

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Ultrafast saturation of electronic-resonance-enhanced coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering and comparison for pulse durations in the nanosecond to femtosecond regime

Anil K. Patnaik, Sukesh Roy, and James R. Gord Phys. Rev. A **93**, 023812 — Published 5 February 2016 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.93.023812

### Ultrafast Saturation of Electronic-Resonance-Enhanced Coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering and comparison from Nanosecond to Femtosecond Regime

Anil K. Patnaik,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Sukesh Roy,<sup>3,†</sup> and James R. Gord<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Air Force Research Laboratory, Aerospace Systems Directorate, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435

<sup>3</sup>Spectral Energies, LLC, 5100 Springfield Street, Suite 301, Dayton, OH 45431

Saturation threshold of a probe pulse in an ultrafast electronic-resonance-enhanced CARS (ERE-CARS) configuration is calculated. We demonstrate that while underdamping condition is a sufficient condition for saturation of ERE-CARS with the long-pulse excitations, a transient-gain must be achieved to saturate ERE-CARS signal for ultrafast probe regime. We have identified that the area under the probe pulse can be used as a definitive parameter to determine the criterion for saturation threshold for ultrafast ERE-CARS. From a simplified analytical solution and a detailed numerical calculation based on density-matrix equations, the saturation threshold of ERE-CARS is compared for a wide range of probe-pulse durations from 10-ns to 10-fs regime. The theory explains both qualitatively and quantitatively the saturation thresholds of resonant transitions, and also gives a predictive capability for other pulse duration regimes. The presented criterion for saturation threshold will be useful in establishing the design parameters for ultrafast ERE-CARS.

PACS numbers: 42.65.Dr, 42.65.-k, 82.53.Kp

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Femtosecond (fs)-laser-based coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (CARS) has been used extensively in time-resolved nonlinear spectroscopy research in recent years [1]. A variant of CARS, a doubly-resonant fourwave mixing scheme, where a probe pulse resonant to the electronic transition scatters off the Raman coherence generated by the Raman-resonant pump and Stokes pulses, is known as electronic-resonance-enhanced CARS (ERE-CARS) [2]. This technique is capable of obtaining a few orders of magnitude enhancement of CARS signal as compared to traditional CARS with a non-resonant probe [3–5]. Also, since ERE-CARS technique is inherently quenching independent [6, 7], this technique has been employed very successfully using nanosecond (ns) lasers for measuring concentration of minor species such as NO [2, 6, 8-12], which is a tracer pollutant in combustion processes [13]. However, since the resonant probe in ERE-CARS configuration interacts strongly with the molecules, the saturation limit of the probe intensity is lower than that in conventional CARS [14]. It has been observed that to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), the ns ERE-CARS setup were operating near saturation limit of the probe intensity [16]. In such spectroscopic techniques, while it is important to obtain spectrum of the intended molecule in the generated ERE-CARS signal with acceptable SNR, saturation can alter the spectral signature (such as Stark shift of transitions [15]), requiring additional model corrections like those employed in saturation of fluorescence [17], fourwave mixing [18, 19] and standard off-resonant CARS

[20]. With the ultrafast-laser excitations, since the operating intensities of the lasers are generally much higher than those used in ns ERE-CARS experiments and the duration of exciting pulses are much shorter than the molecular decay and dephasing timescales, it is very important to understand the ultrafast saturation dynamics of the ERE-CARS.

Recently, we demonstrated that the physics of ultrafast saturation of elementary optical processes (such as absorption and fluorescence) differs significantly for different durations of the pumping laser pulses [21, 22]. It was shown that in an underdamping regime, i.e., if the Rabi frequency associated with a long-pulse or CW laser is greater than the effective decay rate of the system, absorption and fluorescence saturation can occur [23, 24]. However, for short pulses when the pulse duration of the pump is shorter than the effective decoherence timescales of molecule, the saturation of ultrafast absorption occurs only when the pulse drives the molecule to a transient-gain regime. Such differences in the saturation of polarization spectroscopy with long and short pulses have been reported earlier [25, 26]. The ultrafast saturation limit could be predicted quantitatively employing the pulse area [27] as the deterministic parameter, and the same argument is extended to saturation of the Raman transition [22]. Note that the saturation threshold of probe-pulse intensity in a traditional CARS is much higher than the ERE-CARS setup because of far weaker off-resonant interaction of the probe with the molecular transition [21]. Although saturation of ERE-CARS with long-pulse excitation has been extensively studied [7, 14], the saturation of the ultrafast ERE-CARS is never discussed.

In this paper, we generalize study of ultrafast saturation of emission for quantitative determination of the threshold of saturation of ultrafast ERE-CARS. Saturation of the ultrafast-CARS signal with an off-resonant

<sup>\*</sup> anil.patnaik@wright.edu

<sup>†</sup> roy.sukesh@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> james.gord@us.af.mil

probe setup was discussed in Ref. [21]. Here, we obtain a quantitative saturation criterion for the probe intensity to determine the threshold of saturation of ultrafast ERE-CARS using the area under the probe pulse. We compare the calculated threshold of saturation for a range of short- and long-pulse-duration probe pulses to compare and fundamentally distinguish the saturation processes for those durations. We show that the pulse-area-based criterion for saturation of ERE-CARS is valid for shorter pulses and is accurate for the delayed probe conditions. Our study is valid for ultrashort pulses where rotating-wave approximation (RWA) is still valid. i.e., the Rabi frequency is much smaller than the optical frequency [28]. Also, the propagation effect is not considered. Note that the ultrafast saturation reported here is on a time scale of the vibrational period of molecules [29] and is orders of magnitude faster than state-of-art electronic switches. This study provides predictive capability for the intensity threshold to avoid ERE-CARS saturation, which is very important for establishing design parameters needed for ultrafast spectroscopic measurements. Other interesting applications may include saturation-based fs switches and controllable wave-packet dynamics [30], above-saturation-threshold spectroscopy [31], and enhanced spatial and spectral resolution via saturated CARS [32].

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec II, we discuss the model and derive a density matrix equation to calculate ERE-CARS polarization. In Sec. III, we obtain approximate analytical and numerical solutions to understand the ultrafast dynamics of the molecule under the influence of the strong probe laser. The ultrafast saturation of signal in a hybrid ERE-CARS configuration is presented and effect of probe delay on saturation is discussed in Sec. IV. Comparison of saturation criterion is obtained numerically and compared with analytical results for a wide range of probe pulse durations in Sec. V. The results are summarized in Sec. VI.

#### II. THE MODEL CALCULATION FOR ERE-CARS POLARIZATION

We consider a six-level system for studying the saturation of ERE-CARS from ns to fs excitation regimes, as depicted in Fig. 1. The system parameters used for the calculation are kept close to that of an NO molecule. The energy levels  $|a\rangle$  and  $|a'\rangle$  could correspond to a rovibrational state in the excited electronic state  $A^2\Sigma^+(v=0)$ . The energy levels  $|b\rangle$  and  $|b'\rangle$  ( $|c\rangle$  and  $|c'\rangle$ ) are the rovibrational states in the v = 1 (v = 0) vibrational manifolds in the ground electronic state  $X^2\Pi$ . The primed states are representative states of the rotational manifold within the same vibrational states as the corresponding unprimed states, and they are used as bath levels for accurately taking into account the various decays such as rotational-energy transfer (RET), vibrational-energy transfer (VET), electronic decay, and quenching rates of



FIG. 1. (Color online) Six-level model used for studying ERE-CARS saturation of NO. The rotational manifolds in the ground (excited) vibrational state in the ground electronic state  $X^2\Pi$  are represented by  $|c\rangle$  and  $|c'\rangle$ , and rovibrational states in the excited electronic state  $A^2\Sigma^+$  are represented by  $|a\rangle$  and  $|a'\rangle$ . The pump, Stokes, probe, and generated CARS pulses that are represented by  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$  and  $E_4$ , respectively, couple the unprimed states  $|a\rangle$ ,  $|b\rangle$ , and  $|c\rangle$ . The prime states are considered to be the bath levels to account for all of the decays  $(\Gamma_{ij})$  in the system and the coherence dephasing is represented by  $\gamma_{ij}$ .

the NO molecule. The population-decay and coherencedephasing timescales vary in the ns to ps range; hence, the corresponding rates are important in attaining accurate ERE-CARS results in the ns- and ps-excitation regimes. However, the decays and dephasing rates play very insignificant role in time-resolved signal detection in the fs-excitation regime. For a detailed discussion on the decays and dephasing parameters used in the modeling of the NO molecule, see Ref. [7]. Furthermore, note that a short pulse may couple multiple rotational states in each vibrational manifold simultaneously because of the broad bandwidth associated with the pulse that affects the saturation dynamics of the CARS signal [21]. However, since the goal of this work is to obtain an analytical understanding of the saturation threshold of ERE-CARS for pulse durations ranging from ns to fs, we limit our model with pump, Stokes, and probe coupling to only three of the molecular levels.

Three input pulses  $\vec{E}_i$   $(i \to 1, 2, 3)$  at frequencies  $\nu_i$ generate the CARS signal  $\vec{E}_4$  at a frequency  $\nu_4 = \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \nu_3$ . The pump  $(\vec{E}_1)$  and Stokes  $(\vec{E}_2)$  pulses are in twophoton resonance with the Raman transition  $|b\rangle \leftrightarrow |c\rangle$  to generate the Raman coherence  $\rho_{bc}$ . The probe  $\vec{E}_3$  couples to the electronic transition via  $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |b\rangle$  resonantly or near resonantly with a detuning of  $\Delta$ . All of the input pulses are given by

$$\vec{E}_i(t) = \hat{e}_i E_i(t) e^{-i\nu_i t} + c.c., \tag{1}$$

with Gauusian envelope functions

$$E_i(t) = E_{i0} e^{-(t-t_i)^2/\tau_i^2}.$$
 (2)

Here,  $E_{i0}$ ,  $\hat{e}_i$ ,  $t_i$ , and  $\tau_i$  are the peak electric field, polarization, peak position of the pulse, and duration of the pulse of the applied fields, respectively  $(i \rightarrow 1, 2, 3)$ . All of the fields are assumed to be linearly polarized and are parallel to each other and parallel to the induced-dipole moments corresponding to their respective transitions. The total Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \hbar(\omega_{ac}A_{aa} + \omega_{bc}A_{bb} + \omega_{a'c}A_{a'a'} + \omega_{b'c}A_{b'b'})$$
(3)  
$$-\hbar \left[\Omega_1 e^{-i\nu_1 t} A_{ac} + (\Omega_2 e^{-i\nu_2 t} + \Omega_3 e^{-i\nu_3 t}) A_{ab} + H.c.\right],$$

Here,  $\hbar\omega_{\alpha c}$  is the energy separation between the states  $\alpha$ and the ground state  $c \ (\alpha \to a, b, a', b')$ . The projection operators  $A_{\alpha\beta} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$  correspond to population (coherence) for  $\alpha = \beta \ (\alpha \neq \beta)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \to a, b, c, a', b', c'$ . Note that the prime states are assumed to be degenerate with the corresponding unprimed states for simplicity. The Rabi frequencies corresponding to the incident fields are denoted as

$$\Omega_1 = \vec{d}_{ac} \cdot \hat{e}_1 E_1(t)/\hbar$$

$$\Omega_2 = \vec{d}_{ab} \cdot \hat{e}_2 E_2(t)/\hbar$$

$$\Omega_3 = \vec{d}_{ab} \cdot \hat{e}_3 E_3(t)/\hbar.$$
(4)

Here  $\vec{d}_{ab}$  ( $\vec{d}_{ac}$ ) is the transition matrix element that includes the induced dipole moment, Frank-Condon factors and the rotational-overlapping function between the rovibrational levels  $|a\rangle \rightarrow |b\rangle$  ( $|a\rangle \rightarrow |c\rangle$ ).

The Liouville equation to describe the dynamics of the molecule-laser interaction for the model system can be obtained as

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \mathcal{H}, \rho(t) \right] + \mathcal{L}\rho(t), \tag{5}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Liouville operator consisting of the the phenomenological decay (dephasing) rates of the molecular state population (coherences). Substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (5) and writing explicitly all of the phenomenological decay and dephasing rates of the system, the equations for the density-matrix elements can be written as

$$\begin{split} \dot{\rho}_{aa} &= -\Gamma_{a} \, \rho_{aa} + \Gamma_{a'a} \, \rho_{a'a'} + \left[ i \, \Omega_{1} e^{-i\nu_{1}t} \rho_{ca} \right. \\ &+ i \left( \Omega_{2} e^{-i\nu_{2}t} + \Omega_{3} e^{-i\nu_{3}t} \right) \rho_{ba} + c.c. \right], \\ \dot{\rho}_{ab} &= -(\gamma_{ab} + i\omega_{ab}) \, \rho_{ab} + i \, \Omega_{1} e^{-i\nu_{1}t} \, \rho_{bc}^{*} \\ &+ i \left( \Omega_{2} e^{-i\nu_{2}t} + \Omega_{3} e^{-i\nu_{3}t} \right) (\rho_{bb} - \rho_{aa}), \\ \dot{\rho}_{ac} &= -(\gamma_{ac} + i\omega_{ac}) \, \rho_{ac} + i \left( \Omega_{2} e^{-i\nu_{2}t} + \Omega_{3} e^{-i\nu_{3}t} \right) \rho_{bc} \\ &+ i \, \Omega_{1} e^{-i\nu_{1}t} (\rho_{cc} - \rho_{aa}), \\ \dot{\rho}_{bb} &= -\Gamma_{bb'} \, \rho_{bb} + \Gamma_{bb} \, \rho_{b'b'} \\ &- \left[ i \left( \Omega_{2} e^{-i\nu_{2}t} + \Omega_{3} e^{-i\nu_{3}t} \right) \rho_{ab} + c.c. \right], \quad (6) \\ \dot{\rho}_{bc} &= -(\gamma_{bc} - i\omega_{bc}) \, \rho_{bc} + i \left( \Omega_{2}^{*} e^{i\nu_{2}t} + \Omega_{3}^{*} e^{i\nu_{3}t} \right) \rho_{ac} \\ &- i \, \Omega_{1} e^{-i\nu_{1}t} \rho_{ba}, \\ \dot{\rho}_{cc} &= -\Gamma_{cc'} \, \rho_{cc} + \Gamma_{c'c} \, \rho_{c'c'} - \left[ i \, \Omega_{1} e^{-i\nu_{1}t} \rho_{ca} + c.c. \right], \\ \dot{\rho}_{a'a'} &= -\Gamma_{a'} \, \rho_{a'a'} + \Gamma_{aa'} \, \rho_{aa}, \\ \dot{\rho}_{bb'} &= -\Gamma_{b'b} \, \rho_{b'b'} + \Gamma_{ab'} \, \rho_{aa} + \Gamma_{a'b'} \, \rho_{a'a'} + \Gamma_{bb'} \, \rho_{bb}, \\ \dot{\rho}_{cc'} &= -\Gamma_{c'c} \, \rho_{c'c'} + \Gamma_{ac'} \, \rho_{aa} + \Gamma_{a'c'} \, \rho_{a'a'} + \Gamma_{cc'} \, \rho_{cc} \, . \end{split}$$

Note that the density-matrix elements  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}$  represent the population (coherence) for  $\alpha = \beta$  ( $\alpha \neq \beta$ ), and  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}^* = \rho_{\beta\alpha}$ . The population decay rates associated with the excited electronic states  $\Gamma_a$  and  $\Gamma_{a'}$  are the sum of the contributions from spontaneous decay, RET and collisional quenching rates. The decay rate  $\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha'}(= 10 \times \Gamma_{\alpha'\alpha})$  is considered to be equal to the RET rate. The decay rate corresponding to the electronic transitions is  $\gamma_{aj} = (\Gamma_a + \Gamma_{jj'})/2 + \gamma_{aj}^{pd} (j \to b, c)$  and that corresponding to the Raman transition  $\gamma_{bc}$  is primarily the RET rate. For details of the decay model considered here, see Ref. [7].

To separate the molecular polarizations oscillating at different frequencies and to remove the fast oscillating terms in the density-matrix equations, we used the following canonical transformations:

$$\rho_{ac}(t) = \sigma_{ac}(t)e^{-i\nu_{1}t} + \eta_{ac}(t)e^{-i\nu_{4}t}, 
\rho_{ab}(t) = \sigma_{ab}(t)e^{-i\nu_{2}t} + \eta_{ab}(t)e^{-i\nu_{3}t}, 
\rho_{bc}(t) = \tilde{\rho}_{bc}(t)e^{-i(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2})t},$$
(7)

Here  $\nu_4$  is the frequency of the generated ERE-CARS signal. To obtain the equations in transformed frame, we dropped the fast terms oscillating at  $e^{\pm i\delta t}$  with the assumption that  $\delta \gg t^{-1}$ . Note that 16 coupled differential equations are obtained after substituting Eqs. (7) into Eqs. (6) that describe the dynamical evolution of the the molecular states and coherences.

#### III. ULTRAFAST EVOLUTION IN ERE-CARS CONFIGURATION

To obtain an analytical understanding of the evolution of ERE-CARS polarization, let us evaluate the coherence  $\eta_{ac}$  that oscillates at  $\nu_4$ , representing the instantaneous CARS polarization. The equation of dynamics for the matrix element  $\eta_{ac}$  after applying the transformations (7) to Eqs. (6) is

$$\frac{\partial \eta_{ac}(t)}{\partial t} = -\left(\gamma_{ac} + i\Delta\right)\eta_{ac}(t) + i\Omega_3(t)\tilde{\rho}_{bc}(t). \quad (8)$$

Here,  $\gamma_{ac} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_a + \gamma_c) + \Gamma_{ac}^{PD}$  is the total coherence decay associated with the  $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |c\rangle$  transition. Expanding Eq. (8) in a Taylor series for  $\Omega_3 \rightarrow 0$ , a formal solution of the coherence to the first order in  $\Omega_3$  can be obtained using an integrating factor [33]

$$\alpha(t) = Exp\left[\int_0^t (\gamma_{ac} + i\Delta)dt'\right],\tag{9}$$

which leads to the formal solution for coherence  $\eta_{ac}$  to the first order in the probe [34]

$$\eta_{ac}^{(1)}(t) = i e^{-(\gamma_{ac} + i\Delta)t} \int_{t_{30} - \tau_3}^t \Omega_3(t') \tilde{\rho}_{bc}^{(0)}(t') e^{(\gamma_{ac} + i\Delta)t'} dt'.$$
(10)

If the probe delay is much longer than the pulse durations of the pump and Stokes, we assume that the probe delay  $(t_3-t_1)$  is much longer than  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ; then we can assume that the zeroth-order Raman coherence (in absence of the probe) remains constant within the duration of the probe, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\rho}_{bc}^{(0)}(t) \equiv \bar{\rho}_{bc} \text{ (say)} \tag{11}$$

is a constant within the limit  $t_3 - \tau_3 < t \ll \gamma_{bc}^{-1}$ . For the Gaussian probe appearing within this time window, the coherence in the ERE-CARS transition can be obtained (with  $\Delta = 0$ ) as

$$\eta_{ac}^{(1)}(t) = (i/2)\sqrt{\pi}e^{\gamma_{ac}t_3 + (\gamma_{ac}\tau_3/2)^2}\bar{\rho}_{bc}\Omega_{30}\tau_3 e^{-\gamma_{ac}t} \times \left[\operatorname{Erf}\left(1 + \frac{\gamma_{ac}\tau_3}{2}\right) + \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{t - t_{30}}{\tau_3} - \frac{\gamma_{ac}\tau_3}{2}\right)\right].$$
(12)

Note that the above coherence is purely imaginary because we have considered  $\Delta = 0$ . At a longer time limit,  $t \to \gamma_{bc}^{-1}$ , the error functions remain unchanged but the coherence  $\eta_{ac}(t)$  decays to become zero at a time  $t \gg \gamma_{ac}^{-1}$ . However, for an intense probe, the above result will become invalid because the intense probe can perturb the ground-state coherence  $\rho_{bc}$ , as will be discussed later with reference to Fig. 2(c) obtained from the numerical solutions.

Under the same condition as above and assuming the limiting case of  $\gamma_{ac} \to 0$  and  $(t_3 - \tau_3) \to -\infty$ , the integral in Eq. (8) reduces to the transient pulse area of the probe pulse  $\theta_3(t)$ ; thus,

$$\eta_{ac}^{(1)} = \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)\bar{\rho}_{bc}\theta_3(t),\tag{13}$$

where  $\theta_3$  is defined as [22]

$$\theta_3(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t 2\Omega_3(t')dt'.$$
 (14)

From Eq. (13) it is clear that the instantaneous ERE-CARS polarization is proportional to the pulse area of the probe field under the weak-probe condition. For the Gaussian probe pulse under consideration, the transient polarization  $\eta_{ac}^{(1)}$  can be rewritten as

$$\eta_{ac}^{(1)} = i\sqrt{\pi}\bar{\rho}_{bc}\Omega_{30}\tau_3.$$
 (15)

Here,  $\Omega_{30}$  is the peak Rabi frequency over the duration of the probe pulse. Thus, the coherence  $\eta_{ac}^{(1)}$  is proportional to  $\tau_3$ . Even though the above result is obtained in weak-probe condition, the result depicts the underlying physics for existence of a threshold criterion for saturation of ERE-CARS signal. Since the value of any coherence can reach a maximum value of only 0.5, the threshold Rabi frequency of the probe for saturation of  $\eta_{ac}^{(1)}$  is inversely proportional to the duration of the pulse. However, note that the assumption (11) that is used to obtain the above solution clearly indicates that the pulsearea-based solution may not be valid for probe durations  $\tau_3 > \gamma_{bc}^{-1}$ . In the later part of the paper, we show from our numerical calculations that above saturation condition is indeed not valid if the pulses are longer than the effective decay time scale. It has been shown earlier that with ultrafast-laser excitations, the absorption and fluorescence in a two-level system saturate when the area under the driving pulse reaches  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi$ , respectively [22].



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Population in excited electronic state  $|a\rangle$ , (b) excited vibrational state  $|b\rangle$ , (c) ground-state (Raman) coherence, and (d) instantaneous polarization in the ERE-CARS transition for different probe intensities. The parameters used for the plot are: the peak of the pump and Stokes appears at 400 fs, and the probe delay is maintained at 200 fs.

In real ERE-CARS experiment, the probe must be much stronger if an acceptable SNR is to be achieved. Hence, to obtain a solution for ERE-CARS polarization under strong probe conditions, we obtain a numerical solution to study the ERE-CARS dynamics. We consider the dynamics of the molecular-state population ( $\rho_{aa}$ and  $\rho_{bb}$ ) and Raman coherence ( $\rho_{bc}$ ) for ultrafast pump, Stokes, and probe pulses and also determine how the instantaneous ERE-CARS polarization  $\eta_{ac}$  (and, hence, the ERE-CARS signal) evolves with the ultrafast pulses. The coupled density-matrix equations are solved numerically using a fifth-order Runge-Kutta method, and the results are presented in Fig. 2. While keeping the values of the peak intensities of the pump and Stokes pulses un-changed at  $I_{10} = I_{20} = 10^{10} \text{ W/m}^2$ , we varied the peak intensity of the probe field  $I_{30}$  parametrically, ranging between  $10^{11}$  and  $10^{18}$  W/m<sup>2</sup>. For all of the numerical results, we considered the pump, Stokes, and probe durations to be  $\tau_i = 100$  fs. The peak of the pump and Stokes appears at  $t_1 = t_2 = 400$  fs. As in a typical

fs-CARS experiment, we consider the probe delay to be 200 fs and, hence,  $t_3 = 600$  fs. Note that assuming the beam diameter to be 100  $\mu$ m, the peak intensity of the probe  $I_{30} = 10^{11}$  W/m<sup>2</sup> correspond to the laser energies of 1.4 nanoJoule (nJ), 140 picoJoule (pJ) and 14 pJ at probe durations  $\tau_3 = 1$  ps, 100 fs and 10 fs, respectively. The maximum value of  $I_{30} = 10^{18}$  W/m<sup>2</sup> corresponds to laser energies of 14 miliJoule (mJ), 1.4 mJ and 140  $\mu$ J for  $\tau_3 = 1$  ps, 100 fs and 10 fs, respectively. Such laser energies are readily available in commercial high-power lasers. For example, a Ti:sapphire laser operating at 800 nm at 6 mJ per pulse laser energy generating 100 fs pulses can produce about 20  $\mu$ J at 236 nm using a tunable optical parametric amplifier.

Figure 2(a) shows that for the weaker probe  $I_{30} < 10^{14}$  $W/m^2$ , the population in the excited electronic state  $\rho_{aa}$ reaches a maximum at  $\sim 600$  fs, i.e., when the peak of the probe pulse appears. However, since the duration of the pulse is very short compared to the molecular response time, the excited-state population does not follow the probe pulse during the tail end of the pulse; later,  $\rho_{aa}$ decays with a characteristic decay rate  $\Gamma_a$  of the state  $|a\rangle$ . For higher probe intensities, the transition saturates even before the peak of the probe pulse appears at  $t_3$ ; hence, the maximum achievable value of  $\rho_{aa}$  is reduced. For  $I_{30} \ge 10^{14} \text{ W/m}^2$ , the Rabi oscillation is observed in  $\rho_{aa}$ after the onset of saturation. Once the probe pulse leaves the molecule, the population decays at the rate  $\Gamma_a$ . Similarly, the population  $\rho_{bb}$  in the excited rovibrational state  $|b\rangle$  (in the ground electronic state) in Fig. 2(b) exhibits a monotonic increase initially when the pump and Stokes pulses are present. It reaches a quasi-steady-state for the time interval  $t_3 < t \ll \gamma_{bc}^{-1}$  in the absence of the probe (not shown here). However, once the probe is turned on it resonantly couples the transition  $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |c\rangle$  by ordersof-magnitude stronger coupling than the Raman coupling by the pump and Stokes pulses with  $|b\rangle \leftrightarrow |c\rangle$ . Hence, the probe takes away molecular population from state  $|b\rangle$ to populate state  $|a\rangle$ . Thus,  $\rho_{bb}$  remains low compared to  $\rho_{aa},$  even for weaker probe intensities. However, once the probe transition is saturated and after the onset of Rabi oscillation, the population oscillates between  $|a\rangle$  and  $|b\rangle$ , but their values remain out of phase by  $\pi$ . Note that for the delayed resonant probe considered here, the population in the probe transition oscillates as it does in a resonant two-level system [22].

The ground-state coherence  $|\rho_{bc}|$  is also plotted in Fig. 2(c), which shows behavior similar to that of  $\rho_{bb}$ ; however, they are out of phase by  $\pi$ . For stronger probe pulses,  $\rho_{bc}$  shows Raman-saturation–like oscillations, which were described by the two-photon pulse area approach [21, 22]. However, note that the origin of the ultrafast oscillations in those references was because of saturation of the Raman transition by strong pump and Stokes pulses, unlike in the current study where the amplitude of Raman coherence is well below its maximum value of 0.5. Here, the resonant probe pulse causes oscillation of the population in the state  $|b\rangle$ , resulting in



FIG. 3. (Color online) Saturation of ERE-CARS signal intensity for different pulse durations in hybrid ERE-CARS configuration. Pump- and Stokes-pulse durations are maintained at  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 100$  fs, and only the probe-pulse duration  $\tau_3$ is varied. (a) ERE-CARS signal  $S_{ERE}$  is plotted as a function of peak intensity of the probe  $I_{30}$ , and (b) pulse area  $\Theta_3$ corresponding to  $I_{30}$ .

oscillation in  $\rho_{bc}$ . In Fig. 2(d), we present the coherence  $|\eta_{ac}(t)|$  that depicts the generated instantaneous ERE-CARS polarization or the square root of the transient ERE-CARS signal. For a weak probe, the coherence  $\eta_{ac}$  shows an asymmetric structure in time, reaching the maximum when the peak of the probe pulse appears. In the next section, we consider saturation of the integrated ERE-CARS signal.

#### IV. ULTRAFAST SATURATION OF ERE-CARS

To investigate saturation of ERE-CARS with a strong probe, if we assume that the excitation of  $\rho_{aa}$  in the probe transition  $|b\rangle \leftrightarrow |a\rangle$  equivalent to an isolated twolevel transition [22] and that the ERE-CARS signal is proportionally dependent on  $\rho_{aa}$ , we may predict that the ERE-CARS signal will saturate once total pulse area reaches  $\pi$ , i.e.,

$$\Theta_3 = \lim_{t \to \infty} \theta_3(t) = 2\Omega_{30}\tau_3\sqrt{\pi} \ge \pi.$$
(16)

This relation shows that the saturation threshold of Rabi frequency is inversely proportional to the pulse duration; and, hence, the threshold intensity of the probe for saturation of ERE-CARS signal is quadratically dependent on the inverse of the pulse duration, i.e.,

$$I_{30}^{th} = \frac{2^3 |\vec{d}_{ac}|^2}{\hbar^2 \pi c \epsilon_0} \tau_3^{-2}, \tag{17}$$

where, c and  $\epsilon_0$  are the speed of light and the permittivity in vacuum, respectively. Note that since the ERE-CARS configuration is more complex and the signal is dependent on the Raman coherence, we numerically investigate the saturation of the ERE-CARS signal for ultrafast excitation.

In Fig. 3, we present the numerical results to verify the above prediction. We consider three different probe-pulse durations ( $\tau_3 = 1$  ps, 100 fs and 10 fs) while maintaining the durations of the pump and Stokes pulses at  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 100$  fs. Typically, the CARS configurations with probe durations different from those of the pump and Stokes pulses are called a hybrid-CARS configurations [35–37], and the current configuration may be called as hybrid-ERE-CARS configuration. All parameters are assumed to have the same value as that in Fig. 2. In Fig. 3(a), we present the numerical solution of the integral of the square of the coherence  $|\eta_{ac}|^2$  that represents integrated ERE-CARS signal

$$S_{ERE} \propto \int_0^\infty \left|\eta_{ac}(t)\right|^2 dt \tag{18}$$

as a function of the probe intensity  $I_{30}$ . Clearly, the threshold intensity of the probe for saturation of the ERE-CARS signal increases by two orders of magnitude when the pulse duration of the probe decreases by one order of magnitude. This dependence is precisely captured in Eq. (15), even though Eq. (15) was obtained in the weak-probe-field limit.

Furthermore, Fig. 3(b) is a numerical plot of the pulse area corresponding to the probe intensities used in the x-axis of Fig. 3(a). Comparing the Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), the ERE-CARS saturation threshold is reached once the pulse area corresponding to the probe area is larger than  $\pi$ . Note that Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) are plotted on a loglog scale. The saturation criterion agrees well with the 10-fs and 100-fs probe pulses. However, the  $\Theta_3$ -based criterion slightly underpredicts the threshold limit of the Raman saturation for the ps probe by a small fraction of the actual Raman threshold. The reason for this is that the front end of the ps probe significantly overlaps with the pump and Stokes pulses, leading to population recycling between states  $|b\rangle$  and  $|c\rangle$  during the pulse excitation. Hence, the  $\Theta_3$ -based criterion (17) is not exact for longer pulses, which was also mentioned in the discussion following Eq. (15). However, it lends a predictive capability to determine the saturation threshold of ultrafast ERE-CARS within an order of magnitude not only for the fs probe but also for a range of pulse durations.

Note that the pulse-area-based saturation criterion is derived under the condition that the probe pulse is delayed with respect to the pump and Stokes. To understand how the saturation criterion obtained from  $\Theta_3$  may



FIG. 4. (Color online) Saturation of ERE-CARS signal intensity for different probe delays.

be affected by the probe delay, we plotted the ERE-CARS signal in Fig. 4 as a function of  $I_{30}$  for different probe delays. We consider the duration of the probe pulse to be  $\tau_3 = 100$  fs, and all other parameters are maintained at the same value as in Fig. 3. The plots in Fig. 4 show that if the probe-pulse delay is zero or small  $(t_{delay} \leq \tau_3)$ , the saturation of the ERE-CARS signal occurs at a much larger value of  $I_{30}$  than that for  $\tau_d \sim 200$  or 300 fs. For 100-fs pulse duration,  $\Theta_3 = \pi$ for  $I_{30} \approx 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/m}^2$ . Thus, the  $\Theta_3$ -based criterion underpredicts the saturation threshold for small or no probe delays. This discrepancy may be caused by significant repopulation of state  $|b\rangle$  in the presence of the pump and Stokes pulses. For a probe delay of  $\sim 200$  fs, the saturation-threshold intensity follows the pulse-areabased criterion of  $\Theta_3 \geq \pi$ . Once again, the  $\Theta_3$ -based criterion underpredicts the threshold intensity for probe delays in excess of 400 fs. The saturation threshold continue to increase for even larger probe delays. Such a discrepancy could be caused by a decrease in the population in  $|b\rangle$  and, hence, the Raman coherence  $\rho_{bc}$  because of population transfers to  $|b'\rangle$  via RET during the long-delayed period, reducing the total ERE-CARS polarization. Hence, the threshold intensity for saturation of ERE-CARS increases. For pump and Stokes pulse intensities well below Raman saturation condition, the  $\theta_3$ based criterion is accurate if we can temporally isolate the determining transition of interest but within a limit that probe delay is much smaller than the effective decay rate of the system. However, in all of the above cases, the  $\Theta_3$ -based criterion predicts the saturation threshold for probe intensity accurately within one order of magnitude.

#### V. COMPARISON OF ERE-CARS SATURATION IN LONG- AND SHORT-PULSE DURATION REGIMES

In the previous section, we demonstrated in Fig. 3 that the threshold intensity of saturation of  $S_{ERE}$  is a function of the duration of the probe pulse [as also shown in





FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Saturation of integrated ERE-CARS signal intensity for different pulse durations in longerpulse regime between 10 ns and 10 ps. (b) Pulse area corresponding to peak intensities of probe pulse.

Eq. (17)]. However, from the earlier literature on ERE-CARS, we know that if the peak intensity of the probe transition is increased such that the Rabi frequency of the probe is larger than the effective decay rate of the transition, an onset of a Rabi oscillation between the states  $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |b\rangle$  engages the population of those states through the interaction with the probe pulse. If we increase the number of photons further (or increase the peak intensity), the new photons do not have an opportunity to interact with the molecule and, hence, can no longer be absorbed, leading to the absorption saturation in  $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |b\rangle$  transition; in general, this is also referred as the transition being saturated. For CW or long-pulse excitations, this condition can be achieved if the driven system reaches an underdamping regime; i.e., the peak Rabi frequency of the probe pulse is larger than the effective decay rate of the system, i.e.,

$$\Omega_{30} > \sqrt{\Gamma_{ac} \gamma_{ac}^{pd}} , \qquad (19)$$

where,  $\Gamma_{ac} = \Gamma_a + \Gamma_{cc'}$  is the total population decay in the probe transition. It is clear from the above saturation condition that in the long-pulse–excitation regime the threshold intensity of the saturation is independent of the duration of the probe pulse  $\tau_3$ , unlike in the ultrafast ERE-CARS results in Fig. 3. For absorption and fluorescence with short-pulse excitation, we have shown that the underdamping condition is *only* a necessary condition [22]. The ultrafast saturation of absorption and

FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Saturation of integrated ERE-CARS signal intensity for different pulse durations in shorterpulse regime between 10 ps and 10 fs. (b) Pulse area corresponding to peak intensities of probe pulse.

fluorescence occurs at a much higher intensity when the system reaches a transient-gain regime within the duration of the driving pulse. Therefore, for ERE-CARS it is important to compare the saturation of the signal for different probe-duration regimes to investigate what are the ranges of pulse duration where the saturation criteria transitions from a purely underdamping condition for long pulses to the transient-gain condition ( $\Theta_3$ -based criteria) for the ultrafast saturation of the ERE-CARS signal.

In the following, we compare the saturation of the ERE-CARS signal over an extensively wide range of durations of the probe pulse from 10 ns to 10 fs. For the purpose of clarity, we have plotted  $S_{ERE}$  for  $\tau_3 \rightarrow 10$  ns to 10 ps in Fig. 5(a) and  $\tau_3 \rightarrow 10$  ps to 10 fs in Fig. 6(a). To understand the validity of the  $\Theta_3$ -based criteria described in the earlier section, we have also plotted the pulse area  $\Theta_3$  in Figs. 5(b) and 6(b), corresponding to the probe intensities used in Figs. 5(a) and 6(a), respectively. Note that in Fig. 3, we maintained the durations of the pump and Stokes at  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 100$  fs, and only the probe-pulse duration  $\tau_3$  was varied. However, in Figs. 5 and 6, the duration of all three pulses is considered to be the same  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3$ , and they are varied simultaneously. Furthermore, real ultrafast ERE-CARS experiments may need to be performed with a probe delay to avoid any non-resonant background in the observed signal; but to ensure uniformity in the interaction conditions over the range of six-orders-of-magnitude variation

of the probe-pulse duration, we maintain a zero probepulse delay in both Figs. 5 and 6. Also, to eliminate any saturation or saturation-like effect in Raman coherence caused by pump or Stokes pulses (as in Ref. [21]), we maintain the peak intensities of the pump and Stokes pulses at  $I_{10} = I_{20} = 10^{10} \text{ W/m}^2$ , where we have verified that Raman saturation does not occur even in the ns regime.

The integrated ERE-CARS signal  $S_{ERE}$  for long pulses is plotted in Fig. 5(a). The signal increases until  $I_{30} \sim 10^{10} \text{ W/m}^2$ , but then it saturates and begins to decrease for the pulse durations from 10 ns to 100 ps, which corresponds to the peak Rabi frequency that is larger than the effective decay rate, as described in Eq. (19) for an underdamping regime. Clearly, the threshold intensity for saturation is independent of the probe pulse until the probe-pulse duration reaches  $\tau_3 \sim 10$  ps, unlike the dependence described in Eq. (17) from the  $\Theta_3$ criterion. The pulse area  $\Theta_3$  corresponding to the different pulse durations, plotted in Fig. 5(b), shows that  $\Theta_3$ corresponding to the pulse durations for 10 ns and 100 ps are ~ 500 $\pi$  and ~ 50 $\pi$ , respectively. For  $\tau_3 = 100$  ps and  $\tau_3 = 10$  ps, the pulse area is  $\Theta_3 \sim 4.5\pi$  and  $1.9\pi$ , respectively. Clearly, for long pulses with durations much longer than the molecular dephasing timescales ( $\sim 20$ ps), the pulse-area-based criterion completely fails. The saturation threshold is determined only by the underdamping condition Eq. (19). As noted in Refs. [7, 16], a significant repumping can occur during the duration of the excitation pulse that has been really helpful for the quenching independence of the ERE-CARS signal in NO. However, for a pulse duration on the order of the dephasing timescale of the molecule, the underdamping condition is not a sufficient condition for saturation of the ERE-CARS signal.

We have plotted the ERE-CARS signal  $S_{ERE}$  for ultrashort pulses with durations ranging from 10 ps to 10 fs in Fig. 6(a). After the initial rise  $S_{ERE}$  saturates in each of the cases of the pulse durations of the probe but at very different  $I_{30}$ , unlike those in Fig. 5. The signal saturation in the ultrafast excitation regime with the probe pulse duration shorter than the dephasing time scale may be understood as follows: the shorter the duration of the pulse compared to the effective decay timescale of the molecule, the higher the laser intensity needed to cause a Rabi cycle to occur within  $\tau_3$ , which is necessary for the saturation of the probe transition. This process is very similar to that for the ultrafast saturation of fluorescence described in Ref. [22] and saturation of picosecond polarization spectroscopy [25, 26]. The ultrafast saturation of  $S_{ERE}$  follows the  $\Theta_3$ -based criterion obtained in Eq. (17), i.e., the threshold intensity of saturation of  $S_{ERE}$ increases quadratically with a decrease in the duration of the probe pulse  $\tau_3$ . The pulse area corresponding to each  $\tau_3$  is plotted in Fig. 6(b). In each case of  $\tau_3$ , the saturation of  $S_{ERE}$  follows the predicted pattern of Eq. (17), but the criterion slightly underpredicts the saturation threshold by a factor of two. The reason is: we have

assumed the probe delay to be zero for all of the cases where in presence of all three fields, some active repopulation may be occurring in the state  $|b\rangle$  and  $|a\rangle$ , pushing the threshold of saturation higher. This result follows the discussion of the role of probe delays presented in the previous section. As shown earlier, the  $\Theta_3$ -based criterion will be exact for ultrafast saturation of ERE-CARS if the probe delay is maintained within two-to-three times the probe pulse duration. The predicted threshold of saturation agrees well with the all-resonant ERE-CARS experiments carried out recently [38].

It may be noted that since the resonant probe pulse intensities needed for saturation are very high, it may cause ac-Stark shift or ionization of NO via two-photon transitions from  $X^2 \Pi(v=1)$ . There are a few literature that exist on the ultrafast UV-laser excitation of NO, e.g., Lopez-Marten et al. have shown that the laser intensities in excess of  $10^{17}$  W/m<sup>2</sup> with 140-fs pulse operating at 410 nm can cause ac-Stark shift via two-photon transition [39], (b) laser peak intensity of  $2 \times 10^{17}$  W/m<sup>2</sup> at 265 nm (40-60 fs) has been used in conjunction with a probe at 400 nm to ionize NO via resonant excitation of an intermediate level to observe ac-Stark shift (see Ref. [40]). Though, to the best of our knowledge, no study has been reported for ionization of NO by UV pulse of 236 nm at 10 fs, from the reported experiments of NO with 200-fs pump at 800 nm [41], we believe no significant ionization would not occur before saturation threshold of ERE-CARS signal limit is reached, even for the 10-fs excitation.

#### VI. SUMMARY

In summary, we obtained a simplified criterion for the saturation threshold for the intensity of the ultrafast resonant probe in an ERE-CARS configuration. Our criterion holds rather well for a range of ultrashort pulse durations that are effectively delayed with respect to the pump and Stokes pulses. We have compared the threshold of saturation of the probe between pulse durations ranging from 10 ns to 10 fs and shown how the physical mechanism of saturation differs between the longand short-pulse regimes and, hence, differs the saturation criterion. The underdamping condition is a sufficient condition for saturation of ERE-CARS in the longpulse regime, leading the threshold intensity to be the same for up to 100-ps durations. However, for ultrafast ERE-CARS, an additional condition must be satisfied that the probe transition must changeover from transient absorption to transient gain regime; hence, with a pulse-area-based calculation, we obtained a criterion that predictively determines the ERE-CARS saturation threshold intensity of the probe pulse for durations ranging from 10 ps to 10 fs within one order of magnitude. In the ultrashort pulse excitation regime, the saturationthreshold intensity is quadratically dependent on the inverse of the pulse duration, unlike in the long-pulse

regime. The pulse-area-based study may be generalized to obtain thresholds for saturation of multi-photon processes [42], laser-induced breakdown [43], ionization or other nonlinear optical processes in a variety of systems that employs the ultrafast excitation, and also for different pulse shapes [44, 45].

#### VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Funding for this study was provided by the U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory (Contract Nos. F33615-03-

- S. Roy, J. R. Gord, and A. K. Patnaik, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 36, 280 (2010).
- [2] S. F. Hanna, W. D. Kulatilaka, Z. Arp, T. Opatrny, M. O. Scully, J. P. Kuehner, and R. P. Lucht, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 1887 (2003).
- [3] S. A. J. Druet, B. Attal, T. K. Gustafson, and J. P. Taran, Phys. Rev. A 18, 1529 (1978).
- [4] B. Attal-Trétout, S. C. Schmidt, E. Crété, P. Dumas, and J. P. Taran, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 43, 351 (1990).
- [5] M. Schenk, T. Seeger, and A. Leipertz, Appl. Opt. 44, 4157 (2005).
- [6] S. Roy, W. D. Kulatilaka, S. V. Naik, N. M. Laurendeau, R. P. Lucht, and J. R. Gord, Appl. Phys. Lett. 89, 104105 (2006).
- [7] A. K. Patnaik, S. Roy, J. R. Gord, R. P. Lucht, and T. B. Settersten, J. Chem. Phys. **130**, 214304 (2009).
- [8] W. D. Kulatilaka, N. Chai, S. V. Naik, N.M. Laurendeau, R. P. Lucht, J. P. Kuehner, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, Opt. Lett. **31**, 3357 (2006).
- [9] N. Chai, W. D. Kulatilaka, S. V. Naik, N. M. Laurendeau, R. P. Lucht, J. P. Kuehner, S. Roy, V. R. Katta, and J. R. Gord, Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt. 88, 141 (2007).
- [10] W. D. Kulatilaka, N. Chai, S. V. Naik, S. Roy, N. M. Laurendeau, R. P. Lucht, J. P. Kuehner, and J. R. Gord, Opt. Commun. 274, 441 (2007).
- [11] N. Chai, S. V. Naik, N. M. Laurendeau, R. P. Lucht, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, Appl. Phys. Lett. **93**, 091115 (2008)
- [12] J. P. Kuehner, S. V. Naik, W. D. Kulatilaka, N. Chai, N. M. Laurendeau, R. P. Lucht, M. O. Scully, S. Roy, A. K. Patnaik, and J. R. Gord, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 174308 (2008).
- [13] A. C. Eckbreth, Laser Diagnostics for Combustion Temperature and Species (Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1996).
- [14] N. Chai, R. P. Lucht, W. D. Kulatilaka, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, J. Chem. Phys. **133**, 084310 (2010).
- [15] L. A. Rahn, R. L. Farrow, M. L. Koszykowski, and P. L. Mattern, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 620 (1980).
- [16] A. K. Patnaik, S. Roy, R. P. Lucht, and J. R. Gord, J. Mod. Opt. 55, 3263 (2008).
- [17] R. Altkorn and R. Zare, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 35, 265 (1984).
- [18] R. P. Lucht, R. L. Farrow, and D. J. Rakestraw, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 10, 1508 (1993).

D-2329 and FA8650-15-D-2518) and the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research (Dr. Enrique Parra, Program Manager). This manuscript has been cleared for public release (No. 88ABW-2015-3756).

- [19] O. Kinrot and Y. Prior, Phys. Rev. A 50 (3), R1999 (1994)
- [20] R. P. Lucht and R. L. Farrow, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 5, 1243 (1988).
- [21] A. K. Patnaik, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, Phys. Rev. A 87, 043801 (2013).
- [22] A. K. Patnaik, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, Phys. Rev. A 90, 063813 (2014).
- [23] R. W. Boyd, Nonlinear Optics. (Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1992).
- [24] W. Demtroder, Laser Spectroscopy, Vol. 2: Experimental Techniques. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2008).
- [25] T. A. Reichardt, F. D. Teodoro, R. L. Farrow, S. Roy, and R. P. Lucht, J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 2263 (2000).
- [26] Sukesh Roy, Robert P. Lucht, and Thomas A. Reichardt, J. Chem. Phys. 116, 571 (2002).
- [27] S. L. McCall and E. L. Hahn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 908 (1967).
- [28] G. M. Genkin, Phys. Rev. A 58, 758 (1998).
- [29] R. Kienberger and F. Krausz, "Subfemtosecond XUV pulses: Attosecond metrology and spectroscopy" in Few-Cycle Laser Pulse Generation and Its Applications, Ed. F. X. Kärtner (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2010).
- [30] L. Yuan, G. O. Ariunbold, R. K. Murawski, D. Pestov, X.Wang, A. K. Patnaik, V. A. Sautenkov, A. V. Sokolov, Y. V. Rostovtsev, and M. O. Scully, Phys. Rev. A 81, 053405 (2010).
- [31] G. Heck, A. Filin, D. A. Romanov, and R. J. Levis, Phys. Rev. A 87, 023419 (2013).
- [32] Y. Yonemaru, A. F. Palonpon, S. Kawano, N. I. Smith, S. Kawata, and K. Fujita, Phys. Rev. Appl 4, 014010 (2015).
- [33] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, Mathematical Methods for Physicists (Sixth Edition, Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2005).
- [34] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Methmatical Functions (Dover Publications, Inc, 1972).
- [35] B. D. Prince, A. Chakraborty, B. M. Prince, and H. U. Stauffer, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 044502 (2006).
- [36] D. Pestov, R. K. Murawski, G. O. Ariunbold, X. Wang, M. Zhi, A. V. Sokolov, V. A. Sautenkov, Y. V. Rostovtsev, A. Dogariu, Y. Huang, and M. O. Scully, Science **316**, 265 (2007).
- [37] H. U. Stauffer, J. D. Miller, M. N. Slipchenko, T. R. Meyer, B. D. Prince, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, J. Chem.

Phys. 140, 024316 (2014)

- [38] S. Roy, H. U. Stauffer, J. Schmidt, P. Wrzesinski, and J. R. Gord (to be published).
- [39] R. B. López-Martens, T. W. Schmidt, and G. Roberts, Phys. Rev. A 62, 013414 (2000).
- [40] Z. Sun, H. Liu, N. Lou, and S. Cong, Chem Phys. Lett. 369, 374 (2003).
- [41] A. Talebpour, S. Larochelle, and S. L. Chin, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **30**, 1927 (1997).
- [42] H. U. Stauffer, W. D. Kulatilaka, J. R. Gord, and S. Roy, Opt. Lett. 36, 1776 (2011).
- [43] E. W. Van Stryland, M. J. Soileau, A. L. Smirl, and W. E. Williams, Phys. Rev. B. 23, 2144 (1981).
- [44] P. R. Berman, L. Yan, K. -H. Chiam, and R. Sung, Phys. Rev. A 57, 79 (1998).
- [45] A. K. Patnaik, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, Phys. Scr. T165 014031 (2015).