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Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensors can reach the quantum noise limit of the optical read out field in various configurations. We demonstrate that two-mode intensity squeezed states produce a further enhancement in sensitivity compared with a classical optical readout when the quantum noise is used to transduce an SPR sensor signal in the Kretschmann configuration. The quantum noise reduction between the twin beams when incident at an angle away from the plasmonic resonance, combined with quantum noise resulting from quantum anticorrelations when on resonance, results in an effective SPR-mediated modulation that yields a measured sensitivity 5 dB better than with a classical optical readout in this configuration. The theoretical potential of this technique points to resolving particle concentrations with more accuracy than is possible via classical approaches to optical transduction.*

PACS numbers: 73.20.Mf, 42.50.Dv

Carlton Caves first proposed the idea of using quantum noise reduction in sensors in 1981 [1]. The concept works by directly reducing the noise sidebands in a measurement, which thereby allows one to detect smaller phenomena that would otherwise have been lost in the shot noise. However, due to the optical loss associated with most practical systems, which destroys quantum noise reduction, quantum sensing remained unfulfilled promise of quantum optics for decades. Recently, devices have been engineered to reduce loss to the point that quantum sensing is possible in several scenarios [2–7]. However, there have typically been specialized applications, and have always required minimal optical losses. Here, we simultaneously take advantage of both quantum noise reduction and the optical losses in a ubiquitous Kretschmann surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensor in order to provide a 5 dB improvement in sensitivity compared to the classical analogue. The implementation demonstrates application of quantum noise reduction to the most widely used sensor platform yet, increasing the reach of quantum sensors to myriad other disciplines while providing the most accessible quantum sensing configuration available to date.

SPR sensors have been studied for decades because of their high sensitivity that results from nanoscale electric field confinement [8–14]. With recent advances in chemical functionalization and nanofabrication, label-free chemical and biological plasmonic sensors have become widely available in recent years [15, 16]. However, the sensitivity of SPR sensors is fundamentally limited by the Heisenberg uncertainty of the optical read out light: the shot noise limit (SNL) [17]. Phase based SPR sensors have demonstrated an order of magnitude improvement in sensitivity compared with intensity based sensors [18, 19], but they are ultimately limited by the quantum statistics of light.

Recent letters [20, 21] have shown that localized and propagating surface plasmons can coherently transduce squeezed states, pointing to the possibility of quantum plasmonic sensors capable of surpassing the shot noise limit. Demonstrations of the Hong-Ou-Mandel effect in plasmonic media [22, 23] have also proved that plasmons can behave as bosons, which preserve and transmit quantum states and quantum information effectively. In addition, recent work [24] has enabled observation of a refractive-index change of Δn = 0.014 by detecting coincidences of single photons above an ad hoc added excess background noise. However, typical SPR sensors utilize optical readout powers ranging from 10s of µW to 10s of mW in order to minimize the effect of shot noise, without turning the power so high as to damage photosensitive elements or thermally modulate the sensor itself. The sensor we outline here utilizes bright quantum states of light with mW level power to achieve sensitivity more than an order of magnitude better than any previous device that utilizes quantum states. The same enhancement can be seen under excess noise conditions, such as relatively large electronics noise on resonance in the present system, since the quantum correlations themselves serve as a strong filter that rejects background noise. Thus, the sensor provides all of the benefits of a single photon, coincidence counting readout with the additional advantages of tunable, bright fields for increased sensitivity.

Two-mode squeezed light, generated via four wave mixing (4WM) [25] in Rb vapor, has shown promise for
quantum information processing and quantum sensing [26–28], with greater than 9 dB of quantum noise reduction demonstrated [29, 30]. By transducing the SPR response with quantum noise, we show that the quantum excess noise present when one channel is significantly attenuated, combined with the quantum correlations present in the absence of attenuation, can dramatically improve the SPR sensor sensitivity compared to the analogous classical implementation. In the case of two mode squeezed states, each individual beam emitted by the 4WM process contains excess quantum noise imparted by the nonlinear amplification. Upon subtraction, the intensities reveal that the excess noise is correlated, and the total noise is reduced below the SNL. If the fields are subtracted with different weights (after one experiences large losses, for instance), then the uncorrelated excess noise on the other field will dominate the signal [31, 32].

Figure 1(a) shows the experimental setup used for the quantum Kretschmann configuration SPR sensor. A two-mode squeezed state was generated by 4WM in a 25.4 mm long 85Rb vapor cell with a 260 mW pump beam and a 77 µW probe beam. The stem of the vapor cell was maintained at 70.3°C. The pump and probe were fiber coupled to improve the mode quality entering the cell. The 1 mm pump waist and the 0.4 mm probe waist were overlapped at the center of the cell at an angle of 7 mrad. The pump frequency derived from a Ti:Sapphire CW laser was locked at ~795 nm, approximately 800 MHz to the red of the atomic absorption resonance, and the probe frequency was offset from the pump frequency by 3.042 GHz, approximately equal to the hyperfine ground state splitting, using a double-passed acousto-optic modulator.

The 4WM process is enabled by the double lambda system shown in Fig. 1(c). The hot Rb vapor absorbs two pump photons, resulting in a coherence between the two hyperfine ground states. In order to satisfy conservation of energy and momentum, probe and conjugate photon pairs are re-emitted simultaneously from the vapor at opposite angles with respect to the pump, which builds quantum correlations between the two channels that can be observed in the form of squeezing in differential measurements. A noise level up to 5 dB below the SNL was observed at an analyzer frequency of 2 MHz in the absence of the SPR sensor. Fig. 1(b) shows a typical broadband spectrum with 4.5 dB of quantum noise reduction. The SNL was acquired by measuring the noise of a coherent light source whose power was equal to the sum of the probe power and conjugate powers.

Our SPR sensor based on the Kretschmann configuration used a BK7 right angle prism and a 43.5 nm thick gold film deposited on the long face. Index matching oils with refractive index of 1.3 ± 0.0002, 1.301 ± 0.0002 and 1.305 ± 0.0002 were used to characterize the SPR sensor under conditions consistent with flow-cell operation. This resolution was sufficient for this demonstration, but the approach described here could equally well be implemented with any flow cell geometry. The probe beam was sent directly to the balanced photodetector with 94% quantum efficiency.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic of the 4WM experiment with SPR sensing system and the field operators. PBS: polarizing beam splitter. (b) Energy levels of Rb for the D1 line at 795 nm, showing that the hot Rb vapor absorbs two pump photons and a correlated probe and conjugate photon pair is re-emitted. (c) Broadband squeezing spectrum showing 4.5 dB of squeezing at an analyzer frequency of 2 MHz.

The classical complex reflection coefficient from the prism/gold film/dielectric multilayer is

\[ r = \frac{r_{12} + r_{23}e^{2ik_{z}zd}}{1 + r_{12}r_{23}e^{2ik_{z}zd}}, \]  

(1)

where \( r_{ij} \) is the reflection coefficient between the \( i^{th} \) layer and the \( j^{th} \) layer, \( k_{z} \) is the normal component of the wave vector in the metal layer and \( d \) is the thickness of the metal film. For p-polarized light, eqn. 1 describes surface plasmon polariton absorption as a function of the incident k-vector.

Since the reflectivity of the multilayer depends on the magnitude of \( k_{z} \), by scanning the angle of incidence an angular reflection spectrum showing an absorption resonance due to the surface plasmon polariton is obtained. When the refractive index of the dielectric changes, so does \( r_{23} \), and therefore the angular spectrum changes. This change is a signal showing that the dielectric has changed in refractive index.

Treating the read-out field quantum mechanically, the total sensor can be described as a parametric amplifier with gain \( G \) followed by an effective beam splitter with total transmission \( \eta \) on the probe and conjugate beams before considering the SPR sensor, which can be treated as an effective beam splitter with transmission \( \gamma = |r|^{2} \) for the probe beam. The final probe and conjugate field
operators are given by
\[ a_{pr\text{--out}} = \sqrt{G\eta a_{pr\text{--in}}} + \sqrt{(G-1)\eta a_{ei\text{--in}}} + \sqrt{(1-\eta)\gamma a_{vd1}} + \sqrt{(1-\gamma)\eta a_{vd2}} \tag{2} \]
\[ a_{c\text{--out}} = \sqrt{G\eta a_{eci} + \sqrt{(G-1)\eta a_{ei\text{--in}}} + \sqrt{(1-\eta)\gamma a_{vd1}} \tag{3} \]

Operators \( a_{vd1} \) and \( a_{vd2} \) correspond to the input vacuum fields associated with the first beam splitter port. Operator \( a_{vd3} \) corresponds to the input vacuum field associated with the second beam splitter port. The schematic is shown in Fig. 1(a). The relative intensity noise is given by
\[ \Delta N_{SC} = \Delta(a_{pr\text{--out}} a_{pr\text{--out}} - a_{c\text{--out}} a_{c\text{--out}})^2. \tag{4} \]

For a shot-noise limited optical state, noise is linearly proportional to optical power. It is therefore possible to perform a direct analog of a typical DC SPR measurement described by Eq. 1 by observing the RF noise on a spectrum analyzer. Doing so can eliminate technical noise sources for SPR sensing at low frequencies (such as laser amplitude noise or vibration noise) with both classical and quantum optical states, and therefore can improve the sensor’s resolution. Because of excess laser noise near 1.0 MHz (shown in Fig. 1(c)), the coherent and quantum sensors described here were characterized at 2.0 MHz.

The sensitivity \( S \) of an SPR sensor can be described as the product of the sensitivity of the optical readout to SPR absorption and the sensitivity of the surface plasmon itself to changes in local dielectric function:
\[ S = \frac{\delta Y}{\delta n_{ef}} \frac{\delta n_{ef}}{\delta n}, \tag{5} \]

where \( Y \) is the amplitude of the optical signal, \( n_{ef} \) is the effective plasmon index, and \( n \) is the index of the neighboring dielectric near the metal film [17]. The second factor in Eq. 5 is dependent on the materials properties of the sensor, while the first factor is dependent on the optical readout. Despite a significant breadth of improvements to SPR sensors in recent years, the first factor of Eq. 5 has been fundamentally limited by the photon shot noise. An optical readout method which increases the depth of the modulation on the optical signal would therefore proportionately increase the sensitivity of the SPR sensor.

Figure 2(a) illustrates the noise power in the SPR sensor response for the single-channel coherent light source with optical power equal to that of the probe field. The measured noise consists of the sum of shot noise and −84.5 dBm of electronic noise. This signal provides an analog to the current state of the art in classical plasmonic sensing. The modeled data incorporated in Fig. 2(a) is the calculated noise floor, \( \Delta N_{2p}^2 \), using Eq. 1 to determine the transmitted probe intensity. Because the conjugate field functions as a reference for the probe in the quantum sensor, and because a reference field is often used to take advantage of common mode rejection in classical shot noise limited sensors, a differential classical measurement was also performed. A coherent state with power equal to the combined power of the probe and conjugate fields was split equally on a 50/50 beam splitter with one channel used to transduce the SPR sensor while the other channel served as a reference field. Fig. 2(b) illustrates the results of this measurement, while the modeled correspond to the shot noise for the total transmitted optical power calculated from Eq. 1.

The average depth of the SPR absorption in Fig. 2(b) is 2.3 dB, while the average SPR absorption depth in Fig. 2(a) is 6.4 dB. Since the electronic noise is much weaker than the optical power of the reference channel, the reference channel simply reduces the total modulation strength, as slightly less than half of the combined optical power in Fig. 2(b) is absorbed by the SPR sensor. Note, however, that this would not be the case if the probe field was not shot noise limited, as is true in the
vast majority of sensor configurations with optical readout. In that case, excess noise in the probe field would contribute to the signal’s dynamic range.

On the other hand, Fig. 2(c) illustrates the noise power for the squeezed light source. As with the classical sensor in Fig. 2(b), the probe field transduces the SPR signal while the conjugate is used as a reference on the balanced photodetector. Due to the quantum correlations between the probe beam and the conjugate beam, the noise floor off-resonance is reduced by 4 dB, equivalent to the amount of squeezing present after the SPR sensor, while uncorrelated quantum noise between the two channels resulting from the attenuation of the probe field by the SPR sensor result in an increase in noise near the SPR resonance. Note that the average magnitude of the SPR absorption in Fig. 2(c) is 8.8 dB, or 2.4 dB better than was possible with a classical state. As described by Eq. 5, an experimental improvement of 2.4 dB in the optical modulation depth corresponds directly to a 2.4 dB improvement in sensitivity. Note that the modeled noise from Eq. 4 plotted in the figure, which represents the noise of a pure squeezed state, suggests that 2 dB of excess noise resulting from unwanted processes in the vapor cell is present in the experimental data off-resonance. A pure state would show an even greater improvement of 4.4 dB in absorption depth compared with the classical case. Another viewpoint would be to compare the squeezed state to a classical read out field that is not shot noise limited. In this case, the super-Poissonian noise in the field would serve as an effective modulation. For a modulation commensurate with the amount of antisqueezing present in the two-mode squeezed state, the classical resonance signal would be smaller than the quantum signal by an amount commensurate with the squeezing.

However, the ultimate sensitivity for the quantum measurement is not described by the noise plot, but by the measured squeezing (which is itself a normalization of the output noise by the SNL in Fig. 2(b)). Figure 3(a) plots the squeezing from Eq. 4 with shot noise subtracted as a function of the reflectivity of the SPR sensor with a gain of \( G = 4.5 \) and a total transmission of \( \eta = 0.84 \). The total transmission is the result of 6% attenuation from the vapor cell, 5% attenuation from the polarized beam splitter and 94% efficiency of the photodetector. The data obtained with the SPR sensor on the probe beam matches well with the model based on the attenuation of quantum correlations by an effective beam splitter except for the high reflectivity region, which can be accounted for by the fact that the model considers pure states, which our two mode squeezed state deviates from slightly. The measured and modeled squeezing are illustrated in Fig. 3(b) as a function of incident angle, illustrating an 11.4 dB absorption depth: 5 dB greater than the classical measurement in this configuration. As before, this corresponds directly to a 5 dB improvement in the ultimate sensitivity limit of SPR sensors.

Because the absorption depth depends on both quantum correlations when on-resonance and quantum anti-correlations when off-resonance, this paradigm takes advantage of losses in SPR sensing to enable greater sensitivity with quantum noise measurements than would be possible with an unmodulated signal measurement. As a result, existing squeezed states could be utilized in this framework to support greater than an order of magnitude improved SPR sensitivity in a robust and compact framework that can be easily incorporated with current SPR sensing modalities.

Finally, we note that no proof has yet been developed to show that the novel approach we demonstrated here will always beat the classical limit, but for the specific sensor developed here, a 5 dB improvement on the classical analogue was observed. On the other hand, the absolute sensitivity of our device is in line with the state of the art. Assuming 100 averages for each trace, the error bars correspond to under \( \pm 0.01 \) dB, which allows for a resolvable index change of \( 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \) RIU using the reflectivity measured at the inflection point. Our un-
squeezed sensor is capable of resolving $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ RIU. It is possible to optimize the sensor further by increasing the squeezing in order to beat the state of the art, while we note that in the optimum classical configuration no further increase in SNR can be had. It also stands to reason that with DC measurements, squeezed light would also improve the sensitivity by reducing the variance on each data point in the reflection spectrum, allowing for smaller shifts in the spectrum to become separable as a function of angle. While typical experiments are dominated by technical noise at DC, applying an amplitude modulation at RF frequency to the probe field and then demodulating at this frequency during measurement to provide an exact analog to a DC measurement without technical noise. While this DC-equivalent detection scheme will be the subject of a future report, the experimental results presented here demonstrate a clear proof of principle improvement in SPR sensors by using quantum noise reduction to increase the modulation depth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, operated by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725. B. L. and R. C. P. acknowledge support from the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program. The gold films used in the SPR sensor were fabricated at the Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility. The authors acknowledge Roderick Davidson and Jason Schaake for metal deposition support.