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I. INTRODUCTION

In the 1960s, it was theorized that there could be particles with imaginary mass, called tachyons, which travel faster than light [1–5]. This is because in order for relativistic energy $E = mc^2/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}$ to be real when $m$ is imaginary, $v$ must be larger than $c$. Note that although a tachyon is considered to be superluminal, causality may still be preserved [4, 6]. There has been no experimental evidence of tachyons occurring naturally [7, 8]. There have been a few proposals for engineering tachyon-like excitations that travel faster than the effective speed of light in the system. These include inverted optical media [6] and waveguides [9–11]. The motivation for an experimental realization is that it would broaden the range of accessible phenomena, and allow the further study of physics that would otherwise be unphysical.

Ion traps have proven to be an ideal setting for experimentally realizing quantum relativistic effects [12–20]. Other platforms have been considered as well [21–23]. Recent trapped-ion experiments have simulated Dirac particles and observed Zitterbewegung and Klein tunneling [16, 17]. In these experiments, the excellent control and read-out capabilities allow one to prepare and monitor the wavepacket dynamics.

In this paper, we propose a scheme to simulate Dirac tachyons [24] with trapped ions and show that their quantum nature distinguishes them from classical tachyons. In our scheme, continuous measurement on a Dirac particle simulated by a trapped ion causes it to have an imaginary mass. The Dirac tachyon can then move faster than the effective speed of light in the system (see Fig. 1). We perform realistic numerical simulations with example experimental numbers and show that this scheme is feasible with current technology. We also describe how to measure the relevant observables.

We also obtain new results regarding the properties of Dirac tachyons. We show that spinor-motion correlation plays a crucial role in the propagation, i.e., there must be spinor-motion correlation in order for a tachyon to be superluminal. We also consider the interaction with an external potential and find that a tachyon exhibits significantly more Klein tunneling than a normal particle. Then, we use a spacetime duality to show that a normal particle scattering off a spatially-varying potential is dual to a tachyon scattering off a time-varying potential. All these features can be simulated with trapped ions following our proposal.

Our paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the Dirac equation with imaginary mass and describe our proposed experimental scheme. In Sec. III, we discuss the velocity of the wavepacket and its relationship to entanglement. In Sec. IV, we calculate Klein tunneling for a Dirac tachyon. In Sec. V, we provide experimental numbers and comment on the scalability of our scheme.

II. MODEL

A quantum relativistic particle is described by the Dirac equation [25]. In one spatial dimension, it takes

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) + \frac{g^2}{4\Delta} \xi \right) \psi(x,t) \]

where $\psi(x,t)$ is the wavefunction, $m$ is the mass of the particle, $V(x)$ is the external potential, and $\Delta$ is the mass parameter. The term $\xi$ represents the interaction with an external field $\phi(x)$. The equation is supplemented by the initial condition $\psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x)$, where $\psi_0(x)$ is the initial wavefunction.

Graphically, the Dirac equation can be visualized as follows:

(a) Probability density $|\psi(x,t)|^2$ is plotted on color scale. Dashed line denotes light cone.
(b) Solid line is average position $\langle x \rangle$ as a function of $t$. Dashed line denotes speed of light. Initial wavefunction is $\psi(x) = e^{i\phi(x)} e^{-x^2/4\Delta^2} u_+(p_0)$ with $p_0 = 3.5/\Delta$ and $m = 2/(c\Delta)$.

FIG. 1. (color online) Propagation of a tachyon wave packet.
the form $i\hbar \dot{\psi} = H\psi$, where [26]

$$H = cp\sigma_x + mc^2\sigma_z$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

with speed of light $c$, mass $m$, momentum operator $p$, and Pauli matrices $\sigma_k$. We assume that $\hbar = 1$. The wavefunction $\psi$ has both motional and spinor degrees of freedom. For the two-component spinor, we work in the $\sigma_z$ basis: $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$.

This equation was experimentally implemented with a trapped ion by letting the ion’s electronic and vibrational degrees of freedom correspond to a Dirac particle’s spinor and motional degrees of freedom [12, 16]. The term $p\sigma_x$ can be written in terms of $a\sigma_\pm$ and $a^\dagger\sigma_\pm$, so by exciting both blue and red motional sidebands, one obtains Eq. (1). The effective speed of light and mass are $c = 2\eta\Delta \Omega$ and $mc^2 = \Omega$, where $\eta$ is the Lamb-Dicke parameter, $\Delta$ is the size of the ground-state wavefunction, $\Omega$ is the strength of the carrier transition, and $\Omega$ is the detuning.

Now suppose $|\uparrow\rangle$ has a finite lifetime given by a linewidth $\gamma$. Then, conditioned on the absence of a decay event, the system evolves with a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian [27–30],

$$H = H_o - i\frac{\gamma}{4}\sigma_z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

This is because the null measurement of a decay event has back-action on the wavefunction, which is accounted for by the non-Hermitian term. (See footnote [31]). Letting $H_o = cp\sigma_x$, we then have the Dirac equation with imaginary mass [24],

$$H = cp\sigma_x - imc^2\sigma_z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $mc^2 = \gamma/4$. [Note that the sign of the mass term in Eq. (3) is unimportant since it can be flipped via a unitary transformation.] It is important to condition on the absence of decay events; if decay events were included, the dynamics would be described by a master equation instead of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian [32–34]. Thus, quantum measurement allows one to simulate a Dirac tachyon with a trapped ion.

The experimental protocol is as follows. Starting from an initial wavepacket, one evolves the ion with $H_o = cp\sigma_x$, by resonantly exciting blue and red sidebands, while optically pumping $|\uparrow\rangle$ to an auxiliary state. After a given amount of time, one turns off $H_o$ and the optical pumping, and then measures the population in the auxiliary state using the usual fluorescence method. If one measures no population in the auxiliary state, then there was no decay event and therefore the ion was evolving solely according to Eq. (3). This is a probabilistic but heralded method [35–37]: one repeats the protocol many times and post-selects on those runs without decay events, since those are the ones that simulate Eq. (3). In Sec. V, we provide experimental numbers and numerical simulations with realistic decoherence and show that the probability of a successful run is reasonably high. Also, it is not necessary to efficiently detect a single photon; instead, one only needs to efficiently measure the population in the auxiliary state.

We distinguish our scheme from related work. If the second term of Eq. (3) included a complex-conjugation operator, as in the Majorana equation [14, 19, 23], one could simulate it by embedding the spinor in a larger Hilbert space; however, this does not work for the tachyon quantum simulation. Also, a Dirac tachyon is different from a Klein-Gordon tachyon [4, 6] because of the spin degree of freedom, which is important to the dynamics. In addition, our scheme is different from superluminality in an absorptive medium with anomalous dispersion [38–40], because the latter is due to pulse reshaping instead of imaginary mass and does not have a tachyonic dispersion [Fig. 2(b)].

We now compare the behavior of normal massive particles [described by Eq. (1)] with tachyons [described by Eq. (3)].

### III. VELOCITY

A normal massive particle travels slower than $c$, because the group velocity $v_g = dE/dp$ is smaller in magnitude than $c$, where $E = \pm \sqrt{p^2c^2 + m^2c^4}$ is the dispersion of Eq. (1), plotted in Fig. 2(a). Another way to see this is from the equation of motion for the position operator, $\partial_t \langle x \rangle = c\langle \sigma_x \rangle$, which is bounded above by $c$.

The special property of a tachyon is that its group velocity is larger than $c$. This is because the dispersion of Eq. (3) is $E = \pm \sqrt{p^2c^2 - m^2c^4}$, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Figure 1 is a spacetime plot of a tachyon, showing that the wave packet is indeed superluminal.

Consider the equation of motion of $\langle x \rangle$ for a tachyon. For a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian like Eq. (3), the equation of motion for an operator includes extra terms [41], leading to

$$\partial_t \langle x \rangle = c\langle \sigma_x \rangle - 2mc^2(\langle x\sigma_z \rangle - \langle x \rangle \langle \sigma_z \rangle).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Since the first term is bounded by $c$, in order to have $|\partial_t \langle x \rangle| > c$, the quantity in parentheses (correlation of
wavepacket splits into a reflected component and a tunneling component [Fig. 4(a)], and the tunneling probability is $P_{\text{tunnel}} = \exp(-\pi m^2 c^2 / g)$ [42]. This is known as Klein tunneling [43] and is surprising because a nonrelativistic Schrödinger particle would not tunnel without attenuation. In the trapped-ion implementation, a linear potential can be engineered by using a second ion, as demonstrated recently [13, 17].

Now consider a tachyon scattering off a linear electric potential [Fig. 4(b)],

$$i\partial_t \psi = (c p \sigma_x - im c^2 \sigma_z + gx) \psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Suppose an initial wavepacket moves to the right with $p = p_o > 0$ and $E > 0$. We work in momentum space and write $x = i \partial_p$ and $\psi(p, t) = \xi(p_o = p + gt, t)$ [13]:

$$i\partial_t \xi = [c(p_o - gt) \sigma_x - im c^2 \sigma_z] \xi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Thus, the motion of the wavepacket is equivalent to a Landau-Zener process with a magnetic field $c(p_o - gt)$ that decreases linearly in time [44]. The energy levels of this process are given by the dispersion in Fig. 2(b). At $t = 0$, the system starts at $p = p_o$ on the positive-energy branch. $p$ is then ramped to negative values, and the question is how the final population is distributed between the branches. The population in the positive-energy branch corresponds to the (left-moving) reflected component, while the population in the negative-energy branch corresponds to the (right-moving) tachyon component. [Note that the eigenvalues for $p \in (-mc, mc)$ are complex and not plotted in Fig. 2(b)].

However, this is a non-Hermitian Landau-Zener process due to the imaginary field in Eq. (7). By extending Zener’s original solution [44] to the non-Hermitian case, we find that the tunneling probability is

$$P_{\text{tunnel}} = \frac{\exp \left( \frac{\pi m^2 c^2}{g} \right)}{2 \exp \left( \frac{\pi m^2 c^2}{g} \right) - 1}. \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

This result differs from that for a normal particle: the tunneling probability for a tachyon is larger and is always at least 1/2. For example, Fig. 4 shows that a lot more population tunnels through for a tachyon than a normal particle. Physically, this is because the tachyonic dispersion does not have an energy gap, while the normal dispersion does (Fig. 2).

There is a useful duality between normal Dirac particles and tachyons. Suppose $\psi(x, t)$ is a solution to the Dirac equation for a normal particle with electric potential $\phi(x)$ and vector potential $A(x)$ [13, 25]. One can show that $\psi(x', t') = U^{-1} \psi(x = t', t = x')$ is a solution to the Dirac equation for a tachyon with electric potential $\phi'(t') = -A(x = t')$ and vector potential $A'(t') = -\phi(x = t')$, where $U = (I + i \sigma_z) / \sqrt{2}$ is a unitary transformation. Thus, a normal particle scattering off a spatially-varying electric potential is dual to a tachyon scattering off a time-varying vector potential.

IV. SCATTERING OFF A POTENTIAL

Here, we consider the scattering off a repulsive electric potential that is linear in space, $e \phi(x) = gx$, where $e$ is the charge and $g > 0$. It is well known that a normal Dirac particle can tunnel through such a barrier and propagate undamped due to the negative-energy branch of the dispersion [Fig. 2(a)]. An incoming
V. EXPERIMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Probabilities

The tachyon protocol is probabilistic (i.e., conditioned on no decay event), so it is important to estimate the probability of a successful experimental run. To do this, we note that the average number of decay events during time $t$ is

$$\mu = \gamma\langle\sigma_z\rangle t \approx \frac{\gamma t}{2}, \eqno(9)$$

where we have used the fact that the eigenstates of Eq. (3) satisfy $\langle\sigma_z\rangle = 0$. The number of decay events is a Poissonian random variable. Thus, the probability of a successful run (zero decay events during time $t$) is:

$$P_{\text{success}} = e^{-\mu} \approx e^{-\frac{\Delta}{c}}. \eqno(10)$$

To calculate $P_{\text{success}}$ exactly, one would use the instantaneous value of $\langle\sigma_z\rangle$, but we have found that setting $\langle\sigma_z\rangle = 0$ in Eq. (9) results in a very good estimate.

We recall from Eq. (3) that $\gamma = 4mc^2$. We also note that $m$ is in units of $1/(c\Delta)$, while $t$ is in units of $\Delta/c$ (since $\hbar = 1$):

$$m = m'\left(\frac{1}{c\Delta}\right), \quad t = t'\left(\frac{\Delta}{c}\right), \eqno(11)$$

where $m', t'$ are dimensionless. Thus,

$$\mu \approx 2m't', \quad P_{\text{success}} \approx e^{-2m't'}. \eqno(12)$$

As an example, consider Fig. 1, where $m' = 2$. The particle is superluminal by $t' = 0.5$, which corresponds to $P_{\text{success}} = e^{-2} \approx 0.14$. A time of $t' = 1$ corresponds to $P_{\text{success}} = e^{-4} \approx 0.018$. These probabilities are reasonably high enough for the scheme to be feasible. $P_{\text{success}}$ can be easily increased by decreasing $m'$. Also, we note from Eq. (4) that if the initial wavefunction is suitably entangled [45], the initial velocity can be larger than $c$, so that superluminality occurs earlier. For the case of an external potential, one can observe Klein tunneling with $P_{\text{success}} \approx 0.03$ by using a large $g$.

B. Example numbers

We provide example numbers for $^{171}$Yb$^+$. See the level scheme in Fig. 5(a). To implement the first term of Eq. (3), one drives the red and blue sidebands of the $|\downarrow\rangle - |\uparrow\rangle$ transition (either directly or via a $P_{3/2}$ state). To implement the second term of Eq. (3), one optically pumps $|\uparrow\rangle$ to the auxiliary state $|a\rangle$ via the $|P_{3/2}, F = 2\rangle$ state. Due to dipole-selection rules, $|P_{3/2}, F = 2\rangle$ decays into $|a\rangle$ instead of $|\downarrow\rangle$. Also, the branching ratio of $|P_{3/2}, F = 2\rangle$ to $|\uparrow\rangle$ is less than 0.002 [46, 47], so these dephasing errors can be neglected [Fig. 5(b)]. To measure the population in $|a\rangle$, one excites $|a\rangle$ to $|P_{1/2}, F = 0\rangle$ and looks for fluorescence. To measure the ion position over time, one uses the procedure described in Refs. [16, 17].

Setting $\eta = 0.05$, $\Delta = 3.4$ nm, and $\Omega = 2\pi \times 10$ kHz means an effective speed of light $c = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ m/s and time scale $\Delta/c = 16$ $\mu$s. For optical pumping $\gamma = 2\pi \times 80$ kHz, the mass is $m = 2/(c\Delta)$. These numbers are readily accessible in current experiments.

C. Numerical simulations

We have performed numerical simulations of the tachyon protocol including realistic decoherence present in ion traps. The carrier term is eliminated by using two counterpropagating laser fields for red and blue sidebands, $H_a = i\Omega(\sigma_- e^{-i\delta t} - \sigma_+ e^{-i\delta t})[\sin \phi + \eta \cos \phi (ae^{-i\nu t} + a^\dagger e^{i\nu t})]$, where $\nu$ is the trap frequency and the laser phase $\phi$ is chosen such that $\sin \phi = 0$. For the tachyon protocol, the intrinsic source of decoherence is optical pumping errors, i.e., when $|P_{3/2}, F = 2\rangle$ decays back to $|\uparrow\rangle$, which leads to dephasing with rate $\gamma_d$. Figure 5(b) shows that the optical pumping errors are negligible for $^{171}$Yb$^+$, since $\gamma_d < 0.002\gamma$. We have also found that since $\gamma_d$ is much larger than other sources of decoherence (motional heating, spontaneous emission, and laser dephasing) in current experiments, the latter have even less effect. Thus, the tachyonic behaviour is preserved with realistic decoherence.
D. Dependence on detector efficiency

Since our scheme is conditioned on the absence of a decay event, one must reliably measure whether a decay event occurred. In a typical ion trap experiment, the efficiency of detecting a single photon is very low. Fortunately, the scheme described in Sec. V B does not rely on detecting a single photon. The key is to have $|↑⟩$ decay into $|a⟩$ instead of $|↓⟩$. To determine whether a decay event occurred, one measures the population in $|a⟩$ by continuously exciting it to $|P⟩/2, F = 0$. If the atom is in $|a⟩$, it will scatter many photons; otherwise, it will not scatter any photons. This way, one can efficiently read out the population in $|a⟩$ and thereby determine whether a decay event occurred, even though the single-photon efficiency is low. In other words, instead of detecting the single photon created during the decay from $|↑⟩$, one can just measure the many photons that are scattered when the atom is in $|a⟩$.

How high should the readout fidelity be to observe tachyonic behavior? If there is a readout error, it is similar to an optical pumping error discussed in Sec. V C, i.e., one measures that there was not a decay event even though there really was. According to Fig. 5, a readout fidelity of 99.5% is sufficient for observing that the group tachyonic behavior? If there is a readout error, it is similar to an optical pumping error discussed in Sec. V C, i.e., one measures that there was not a decay event even though there really was. According to Fig. 5, a readout fidelity of 99.5% is sufficient for observing that the group

VI. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a quantum simulation of tachyons with current ion-trap technology. This ability to experimentally observe tachyonic physics opens the door to many possibilities. For example, one can study how imaginary mass affects bound states in a confining potential [49] or the Dirac oscillator [50]. One can also consider a tachyon interacting with another tachyon or a normal particle [2]. By including more ions, one can study many-body physics [36, 37, 51]. Finally, tachyon physics in conjunction with metamaterials may lead to new applications in manipulating the propagation of light [9].
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