidal shells with two-level atoms continuously distributed on the shell surface and find exact analytical solution for eigenstates of such systems, their collective decay rates and frequency shifts. We identify states which undergo superradiant decay and states which are trapped and investigate how size and shape of the shell affects collective light emission. Our findings could be useful for quantum information storage and design of optical switches.

I. INTRODUCTION

Collective spontaneous emission from atomic ensembles has been a subject of long-standing interest since the pioneering work of Dicke [1]. If a single photon is stored in the atomic cloud (and shared among many atoms) the state undergoes collective spontaneous decay which could be superradiant if the atoms are properly phased. The rate of spontaneous emission can be enhanced or inhibited by changing the density of optical modes into which photon is emitted [2, 3]. This can be effectively achieved, e.g., by placing atoms in a microcavity [4–6].

Virtual (off-resonance) photons are fascinating aspect of quantum electrodynamics. In contrast to real photons, which may be detected, their virtual counterparts have a fleeting existence limited by the time-energy uncertainty relation. Virtual photons exist in and only in the interaction and do not generally conserve energy and momentum. The emission and the subsequent absorption of one or more virtual photons, however, give rise to measurable effects. For example, the Lamb shift [7] arises from a modification of the transition frequency of an atom due to the emission and reabsorption of transverse virtual photons. In quantum field theory, even classical forces, such as the Coulomb repulsion or attraction between two charges, can be thought of as due to the exchange of time-like virtual photons between the charges [8]. By modulating the atom-field coupling strength, virtual photons can be released as a form of quantum vacuum radiation [9].

Virtual transitions have interesting effect on collective emission of atoms [10–12]. In particular, if the initial atomic state is superradiant the virtual transitions partially transfer population into slowly decaying states which results in a trapping of some amount of atomic excitation. On the other hand, for slowly decaying states virtual processes yield additional decay channels which leads to a slow decay of the otherwise trapped states. Virtually exchanging off-resonant photons also induce collective Lamb shift [13–19].

One should note that quantization of the electromagnetic field in the Coulomb gauge yields only transverse photons. On the other hand, field quantization in the Lorenz gauge also gives time-like and longitudinal photons [8]. Mentioned above references on the collective Lamb shift, as well as the present paper, use the Coulomb gauge and, thus, Lamb shift appears due to exchange of virtual off-resonance transverse photons.

Photon propagating through an extended atomic cloud is collectively absorbed and reemitted which yields collective oscillations of the field envelope [20, 21]. Such collective oscillations can be amplified by a low frequency coherent drive by a mechanism of the difference combination resonance which leads to generation of high-frequency coherent radiation [22].

Many-photon superradiance has been observed experimentally in various systems, e.g., in optically pumped HF gas [23], helium plasma [24] and Cs atoms trapped in the near field of a photonic crystal waveguide [25]. Superradiance has been also discussed for excitons in semiconductors. In a crystal, the exciton can interact with a photon forming the polariton, i.e., a hybridized mode of exciton and photon. Since the exciton is a coherent elementary excitation over the whole crystal it can decay superradiantly through its macroscopic transition dipole moment [26]. Exciton superradiance in crystal slabs has been studied in [27, 28]. It was demonstrated that superradiance can be treated by a unified formalism for atoms, Frenkel and Wannier excitons [28]. A crossover from two-dimensional to three-dimensional crystals was investigated in [29]. A nonlocal theory of the collective radiative decay of excitons was developed for semiconductor quantum dots [30] and spherical semiconductor nanocrystals [31]. A transition between the strong (coherent) and weak (incoherent) coupling limits of interaction between quantum well excitons and bulk photons was analyzed in [32]. Exciton-photon coupled modes in a semiconductor film was investigated theoretically in [33]. Exciton superradiance in semiconductor microcrystals of CuCl was observed in [34].

Recent studies focus on collective, virtual and nonlocal effects in atomic [11, 12, 16, 21, 35–63] and nuclear...
[16, 64–69] ensembles. Short while ago it was shown that quantum mechanical evolution equations for probability amplitudes that describe single-photon emission (absorption) by atomic ensembles can be written in a form equivalent to the semiclassical Maxwell-Bloch equations [70]. This connection allows us considerably simplify the fully quantum mechanical treatment of the problem and find new analytical solutions.

Cooperative spontaneous emission can provide insights into quantum electrodynamics and is important for various applications of the entangled atomic ensembles and generated quantum states of light for quantum memories [71–77], quantum cryptography [78, 79], quantum communication [43, 73, 80] and quantum information [43, 47]. Superradiance has also important applications for realizing single-photon sources [81, 82], laser cooling by way of cooperative emission [83, 84], and narrow line-width lasers [85]. Collective interaction of light with nuclei arrays can be also used to control propagation of γ rays on a short (superradiant) time scale [86].

Here we investigate how two-dimensional atomic structures collectively emit light. Namely, we study spherical, cylindrical and spheroidal shells with two-level atoms continuously distributed on the shell surface (see Fig. 1). We find eigenstates of such systems, their collective decay rates and collective frequency (Lamb) shifts. One should mention that eigenstates for various bulk geometries when atoms occupy interior of a sphere [12, 38, 39, 42, 87], cylinder [88–90], slab [40] or multislife slab configuration [41] have been studied in the literature. Collective exciton states in a core-shell microsphere have been investigated in [91]. However, as we show, for the shell atomic structures which we study here the problem has exact analytical solutions. Such solutions yield new interesting insights on the collective single-photon emission and show under what conditions the atoms undergo fast superradiant decay and when collective excitation is trapped (does not decay even in the presence of virtual transitions).

In this paper we study collective photon emission by an ensemble of two-level (a excited and b ground state) atoms with spacing between levels $E_o - E_b = \hbar \omega$. For a dense cloud of volume $V$ evolution of atomic system in a scalar photon theory is described by an integral equation with exponential kernel [12, 38, 39]

$$\frac{\partial \beta(t, r)}{\partial t} = i\gamma \int d r' n(r') \frac{\exp(i k_0 |r - r'|)}{k_0 |r - r'|} \beta(t, r'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\beta(t, r)$ is the probability amplitude to find atom at position $r$ excited at time $t$, $\gamma$ is the single atom decay rate, $k_0 = \omega/c$ is the wave number associated with the atomic transition and $n(r)$ is atomic density. Equation (1) takes into account virtual (off-resonance) processes and is valid in Markovian (local) approximation in which evolution of the system at time $t$ depends only on the state of the system at this moment of time. This is a good approximation provided that characteristic time scale of the system evolution is longer than a time of photon flight through the atomic cloud. However, if size of the sample is large enough, the local approximation breaks down and system's dynamics becomes nonlocal in time. Generalization of Eq. (1) including retardation effects has been considered in [57].

Eigenfunctions of Eq. (1)

$$\beta(t, r) = e^{-\Gamma t} \beta(r)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and eigenvalues $\Gamma$ determine evolution of the atomic system. Real part of $\Gamma$ yields the state decay rate, while $\text{Im}(\Gamma)$ describes frequency (Lamb) shift of the collective excitation. The eigenfunction equation for $\beta(r)$ reads

$$-i\gamma \int d r' n(r') \frac{\exp(i k_0 |r - r'|)}{k_0 |r - r'|} \beta(r') = \Gamma \beta(r).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Next we investigate solutions of Eq. (3) for various shell-like structures.
II. SPHERICAL SHELL

In this section we consider a spherical shell of radius $R$ (see Fig. 1a). Atoms are continuously distributed over the sphere surface. In spherical coordinates $r = (r, \theta, \phi)$ the atomic density is $n(r) = N\delta(r - R)/4\pi R^2$, where $N$ is the total number of atoms in the shell. For such geometry Eq. (3) reads

$$-\frac{i\gamma N}{4\pi} \int d\Omega' \frac{\exp(ik_0R|\hat{r} - \hat{r}'|)}{k_0R|\hat{r} - \hat{r}'|} \beta(\hat{r}') = \Gamma \beta(\hat{r}),$$

where $\hat{r}$ is a unit vector in the direction of $r$ and integration is performed over all angles. We look for solution of Eq. (4) in the form

$$\beta(\hat{r}) = Y_{nm}(\hat{r}),$$

where $Y_{nm}(\hat{r}) \equiv Y_{nm}(\theta, \phi)$ are spherical harmonics. Substituting this into Eq. (4) we obtain the following equation for the eigenvalues $\Gamma$

$$-\frac{i\gamma N}{4\pi} \int d\Omega' \frac{\exp(ik_0R|\hat{r} - \hat{r}'|)}{k_0R|\hat{r} - \hat{r}'|} Y_{nm}(\hat{r}') = \Gamma Y_{nm}(\hat{r}).$$

Next we use the expansion

$$\exp(ik_0R|\hat{r} - \hat{r}'|) = 4\pi i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=-k}^{k} Y_{ks}(\hat{r}) Y_{ks}^*(\hat{r}') j_k(k_0R) h_k^{(1)}(k_0R),$$

where $\hat{r}$ and $\hat{r}'$ are unit vectors in the directions of $r$ and $r'$ respectively, $j_k(z)$ and $h_k^{(1)}(z)$ are the spherical Bessel and Hankel functions. Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) yields

$$\gamma N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=-k}^{k} Y_{ks}(\hat{r}) j_k(k_0R) h_k^{(1)}(k_0R) \times$$

$$\int d\Omega' Y_{ks}^*(\hat{r}') Y_{nm}(\hat{r}') = \Gamma Y_{nm}(\hat{r}).$$

One can perform integration over $\hat{r}'$ directions in Eq. (8) using the orthogonality condition for spherical harmonics

$$\int d\Omega' Y_{ks}^*(\hat{r}') Y_{nm}(\hat{r}') = \delta_{nk} \delta_{sm}$$

which gives the following answer for the eigenvalues

$$\Gamma_n = N \gamma j_n(k_0R) h_n^{(1)}(k_0R).$$

For the spherical shell geometry each eigenvalue is $(2n + 1)$-fold degenerate.

Spherical Hankel functions can be written as a combination of the spherical Bessel functions of the first and the second kind as

$$h_n^{(1)}(x) = j_n(x) + iy_n(x).$$

Thus, the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues are

$$\text{Re}(\Gamma_n) = N\gamma j_n^2(k_0R),$$

$$\text{Im}(\Gamma_n) = N\gamma j_n(k_0R)y_n(k_0R).$$

For small atomic shell $k_0R \ll 1$ Eqs. (12) and (13) yield

$$\text{Re}(\Gamma_n) \approx N\gamma \left(\frac{(k_0R)^{2n}}{(2n + 1)!!}\right)^2,$$

$$\text{Im}(\Gamma_n) \approx -\frac{N\gamma}{(2n + 1)k_0R},$$

while in the large sample limit $k_0R \gg 1$ we obtain

$$\text{Re}(\Gamma_n) \approx N\gamma \frac{\sin^2(k_0R - \pi/2)}{(k_0R)^2},$$

$$\text{Im}(\Gamma_n) \approx -N\gamma \frac{\sin(2k_0R - \pi n)}{2(k_0R)^2}.$$
State trapping can be understood as follows. Maxwell’s equations for the electromagnetic field have the following normal modes in spherical coordinates

\[ E(r, \theta, \phi) = E_0 j_n(k_0 r) Y_{nm}(\hat{r}). \]  

(23)

If for \( r = R \) the electric field in the mode vanishes then such a mode is not coupled with the atomic spherical shell of radius \( R \). This is the case if spherical Bessel function \( j_n(x) \) has a zero at \( x = k_0 R \). As a result atoms in the state \( Y_{nm}(\hat{r}) \) cannot emit photon into this mode and state does not decay even in the presence of virtual transitions.

![FIG. 2: Collective decay rate (red solid line) and frequency shift (blue dash line) of a spherical atomic shell as a function of the radius of the sphere \( R \). Initially atoms are prepared in a symmetric state \( \beta(\hat{r}) = 1 \).](image)

By changing radius of the spherical shell one can control how fast the state decays. As a demonstration, in Fig. (2) we plot collective decay rate (red solid line) and frequency shift (blue dash line) of a spherical atomic shell as a function of the radius of the sphere \( R \). Initially atoms are prepared in a symmetric state \( \beta(\hat{r}) = 1 \).

In Fig. (3) we plot collective decay rate (red solid line) and frequency shift (blue dash line) as a function of the radius of the sphere \( R \) for the initial eigenstate \( \beta(\hat{r}) = \cos(\theta) \). For small shell radius \( k_0 R \ll 1 \) the state undergoes superradiant decay with the rate \( N \gamma \). However, when \( k_0 R = \pi, 2\pi, \ldots \) the symmetric state is trapped and decay rate is equal to zero.

In Fig. (4) we plot decay rate of several spherical harmonics \( \beta(r) = Y_{nm}(\theta, \phi) \) (\( n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) of a spherical atomic shell as a function of the radius of the sphere \( R \). For each spherical harmonic there is a range of the shell radii for which such harmonic has the fastest decay rate. Thus, if we want to make atoms decay fast for a certain radius of the sphere we must prepare state of the sample to be a particular spherical harmonic.

Finally we discuss collective decay of an atomic state prepared by absorption of a plane-wave photon with the wave vector \( k_0 \). We assume that initial state of atoms is

\[ \beta(0, \hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} e^{i k_0 \cdot r}. \]  

(24)
Expanding the initial state into eigenstates (5) (spherical harmonics) we obtain
\[ \beta(0, r) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} i^n j_n(k_0 R) Y^*_nm(\hat{k}_0) Y_nm(\hat{r}), \]
where \( \hat{k}_0 \) is a unit vector in the direction of \( k_0 \). Evolution of the initial state (24) is given by
\[ \beta(t, r) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} i^n j_n(k_0 R) Y^*_nm(\hat{k}_0) Y_nm(\hat{r}) e^{-\Gamma_n t}, \]
where \( \Gamma_n \) is the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenstate \( Y_nm(\hat{r}) \). We calculate the probability \( P(t) \) that atoms in the shell are excited as a function of time
\[ P(t) = \int |\beta(t, r)|^2 d\Omega, \]
where integration is over the solid angle. Using Eq. (26) and taking into account orthogonality condition for spherical harmonics (9) we obtain
\[ P(t) = 4\pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} j_n^2(k_0 R) Y^*_nm(\hat{k}_0) Y_nm(\hat{k}_0) e^{-2Re(\Gamma_n) t}. \]
Finally using Unsöld’s theorem
\[ \sum_{m=-n}^{n} Y^*_nm(\hat{k}_0) Y_nm(\hat{k}_0) = \frac{2n+1}{4\pi} \]
and expression for the eigenvalues (12) we find
\[ P(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) j_n^2(k_0 R) e^{-2N\gamma_n^2(k_0 R) t}. \]
Since
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) j_n^2(k_0 R) = 1 \]
at initial moment of time \( P(0) = 1 \), that is \( P(t) \) is properly normalized.

In Fig. 5 we plot \( P(t) \) given by Eq. (30) for different radii of the spherical shell \( k_0 R = 0.1, 1, 2, 3 \) and 5. The vertical axis has logarithmic scale and, thus, an exponentially decaying function would appear as a straight line. Figure illustrates that for \( k_0 R \ll 1 \) the state decays exponentially with a rate \( N\gamma \) until probability to find atoms excited mostly decays. On the other hand, for \( k_0 R \gg 1 \) the decay is not exponential because in this limit the initial state overlaps with many eigenstates of the system. The decay of atoms is now much slower. In Fig. 6 we plot \( P(t) \) for a very large shell size, namely, \( k_0 R = 10 \). In this case the non-exponential decay of the initial state becomes very pronounced.

III. CYLINDRICAL SHELL

In this section we consider infinitely long cylindrical shell of radius \( R \) (Fig. 1b) and use cylindrical coordinates \( r = (\rho, \varphi, z) \). Atoms are continuously distributed on the cylinder surface with the density \( n(r) = n_0 (\rho - R)/2\pi R \), where \( n_0 \) is the number of atoms per unit length of the cylinder. For such geometry eigenfunction Eq. (3) reads
\[ -\frac{i\gamma n_0}{2\pi k_0} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz' K(\varphi - \varphi', z - z') \beta(\varphi', z') = \Gamma \beta(\varphi, z), \]
where
\[
K(\varphi, z) = \frac{\exp \left[ ik_0 \sqrt{2R^2 - 2R^2 \cos(\varphi) + z^2} \right]}{\sqrt{2R^2 - 2R^2 \cos(\varphi) + z^2}}.
\] (33)

To find eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the integral equation (32) we use the following expansion
\[
K(\varphi, z) = \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_m(k^2_0 - k^2 z) J_m^{(1)}(k^2_0 - k^2 R) e^{ikz} e^{i\pi m},
\] (34)

where \( J_m(x) \) and \( J_m^{(1)}(x) \) are the Bessel and Hankel functions of the first kind. We look for solution of Eq. (32) in the form
\[
\beta(\varphi, z) = e^{im\varphi} e^{ikz},
\] (35)

where \( n \) is an integer number and \( k_z \) is the wave number of the mode along the cylindrical axis \( z \). Substituting this into Eq. (32), using Eq. (34) and
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz e^{ik_z z} = 2\pi \delta(k - k_z),
\] (36)

\[
\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi' e^{in\varphi} e^{i(\varphi - \varphi')} = 2\pi \delta_{nm},
\] (37)

we obtain the following expression for the eigenvalues \( \Gamma \)
\[
\Gamma = \frac{\pi \gamma \eta_0}{k_0} J_n(\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R}) H_n^{(1)}(\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R}).
\] (38)

For \( k_z \leq k_0 \) it is convenient to write the Hankel functions as a combination of the Bessel functions of the first and the second kind
\[
H_n^{(1)}(x) = J_n(x) + i Y_n(x).
\] (39)

On the other hand, for \( k_z > k_0 \) we use the relations \( J_n(ix) = i^n I_n(x) \) and \( H_n^{(1)}(ix) = 2K_n(x)/\pi i^{n+1} \), where \( I_n(x) \) and \( K_n(x) \) are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind. This yields the following answer for the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues. For \( k_z \leq k_0 \)
\[
\text{Re}(\Gamma) = \frac{\pi \gamma \eta_0}{k_0} J_n(\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R}),
\] (40)

\[
\text{Im}(\Gamma) = -\frac{2\gamma \eta_0}{k_0} I_n(\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R}) K_n(\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R}),
\] (41)

while for \( k_z > k_0 \)
\[
\text{Re}(\Gamma) = 0,
\] (42)

\[
\text{Im}(\Gamma) = -\frac{2\gamma \eta_0}{k_0} I_n(\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R}) K_n(\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R}).
\] (43)

Equation (42) shows that states with \( k_z > k_0 \) are trapped. For such states the probability amplitude to find atoms excited evolves as
\[
\beta(t, r) = e^{i(k_z z - \text{Im}(\Gamma)t)} e^{im\varphi}
\] (44)

and atomic excitation propagates along the cylinder without emitting a photon outside the cylinder. States with \( k_z > k_0 \) never emit a photon in free space and become evanescent waves.

For \( k_z \leq k_0 \) photon can be emitted outside and states decay. Equation (40) shows that the timed-Dicke state (with \( n = 0 \) and \( k_z = k_0 \))
\[
\beta(\varphi, z) = e^{ik_0 z}
\] (45)

has the fastest decay rate
\[
\text{Re}(\Gamma_{TD}) = \frac{\pi \gamma \eta_0}{k_0}.
\] (46)

However, collective Lamb shift for such state logarithmically diverges since \( Y_0(x) \approx (2/\pi) \ln(x/2) \) for small \( x \). On the other hand, states for which
\[
\sqrt{k^2_0 - k^2 R} = A_{nl},
\] (47)

where \( A_{nl} \) are zeroes of the Bessel function \( J_n(x) \) are trapped. For such states \( \text{Re}(\Gamma) = 0 \) and collective Lamb shift also vanishes: \( \text{Im}(\Gamma) = 0 \).

As an example, let us consider a state
\[
\beta(\varphi, z) = e^{-i\Delta z} e^{ik_0 z} e^{im\varphi},
\] (48)

where \( 0 \leq \Delta \ll k_0 \). If
\[
\Delta = \frac{A_{nl}^2}{2k_0 R^2}
\] (49)

the state is trapped, however it is superradiant for other values of \( \Delta \).

In Fig. 7 we plot collective decay rate (solid red line) and frequency shift (dash blue curve) of the axially symmetric state \( \beta(r) = e^{ik_z z} \) as a function of the wave number \( k_z \) along the \( z \)-axis for atoms continuously distributed on the surface of infinitely long cylinder of radius \( k_0 R = 10 \). For \( k_z > k_0 \) the state is trapped and \( \text{Re}(\Gamma) = 0 \). On the other hand, for \( k_z \leq k_0 \) photon is emitted and atomic decay rate can be controlled by changing \( k_z \) or radius of the cylinder \( R \).

Next we explore how one can control collective decay rate by changing shape of the atomic shell.

IV. SPHEROIDAL SHELL

In this section we consider a general geometry in which \( N \) atoms are uniformly distributed on a surface of a...
spherical shell with semi-axes $a$ and $b$ shown in Fig. 1c. A spheroid, or ellipsoid of revolution, is a surface obtained by rotating an ellipse about one of its principal axes. In Cartesian coordinates $x$, $y$, $z$ the equation of a spheroid with $z$ as the symmetry axis is given by

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1.$$ (50)

The semi-axis $b$ is the equatorial radius of the spheroid, and $a$ is the distance from centre to pole along the symmetry axis. There are two possible cases: $a < b$ (oblate spheroid) and $a > b$ (prolate spheroid). The case of $a = b$ reduces to a sphere.

It is mathematically convenient to adopt prolate spheroidal coordinates $\xi$, $\eta$ and $\varphi$ defined by the coordinate transformation with Cartesian coordinates [93]

$$x = f \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \cos \varphi,$$

$$y = f \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \sin \varphi,$$

$$z = f \xi \eta,$$

where $f = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}$, $-1 < \eta < 1$, $\xi \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$. The limits $\xi \to \infty$, $f \to 0$, $\xi \xi = r$ and $\eta = \cos \theta$ produce spherical polar coordinates.

For $a > b$ the surface $\xi = a/\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}$ forms prolate spheroid given by Eq. (50). For the spheroidal shell geometry Eq. (3) reads

$$-\frac{i\gamma N}{4\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta' \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi' \frac{\exp(ik_0|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)}{k_0|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \beta(\eta', \varphi') = \Gamma(\eta, \varphi),$$ (51)

where in terms of prolate spheroidal coordinates

$$|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| = [(\eta - \eta')^2 a^2 + (2 - \eta^2 - \eta'^2 - 2\sqrt{(1 - \eta^2)(1 - \eta'^2)} \cos(\varphi - \varphi')) b^2]^{1/2}.$$ (52)

We look for solutions of Eq. (51) in the form

$$\beta(\eta, \varphi) = S_{nm}(k_0 a^2 - b^2, \eta) e^{im\varphi},$$ (53)

where $S_{nm}(c, \eta)$ are spheroidal angle functions, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $m = -n, -n + 1, \ldots, n - 1, n$. Kernel of the integral equation (51) can be expanded in terms of the spheroidal radial and angle functions as [93]

$$\frac{\exp(ik_0|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)}{k_0|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} R_{nm}^{(1)}(k_0 f, \xi) R_{nm}^{(3)}(k_0 f, \xi) \times S_{nm}(k_0 f, \eta) S_{nm}(k_0 f, \eta') e^{im(\varphi - \varphi')},$$ (54)

where

$$f = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2},$$

$$\xi = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}.$$
where \( R_{nm}^{(2)}(c, \xi) \) is the spheroidal radial function of the second kind, we obtain that real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues are given by

\[
\text{Re}(\Gamma_{nm}) = N\gamma \left[ R_{nm}^{(1)}(k_0 f, \xi) \right]^2, \tag{57}
\]

\[
\text{Im}(\Gamma_{nm}) = N\gamma R_{nm}^{(1)}(k_0 f, \xi) R_{nm}^{(2)}(k_0 f, \xi). \tag{58}
\]

For \( a < b \) we should replace \( \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \) with \( i\sqrt{b^2 - a^2} \), that is \( \xi \to i\xi \). Spheroidal functions \( R_{nm}^{(1)} \) and \( R_{nm}^{(2)} \) remain real-valued in spite of this replacement. Equations (57) and (58) allow us to investigate cross-over between spherical and cylindrical geometries and study how shape of the atomic shell affects collective emission of the photon.

Next we discuss several interesting examples. In Fig. 8 we plot collective decay rate of eigenstates of a spheroidal shell with quantum numbers \((n, m) = (0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0) \) and \((3, 0)\) as a function of the axes length ratio \(a/b\). We assume that spheroidal shell has semi-axes \(a\) (axis of revolution) and \(b\) and length of \(b\) is fixed such that \(k_0 b = 0.5\). When \(a \ll b\) we are in the small sample limit in which the symmetric state \((0, 0)\) is superradiant and other states are trapped. If we start to stretch spheroidal shell along the \(a\)-axis (increase \(a\)) the trapped states become superradiant and their decay rates merge with the decay rate of the \((0, 0)\) state.

Figure 9 shows collective decay rate of eigenstates of a spheroidal shell with quantum numbers \((n, m) = (3, 0), (3, 1), (3, 2) \) and \((3, 3)\) as a function of the axes length ratio \(a/b\). We assume that length \(b\) is fixed such that \(k_0 b = 0.5\). If \(a = b\) (spherical shell) the states are degenerate. However, if we deform the sphere the degeneracy is lifted and states start to evolve with different decay rates.

Finally, in Fig. 10 we plot collective decay rate of an eigenstate of a spheroidal shell with quantum numbers \((n, m) = (0, 0)\) as a function of the axes length ratio \(a/b\). We assume that length \(b\) is fixed at a special value of \(k_0 b = 5\pi\). If \(a = b\) such state is trapped (collective decay rate vanishes). However, if we compress the sphere along the \(a\)-axis (decrease \(a\)) the state decay rate oscillates between zero and a maximum value. Thus, by changing shape of the spheroid we can manipulate the state dynamics between superradiant emission and trapping of atomic excitation.

V. CONCLUSION

Cooperative spontaneous emission of a single photon by atomic ensemble is an interesting physics which combines virtual transitions and Lamb shift with many-particle effects. Collective nature of photon emission can result in radiation speed up or light trapping. Total suppression of spontaneous decay can occur for certain atomic geometries despite the presence of virtual transitions. Change in the shape of the atomic system or its size can yield superradiant decay of the otherwise trapped state. Such property could be useful for quantum information storage and design of optical switches.

In this paper we found eigenstates, their collective decay rates and frequency shifts for two dimensional atomic structures of various shapes. Such two dimensional structures can be made by bombarding crystals with atomic beams and creating defects at the sample surface. Nitrogen vacancy centers on the surface of diamonds is an example of the shell-like two dimensional configurations. Preparation of various collective atomic states in shell-like structures is substantially easier than for bulk atomic
samples for which resonant photons get absorbed in a thin layer near the sample surface.

It is remarkable that eigenstates for spherical, cylindrical and spheroidal atomic shells that we study can be obtained analytically even when virtual processes are included. This is usually not the case for bulk atomic samples. Our exact solutions provide useful insight on the problem of collective atomic emission by showing precisely how shape and size of the shell influences dynamics of the photon emission. They can help us to design atomic structures with desired properties, e.g. superradiant or light trapping configurations. Our solution for spheroidal shell also demonstrate how collective atomic emission changes during transition between spherical and cylindrical geometries.
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