

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Coherence, ionization, and recombination in a microwave field

Vincent Carrat, E. Magnuson, and T. F. Gallagher Phys. Rev. A **92**, 063414 — Published 16 December 2015 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.92.063414

# Coherence and ionization and recombination in a microwave field

Vincent Carrat, E. Magnuson, and T. F. Gallagher

Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904

An amplitude modulated near infrared laser pulse synchronized to a 14 GHz microwave field is used to excite atoms to the vicinity of the ionization limit at specific phases of the microwave field. When the laser is tuned above and below the ionization limit phase dependent modulation is observed in the recombination and ionization, respectively. The phase dependent modulation is 10% of the total excitation, far greater than the 0.1% modulation observed with single ps laser pulse excitation, and in agreement with calculations based on coherent excitation over several microwave cycles[1].

# I. INTRODUCTION

An extreme ultraviolet (XUV) attosecond pulse train (APT) phase synchronized with the strong infrared (IR) field from which it was generated provides a powerful tool for strong field physics. The APT can be used to characterize the intense IR pulse, probe the response of an atom to the strong IR field on a sub cycle time scale, and generate a richer variety of wavepackets than is possible using the intense IR pulse alone [1–5]. For example, ionization of an atom by a strong IR field favors ionization at the peak of the IR field, leading to the production of low energy electrons. In contrast, ionization by the combined APT and IR fields can occur at any phase of the IR field, resulting in control of the final electron energy[1, 5].

A beautiful example of the last phenomenon is provided by the ionization of He by an XUV APT phase synchronized with a strong IR field [1, 5]. In these experiments the central XUV photon energy is less than the ionization potential of He, and little ionization results from the APT alone. However, when He is exposed to both the APT and the IR field, ionization rates increase, and the rate depends on the phase of the IR field to which the APT is synchronized. The origin of the phase dependence is easily understood with a simple classical picture. The XUV pulse creates a photoelectron which departs from the He<sup>+</sup> core with insufficient energy to escape. The photoelectron is accelerated or decelerated by the IR field and thus gains or loses energy, depending on the phase of the IR field at which it was created. This picture is too simple in that it describes excitation by a single attosecond pulse, ignoring the coherence of the excitation by the APT[1]. Quantum mechanical calculations indicate that the phase dependent modulation of the ionization observed with excitation by a single attosecond pulse should be  $\simeq 1\%$ , not the 35% peak to peak modulation observed experimentally [1, 6]. The much larger observed modulation is attributed to the coherent excitation of a wavepacket by the APT over several IR field cycles.

In the He experiments the high frequency field of the XUV APT produces a subthreshold photoelectron, and the low frequency IR field transfers enough energy to the departing photoelectron that it can escape from the He<sup>+</sup> ion. The reverse process, the transfer of energy from the photoelectron to the low frequency field has also been ob-

served, with a microwave field providing the strong low frequency field and a visible laser providing the high frequency field[7, 8]. The visible laser photoionizes an atom, producing a free electron from which energy is removed by the microwave field, resulting in a bound atom[7]. A phase dependence analogous to that observed in the He experiments has been observed. Specifically, Li atoms photoionized by a 1 ps laser pulse in the presence of a phase synchronized 17 GHz microwave field exhibit a phase dependent modulation of the recombined bound atom signal[8]. However, the amplitude of the modulation is  $\simeq 0.1\%$  of the total excitation, far less than observed in the He experiments, but roughly consistent with the theoretical result for a single attosecond pulse[1].

Here we report the results of an experiment in which we have replaced the single ps laser pulse with a laser beam which is amplitude modulated synchronously with a 14 GHz microwave field, so that laser excitation occurs over many microwave cycles. The use of an amplitude modulated laser instead of a single ps pulse is analogous to replacing a single attosecond pulse by an APT. In addition, having only two frequency components, the amplitude modulated beam brings out clearly the coherence in the laser excitation. By time delaying the laser beam we can alter the phase of the microwave field at which laser excitation occurs. When the laser is tuned over the ionization limit we see a large phase dependent modulation in the recombination,  $\simeq 10\%$  of the total excitation, and with the laser tuned below the ionization limit we observe a similar phase dependent modulation in the ionization. Thus, in the same system we are able to observe energy transfer from the photoelectron to the microwave field and vice versa. The dramatic increase in the observed phase dependent modulation over that observed with the single ps laser pulse is due to the coherence of the laser excitation over several microwave cycles and the fact that the laser is tuned closer to the limit. In what follows we briefly review the classical model of energy transfer to or from the low frequency field, describe the experimental approach, present the results, and discuss their implications.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Laser excitation at time  $t_0$  to an energy slightly above the ionization limit, shown by the vertical arrow, ejects electrons to the left and right with velocities  $\pm v(t_0)$ . The excitation occurs at the phase  $\omega t_0$  of the microwave field. The solid oscillatory lines show the electrons ejected to the right and left for  $\omega t_0 = \pi/6$ , when the instantaneous field is to the right, as shown. As electrons move away from the ion, there is a large energy exchange in the first half microwave cycle, and then the energy oscillates synchronously with the microwave field. While the average energy transfer up and down is the same for left and right going electrons, the oscillation amplitude and spatial period are larger for the former since they have higher velocity.

#### **II. CLASSICAL PICTURE**

A one dimensional classical picture of the energy transfer to or from the microwave field is shown in Fig. 1. Laser excitation produces photoelectrons in the energetic vicinity of the limit at time  $t_0$ , and they depart from the parent ion both to the left and right with velocity  $\mathbf{v}(t_0)$ , as shown. If the excitation occurs in the presence of a low frequency field  $\mathbf{E}_{mw}(t_0) = E_{mw} \cos \omega t_0$  instantaneously pointing to the right, as shown in Fig. 1, the field retards photoelectrons departing to the right and accelerates those departing to the left. If the laser is tuned above the limit, electrons ejected to the right can recombine, and on the subsequent half microwave cycle, electrons ejected to the left can recombine. As a result, we observe recombination twice in each microwave cycle. If a photoelectron is created at time  $t_0$  the classical energy transfer W to the electron from the low frequency field  $\mathbf{E}_{mw}(t)$  is given by [7]

$$W = -\int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{v}(t') \cdot \mathbf{E}_{mw}(t') dt'$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  is the velocity of the photoelectron. Unless specified otherwise, we use atomic units. Unlike the simplest models of above threshold ionization (ATI)[9–11], the velocity does not come from the microwave field, but

from the Coulomb field, assuming the microwave field to be relatively weak compared to the Coulomb field. In particular the microwave field is weak compared to the Coulomb field at small classical radius where the net energy transfer occurs, as shown in Fig. 1. As a result, for such fields the energy transfer is linear, not quadratic, in the field amplitude and far in excess of the ponderomotive energy,  $U_P = E_{mw}^2/4\omega^2$ . Here  $\omega$  is the angular frequency of the microwave field. For a photoelectron created near the limit  $v \simeq \sqrt{2/r}$ , where r is the distance from the ion to the electron. Since the velocity decreases quickly as the electron leaves the ion, much of the energy transfer from a sinusoidal microwave field occurs during the first field cycle, and the phase of the microwave field at which excitation occurs is extremely important. If the microwave field is given by  $E_{mw}(t) = E_{mw} \sin \omega t$  our calculations indicate that the maximum energy transfer occurs for the phases  $\omega t_0 \simeq \pi/6$  and  $7\pi/6$ , with the magnitude of the energy transfer given by [7]

$$W_{\pi/6} \simeq \frac{3}{2} \frac{E_{mw}}{\omega^{2/3}}$$
 (2)

For  $\omega/2\pi = 14$  GHz and  $E_{mw} = 5$  V/cm,  $W_{\pi/6} \simeq 40$  GHz, and  $U_P = 360$  MHz. At  $\omega t_0 \simeq \pi/6$  electrons departing to the right are maximally retarded, and those moving to the left are maximally accelerated. At  $\omega t_0 \simeq 7\pi/6$  the roles are reversed. The implication of Eq. (2) is that to observe a bound atom subsequent to laser excitation above the limit the laser must be tuned within  $W_{\pi/6}$  of the ionization limit. Inversely, for a given laser detuning it gives the minimum required microwave amplitude.

The two trajectories plotted in Fig. 1 are computed by launching the electron initially at the center of a soft-core atomic potential [12, 13]  $V_a(r) = -1/\sqrt{r^2 + (1/V_0^2)}$  with  $V_0 = 0.707$ . The initial velocity is computed from the total energy of the system:  $v(0) = \pm \sqrt{2(U_i + V_0)}$ ,  $U_i$  being the laser detuning relative to the ionization limit. It is positive for the laser tuned over the limit and negative otherwise.

#### III. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

#### A. General approach

In this experiment Li atoms in a beam pass through the central antinode of a 14 GHz Fabry-Perot microwave cavity where they are optically exited by the route  $2s \xrightarrow{670 \text{ nm}} 2p \xrightarrow{610 \text{ nm}} 3d \xrightarrow{819 \text{ nm}, \text{AM}} nf, \epsilon f$ . The pulsed lasers driving the first two transitions propagate along the cavity axis. The third transition is driven by the amplitude modulated 819 nm laser beam which crosses the other beams at a right angle at the center of the cavity, forming an excitation volume 1 mm on a side, a volume much smaller than the antinode's spatial extension. Thus, we consider the microwave field to be uniform over the excitation volume. Every field is linearly polarized along the

vertical axis, allowing a one dimension treatment of the problem.

The microwave field is present in the cavity during the excitation and then shut off 10 ns after the end of the last laser pulse. One microsecond later we field ionize the surviving Rydberg atoms within 100 GHz ( $n \gtrsim 180$ ) of the ionization limit by applying a negative voltage pulse to a plate below the cavity. The freed electrons travel through a hole in a plate above the cavity and are detected by a microchannel plate assembly (MCP). Electrons resulting from photoionization or microwave ionization have left the excitation volume by the time the field pulse is applied and are not detected. The MCP signal is integrated by a boxcar integrator and recorded by a computer for further analysis.

The essential idea of this experiment is shown in Fig. 2. The 819 nm laser is amplitude modulated synchronously with the microwave field present in the cavity. On the bottom of Fig. 2 we show the envelope of the 819 nm laser field, this field is given by:

$$E_{opt}(t) = E_o \sin(\omega_o t) \cos(\omega(t - t_0)) \tag{3}$$



FIG. 2. (Color online) Temporal view of the microwave field (top) phase-locked with the amplitude modulated laser field  $E_{opt}$  (bottom). The maximum optical field occurs at the microwave phase  $\omega t_0$ , and it is varied using an optical delay line. In the figure,  $\omega t_0 = \pi/6$ , approximately the phase at which maximum energy transfer occurs.

While we show the envelope of the laser field in Fig. 2, it is important to bear in mind that the probability of laser excitation is proportional to the laser intensity, the envelope of which has a  $\cos^2(\omega(t-t_0))$  dependence. The full width at half maximum of an intensity pulse is one quarter of the microwave period. In the He experiment the width of a single attosecond pulse was 370 as, one seventh of the IR period[1]. Besides the downscaling in frequency, this minor timing difference is the only difference between this experiment and the attosecond case.

By delaying the laser beam with an optical delay line we move the envelope of the optical field relative to the microwave field, altering the phase  $\omega t_0$  of the microwave field at which excitation occurs. We record the field ionization signal from Rydberg states as a function of the optical delay  $t_0$ , or equivalently the phase  $\omega t_0$  at which excitation occurs. In the following subsections we describe the first two lasers used in the excitation, the production of the amplitude modulated 819 nm beam, and the generation of the phase locked microwave field.

#### B. Dye lasers

The 670 nm and 610 nm lasers are home made pulsed dye lasers pumped by the 527 nm output of a Quantronix Nd:YLF laser running at a 1 kHz repetition rate. Each 200 ns long pump pulse is sliced using Pockels cells to produce 20 ns pulses in a setup similar to [14]. The first pulse is equally divided to pump the two dye lasers, and the second pumps a dye amplifier for the 819 nm laser. The 670 nm laser is a Littman type cavity[15] using LDS 698 dye in ethanol, while the 610 nm laser is a Hänsch type cavity[16] with Rhodamine 610 dye in ethanol. Before entering the microwave cavity those two 20 ns long laser pulses are attenuated to 2  $\mu$ J using optical density filters to avoid direct ionization, especially two 610 nm photon ionization from the 2p state.

### C. Amplitude modulated 819 nm laser

Fig. 3 shows the production of the amplitude modulated 819 nm laser beam and the detection of the amplituded modulation to phase lock the microwave field to the amplitude modulation. In the following paragraphs the labels in parentheses following each piece of equipment refer to the labels in Fig. 3.

We generate the 819 nm beam with 100% amplitude modulation by combining the outputs of two extended cavity diode lasers spaced in frequency by twice the 14 GHz microwave frequency. Specifically, the two lasers, a Toptica DL 100 (DL 100) and a Toptica DL Pro (DL Pro), are adjusted to have the same power and are superimposed on a 50:50 beamsplitter (BS). There are two 15 mW outputs from the beamsplitter. The first seeds the 819 nm amplification chain. It is amplified to 800 mW by the tapered amplifier (TA), and further amplified by the pulsed dye amplifier (DA). In the dye amplifier we use LDS 819 in ethanol. Ultimately we obtain 20 ns long 6  $\mu$ J pulses which are sent to the microwave cavity after passing through an optical delay line. We have checked that the pulse does not saturate the transition. The pulse amplification degrades the linewidth of the 819 nm lasers to about 100 MHz. The frequency spectrum of the pulse amplified amplitude modulated 819 nm laser beam is composed of two 100 MHz wide peaks separated by 28 GHz.

The second output of the 50:50 beamsplitter is sent to a fast (25 GHz bandwidth) photodiode (PD), which de-



FIG. 3. Scheme of the experimental apparatus encompassing three different functions: generating the amplitude modulated IR excitation laser, generating the microwave field, and phaselocking the envelope of the 819 nm field to the microwave field as shown in Fig 2. The 819 nm AMlaser is generated by the DL Pro and DL 100 lasers superimposed on the beamsplitter (BS), and then amplified by the IR amplification chain. The microwaves are generated by a microwave synthesizer, formed into pulses by the PIN-diode switch (PIN) and amplified by the Traveling Wave Tube Amplifier (TWTA) before injection in the cavity. The locking of the 819 nm field envelope to the microwave field is performed an Heterodyne Optical Phase Locked Loop (HOPLL).

tects the 28 GHz beat note. To phase lock the laser beat note on the second harmonic of the microwave field we use a Heterodyne Optical Phase Locked Loop (HOPLL). The beat signal is amplified by the low noise amplifier (MA), and mixed with the second harmonic of the microwave oscillator using a mixer (Mx). The intermediate frequency (if) output of the mixer is the error signal used in a dual phase lock loop correction scheme.

The high frequency loop generates a fast proportional correction. In this loop the error signal is directly sent to the current modulation input of the DL Pro. with an attenuator (Att1) to adjust the correction gain. The bandwidth of the correction is most likely limited by the current modulation characteristics of the diode. Keeping the length of this loop as short as possible provides the most robust lock. Using solely this loop, the system stays locked typically for dozens of seconds, which is too short for performing our measurements. The loop loses lock because of the slow drift of the frequency difference between the two diode lasers. The frequency correction allowed by current modulation is limited to several megahertz to avoid mode hops, so when the difference frequency between the two lasers drifts more than a few megahertz from twice the microwave frequency, the lock is lost. The most important source of drift is the master oscillator, the DL 100: it is free running and consequently

drifts over a few megahertz on a timescale of minutes.



FIG. 4. Spectra of the laser beat note acquired by the spectrum analyzer shown in Fig. 3. The frequency is offset by twice the microwave frequency  $2f_{mw}$ . Top: Laser beat signal locked at  $2f_{mw} = 28$  GHz (RBW: 1kHz, no averaging). Bottom : Beat note spectrum when the lasers are free running (RBW: 10 kHz, no averaging).

To extend the locking time we added a low frequency loop to correct for the slow drift of the DL 100 frequency. To realize the low frequency loop the error signal is sent through a 120 kHz low pass filter (LPF) to a Toptica PID110 control module (PID). Through the Toptica SC110 scan control (SC) the PID 110 acts on both the diode current and the piezo controlling the grating angle. The grating angle correction is slow but can follow DL 100 drifts of hundreds of megahertz without causing mode hops. With those two loops working together we maintain continuous stable locks for several hours, greatly exceeding the 20 minutes needed to collect one data set. We have used the dual loop approach because the 8 kHz bandwidth of the Toptica PID 110 is not adequate.

Fig. 4 shows spectra of the beat note before the mixer. In the bottom panel is shown the spectrum acquired in the free-running case. On a one second timescale it shows a width of a few megahertz. The center frequency of this spectrum drifts by several megahertz on a 10 second timescale due to the drift in the frequency of the DL 100. The spectrum in the top panel is the beat note spectrum obtained with the phase lock loop turned on. It exhibits a strong and narrow peak at twice the microwave frequency  $(2f_{mw})$  clearly showing that the system is locked. This central peak remains at the locking frequency as long as the system is locked. The two shoulders indicate a servo loop bandwidth about 1 MHz. The central peak is 46 dB above the noise, as shown, and contains more than 99% of the power. The -20 dB bandwidth of the central peak is below 2 kHz, this measurement being limited by the 1 kHz resolution bandwidth (RBW) of our microwave spectrum analyzer. The overall performance of this system

is sufficient for our use. Locking is routinely achieved in one or two minutes and requires little maintenance.

#### D. Microwave apparatus

The microwave apparatus used is depicted on the bottom of Fig. 3. The microwave source is a Hittite HMC T-2100 synthesizer tuned to the 14.007 GHz resonance of the cavity. Using a 3dB power splitter, half of its 11dBm continuous wave (cw) output is frequency doubled using the active doubler (AD), and used for the phase locked loop described above. The remainder is formed into 300 ns long pulses using the PIN-diode switch (PIN), and amplified by a Hughes 8020H04F traveling wave tube amplifier (TWTA). Before the cavity a variable attenuator (Att2) is used to adjust the microwave power injected into the cavity. The Fabry-Perot cavity is composed of two brass mirrors, each with a 10 cm radius of curvature and a 10.2 cm diameter, with a 7.85 cm on axis separation. Operated on  $TEM_{007}$  mode, it has a quality factor Q of 3700, and we are able to determine the field in the cavity with an uncertainty of 15%. A crucial aspect of the experiment is the reduction of stray electric fields, which ionize the high lying states we detect [17]. To minimize stray fields, in addition to the plates above and below the cavity, we have installed metal plates on both sides as well. Bias voltages are applied to the plates and the cavity mirrors to reduce the stray fields to 1.5 mV/cm. estimated using the method described in [14].

The control of stray fields is more critical for low microwave frequencies [18], so we chose the highest frequency allowed by the bandwidth of the photodiode used in the locking system. To minimize stray fields we measure the field dependent depression of the ionization limit by scanning one of 819 nm lasers. The laser can not be tuned further above the limit than its  $\simeq 30$  GHz modehop free tuning range, and its tuning determines the minimum microwave field amplitude required to observe bound atoms subsequent to the microwave pulse. Specifically the minimum microwave field is given by Eq. (2) ( $E_{mw} \simeq 2.5$  V/cm for 30 GHz above the limit). Microwave fields far in excess of this value lead to a decreased signal, as shown in Fig. 6.

# IV. RESULTS

In Fig. 5 we show result of tuning the amplitude modulated 819 nm laser above and below the ionization limit. In both cases the Rydberg states are detected while scanning the optical delay as shown in Fig. 2. The vertical scale of the figure is relative to the total population promoted by the laser to nf or  $\epsilon f$  states. In Fig. 5b the center frequency  $\omega_o/2\pi$  of the 819 nm laser is 25 GHz above the ionization limit, which is depressed by 7 GHz from its zero field value by the stray electric field. We see a large, 10 %, phase dependent modulation in the



FIG. 5. Rydberg state signal as a function of phase  $\omega t_0$  between the microwave field and laser envelope for two different laser tunings: (a)  $\omega_0/2\pi$  is 17 GHz below the ionization limit, (b)  $\omega_0/2\pi$  is 25 GHz above the limit. In both cases the microwave field is  $E_{mw} = 3$  V/cm. The data (light gray) are fit to a sine function (black) to extract the peak-to-peak modulation. The total number of excited atoms in nf or  $\epsilon f$  states is 1.00 on this scale. The phase origin for the horizontal scale is chosen to have the maximum energy transfer up and down at  $\pi/6$ , in accordance with the results of our classical calculation. We observed that the two fits are inverted within 0.3 radian.

Rydberg state (recombination) signal. In Fig. 5a  $\omega_o/2\pi$ is tuned 17 GHz below the ionization limit, and the observed signal is inverted from that shown in Fig. 5b. Since we are detecting Rydberg states, the inverted signal indicates that with the laser tuned below the limit ionization occurs at the same phase  $\omega t_0$  as does recombination with the laser tuned above the limit, as expected from the classical model. Fig. 5 shows two important features. First, we observe both energy transfer to the electron, as in the He experiments, and from the electron, as in the ps-microwave experiment. Second, the magnitude of the phase modulation,  $\simeq 10\%$ , is far greater than that observed with single ps laser pulse excitation.

In Fig. 6 we plot the observed modulation amplitudes for the tunings of Fig. 6 vs the microwave field as well as those calculated using a classical model. The modulation in Fig. 6a is plotted as negative to indicate the phase reversal shown in Fig. 5. In Fig. 6b, with the laser tuned above the limit, the experimental modulation signal increases to a maximum at  $E_{mw} = 2.5$  V/cm and decreases to zero at 5 V/cm. In Fig. 6a the signal again increases to a maximum at 3 V/cm, but, unlike Fig. 6b, slowly decreases as the field is raised, never reaching zero.

It is instructive to compare the observed dependence of the modulation on the microwave field to the results of a one dimensional classical model. In the model an electron near the ionization limit is launched from the center of a soft core coulomb potential at the phase  $\omega t_0$ of the microwave field[19]. We calculate numerically the classical motion of the electron to find the phase dependent probability of its returning to the ionic core on its first orbit, ignoring later orbits. Since the coulomb potential, not the microwave field, reflects the electron, it returns to the core with a negative total energy. It worth noting that we calculate the motion of an electron within only one orbit, but, as illustrated in Fig. 1, this orbit occurs over multiple microwave cycles. Furthermore, the Kepler frequency of a electron bound by 17 GHz is 500 MHz which is much lower than the microwave frequency. To avoid confusion, in the following 'orbit' refers to the electronic motion whereas 'cycle' refers to the microwave field. In Fig. 6b the laser tuning in the model is 25 GHz above the limit. There is no recombination until its onset at  $E_{mw}=2.5$  V/cm, when the maximum energy transfer of Eq. (2) equals the laser tuning above the limit. At this field recombination occurs only at the phases  $\omega t_0 \simeq \pi/6$  and  $7\pi/6$ . As the field is raised recombination occurs over a widening phase interval, and the maximum modulation occurs when recombination occurs over the phase intervals  $\pi/6 - \pi/4 \lesssim \omega t_0 \lesssim \pi/6 + \pi/4$  and  $7\pi/6 - \pi/4 \lesssim \omega t_0 \lesssim 7\pi/6 + \pi/4$ , which is, not surprisingly, when recombination occurs half the time. In Fig. 6b this occurs at  $E_{mw}=3$  V/cm. As  $E_{mw}$  is further increased the fraction of time during which recombination occurs increases, and the modulation slowly decreases. The calculated modulation shown in Fig. 6a is essentially the same.



FIG. 6. (Color online) Peak-to-Peak modulation of the Rydberg state signal as a function of microwave field  $E_{mw}$  when the laser is tuned (a) 17 GHz below and (b) 25 GHz above the ionization limit. The experimental data points have error bars and the results of a classical calculation are shown as broken lines. The negative modulation in (a) reflects the phase inversion between Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b). Note the factor of three difference in the two vertical scales.

#### V. DISCUSSION

The classical model provides useful insights into the experimental data. First, we note that at fields above 3 V/cm the model predicts a slow decrease in the modulation, which is observed in Fig. 6a, but not in Fig. 6b. It is not observed in Fig. 6b because an electron which has been recombined on the first orbit, the only orbit consid-

ered in the model, can be ionized on a subsequent orbit, obliterating the modulation. In contrast, in Fig. 4a an electron ionized on the first orbit remains ionized; there are never any subsequent orbits. Thus Fig. 6a can be expected to match reasonably well a single orbit model, and, aside from the overall scale factor, it does. The discrepancy between the model and the experimental results in Fig. 6b at fields above 3 V/cm is evidently due to ignoring later orbits, when ionization can reduce the number of Rydberg states remaining to be detected.

There are two differences between the model and the experiment at low microwave fields. First, in both Figs. 6a and 6b the experimentally observed modulation rises more slowly than does the calculated modulation. Second, in Fig. 6b the onset of the modulation occurs before it is classically allowed one of the hallmarks of a quantum mechanical process. While tunneling is the most familiar of such processes, a resonant transition driven by multiple field cycles is another[20].

The notion that multiple field cycles are important is the motivation for Floquet approaches to this and similar problems [6, 21–23]. Further support for a Floquet approach comes from frequency domain experiments, in which series of peaks above the limit, separated by the microwave frequency, were observed [7, 14, 18], as well as from the phase dependence reported here. Since the amplitude modulated laser field has only two optical frequency components, the relation between the relative phases of the two optical components at  $\omega_0 \pm \omega$  and the phase of the envelope is particularly simple. A phase shift of  $\omega t_0$  in one of the components shifts the envelope by  $\omega t_0/2$ . Delaying the envelope is equivalent to shifting the phase of one of the two components. The observed phase dependence in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 is thus indicative of coherent excitation of Floquet sidebands at  $\omega_o \pm \omega$ , as suggested previously[6].

While the classical one orbit model is intuitively appealing, it overstimates the amplitude of the modulation by at least a factor of three, as shown by Fig. 6. We attribute this discrepancy to two factors. First, small, 1.5 mV/cm, stray fields field ionize the high lying states, reducing the size of all signals. Second, the model ignores the effect of later microwave cycles, which lead to more ionization, reducing the total detected signal, and the modulation as well.

# VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the enormous increase in the phase dependent modulation signal when the laser excitation occurs over multiple microwave cycles, as opposed to during a single cycle [8], demonstrates experimentally the importance of coherence in the excitation, as predicted in He APT-IR laser calculations [1]. Furthermore, the energy transfer is much larger than expected on the basis of the microwave field alone, due to the presence of the coulomb potential. For the same reason, in APT-IR experiments analogous energy transfer effects will be important even in relatively weak IR fields.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge useful discussions with R. R. Jones. This work has been supported by the U. S.

- P. Johnsson, R. López-Martens, S. Kazamias, J. Mauritsson, C. Valentin, T. Remetter, K. Varjú, M. B. Gaarde, Y. Mairesse, H. Wabnitz, P. Salières, P. Balcou, K. J. Schafer, and A. L'Huillier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 013001 (2005).
- [2] E. Goulielmakis, M. Uiberacker, R. Kienberger, A. Baltuska, V. Yakovlev, A. Scrinzi, T. Westerwalbesloh, U. Kleineberg, U. Heinzmann, M. Drescher, and F. Krausz, Science **305**, 1267 (2004).
- [3] N. Shivaram, H. Timmers, X.-M. Tong, and A. Sandhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 193002 (2012).
- [4] M. Chini, B. Zhao, H. Wang, Y. Cheng, S. X. Hu, and Z. Chang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 073601 (2012).
- [5] P. Ranitovic, X. M. Tong, B. Gramkow, S. De, B. De-Paola, K. P. Singh, W. Cao, M. Magrakvelidze, D. Ray, I. Bocharova, H. Mashiko, A. Sandhu, E. Gagnon, M. M. Murnane, H. Kapteyn, I. Litvinyuk, and C. L. Cocke, New Journal of Physics **12**, 013008 (2010).
- [6] X. M. Tong, P. Ranitovic, C. L. Cocke, and N. Toshima, Phys. Rev. A 81, 021404 (2010).
- [7] E. S. Shuman, R. R. Jones, and T. F. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 263001 (2008).
- [8] K. R. Overstreet, R. R. Jones, and T. F. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 033002 (2011).
- [9] H. van Linden van den Heuvell and H. Muller, *Multipho*ton processes (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).

- [10] T. F. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2304 (1988).
- [11] P. B. Corkum, N. H. Burnett, and F. Brunel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1259 (1989).
- [12] J. A. Hostetter, J. L. Tate, K. J. Schafer, and M. B. Gaarde, Phys. Rev. A 82, 023401 (2010).
- [13] J. Javanainen, J. H. Eberly, and Q. Su, Phys. Rev. A 38, 3430 (1988).
- [14] J. H. Gurian, K. R. Overstreet, H. Maeda, and T. F. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043415 (2010).
- [15] M. G. Littman and H. J. Metcalf, Appl. Opt. 17, 2224 (1978).
- [16] T. W. Hänsch, Appl. Opt. **11**, 895 (1972).
- [17] W. Zhao, J. C. Lancaster, F. B. Dunning, C. O. Reinhold, and J. Burgdörfer, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 38, S191 (2005).
- [18] A. Arakelyan, T. Topcu, F. Robicheaux, and T. F. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. A 90, 013413 (2014).
- [19] E. J. H, G. R, L. C. K, and S. Q, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. phys. suppl. 1, 301 (1992).
- [20] R. C. Stoneman, D. S. Thomson, and T. F. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. A 37, 1527 (1988).
- [21] M. Murakami and S.-I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 88, 043428 (2013).
- [22] S.-I. Chu and W. P. Reinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1195 (1977).
- [23] A. Giusti-Suzor and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A 36, 5178 (1987).