This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Modulational stability and gap solitons of gapless systems: Continuous versus discrete limits

Matteo Conforti, Costantino De Angelis, T. R. Akylas, and Alejandro B. Aceves

Phys. Rev. A **85**, 063836 — Published 26 June 2012

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.85.063836](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.063836)

Modulational stability and gap solitons of gapless systems: continuous vs. discrete

Matteo Conforti^{1,*}, Costantino De Angelis¹, T. R. Akylas², and Alejandro B. Aceves³

¹*CNISM, Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione,*

Università degli Studi di Brescia, Brescia 25123, Italy.

²*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

³*Department of Mathematics, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA*

We consider a model consisting of two subsystems with crossing linear dispersion curves, that describes, for example, light propagation in binary waveguide arrays. This model admits bright-dark gap solitons in both the discrete and the continuous (long wavelength) limit, in spite of the absence of a gap in the linear (i.e. plane wave) spectrum. We find that these solitons are always modulationally unstable in the continuous limit, whereas they can be stable in the discrete system if the amplitude of the background component exceeds a certain threshold.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Discrete optics in coupled waveguides has received intensive study during the last three decades [1]. Besides being an interesting research area on its own, due to several applications, for example in communication systems and optical computing, it furnishes a unique testbed for the observation of linear and nonlinear phenomena occurring in various branches of science, due to the very general character of the underlying equations. This formal analogy has been exploited to mimic a variety of phenomena in the realm of (non-relativistic) quantum mechanics [2], quantum field theory [3] and relativistic quantum mechanics [4, 5], with particular emphasis on binary waveguide arrays [6, 7]. Binary arrays with different coupling coefficients have been considered, since they might offer a feasible experimental framework in which to explore a two-band structure in the linear and nonlinear regimes [8, 9]. In this scenario, the use of photonic crystal waveguides [10] or waveguides based on plasmonic confinement [11, 12] offers a unique setting in which to exploit propagation in the so-called alternating positive and negative coupling regime [13, 14]. In this instance, if the coupling coefficients have different modulus, a gap centered at zero Bloch momentum in the linear dispersion relation opens, allowing the existence of bright gap solitons [15, 16]. Whereas, if the coupling coefficients have equal modulus, the gap closes, and a “diabolical” or “Dirac” point emerges in the band structure at zero transverse momentum [13]. Despite the absence of a gap in the linear spectrum, that may prevent the existence of bright gap solitons [17], nonlinearity could in principle open a gap, allowing for the existence of gap solitons sitting on a nonvanishing constant background [18, 19].

In this article we show that a nonlinearity-induced gap can appear in the plane wave (PW) spectrum of a binary array with equal coupling coefficients, if the nonlinear coefficients in the even and odd sites are different. We

analyze the stability of the PW solutions in both the discrete case and in the continuous long wavelength limit. It turns out that only the discrete system can support stable plane wave propagation. Moreover, we find that highly localized discrete solitons can propagate in a stable fashion if the PW background amplitude exceeds a certain threshold. This perhaps surprising result, to the best of our knowledge, has not been observed in similar systems.

II. PHYSICAL SETTINGS

According to coupled mode theory (CMT) and taking into account third-order nonlinearities in the form of a pure Kerr effect, the governing equations read [15, 20]:

$$\begin{aligned} i E'_{nz} + \beta_n E'_n + C_{n-1} E'_{n-1} + C_{n+1} E'_{n+1} + \\ + \chi_n |E'_n|^2 E'_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where E'_n is the amplitude of the modal field $M_n(x)$ of the n th waveguide; β_n is the propagation constant of each individual waveguide ($\beta_n = \beta + \Delta\beta/2$ for n even and $\beta_n = \beta - \Delta\beta/2$ for n odd); χ_n , the site-dependent nonlinear coefficient, is γ_1 (γ_2) for n even (odd); and C_{n-1} , C_{n+1} are the coupling coefficients with the $(n-1)$ th and the $(n+1)$ th waveguide, respectively. In the specific case of interest, $C_{n-1} = C_1$ and $C_{n+1} = C_2$ when n is even, whereas $C_{n-1} = C_2$ and $C_{n+1} = C_1$ when n is odd. We then perform the transformation $E'_n = E_n \exp(i\beta z)$ and we separately consider the mode amplitudes in the even and odd waveguides. Finally, $E_{2n} = A_n$ and $E_{2n-1} = B_n$ are governed by the following two sets of coupled equations with constant coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} iA_{nz} + \frac{\Delta\beta}{2}A_n + C_1B_n + B_{n+1} + \gamma_1|A_n|^2A_n = 0 \\ iB_{nz} - \frac{\Delta\beta}{2}B_n + A_{n-1} + C_1A_n + \gamma_2|B_n|^2B_n = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where without loss of generality C_2 has been set equal to 1.

Assuming Bloch-wave disturbances, $(A_n, B_n) \propto \exp\{i(nk_x + k_z z)\}$, the linear dispersion relation of Eqs.

*Electronic address: matteo.conforti@ing.unibs.it

(1) reads:

$$k_z^2 = \left(\frac{\Delta\beta}{2}\right)^2 + C_1^2 + 1 + 2C_1 \cos k_x.$$

Note that a band gap opens whenever $\Delta\beta \neq 0$ and/or for $C_1 \neq \pm 1$, the band edges corresponding to the wavenumber $k_x = 0$ for $C_1 < 0$ and $k_x = \pi$ for $C_1 > 0$. Moreover, it was demonstrated that discrete solitons can reside inside this gap [15, 20].

In what follows, we concentrate on the gapeless system, that is obtained by posing $\Delta\beta = 0$ and $C_1 = -1$:

$$\begin{aligned} iA_{nz} + B_{n+1} - B_n + \gamma_1|A_n|^2 A_n &= 0 \\ iB_{nz} + A_{n-1} - A_n + \gamma_2|B_n|^2 B_n &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In the neighborhood of $k_x = 0$, we use the expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n\pm 1}(z) &= u(x, z) \pm u_x(x, z) + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}(x, z) + \dots \\ B_{n\pm 1}(z) &= w(x, z) \pm w_x(x, z) + \frac{1}{2}w_{xx}(x, z) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

to obtain (as a first-order approximation) the following continuous system in the long wavelength limit:

$$\begin{aligned} iu_z + w_x + \gamma_1|u|^2 u &= 0 \\ iw_z - u_x + \gamma_2|w|^2 w &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This system also arises in the neighborhood of $k_x = \pi$ for $C_1 = 1$, following a similar expansion procedure after the change of variables $(A_n, B_n) \rightarrow (-1)^n(A_n, B_n)$.

III. NONLINEAR DISPERSION AND MODULATIONAL STABILITY

A. Continuous limit

We start by looking for plane wave solutions $u(x, z) = A \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$, $w(x, z) = B \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$ of the continuous system (3), for fixed total field intensity $I = |A|^2 + |B|^2$, to get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_z - \gamma_1|A|^2 & -ik_x \\ ik_x & k_z - \gamma_2|B|^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (4)$$

It turns out that the PW amplitude $|A|$ and wavenumber k_z must satisfy:

$$k_z^2 = (k_z - \gamma_1|A|^2)(k_z - \gamma_2|B|^2) \quad (5)$$

$$|A|^2 = \frac{k_z - \gamma_2|B|^2}{k_z - \gamma_1|A|^2}|B|^2 \quad (6)$$

$$I = |A|^2 + |B|^2 \quad (7)$$

where Eq. (5) is derived from the determinant of the coefficient matrix of the vector $[A, B]^T$ and Eq. (6) is obtained by subtracting the first of Eqs. (4) multiplied

FIG. 1: (Color online) Dispersion relation for $I = 1$ and : a) $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$, b) $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0.5$, c) $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0$, d) $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = -1$.

by A^* from the conjugate of the second of Eqs. (4) multiplied by B .

After some algebra we can find $|A|^2$ as the roots of the fourth order polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2 |A|^8 - I(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2)|A|^6 \\ &+ (\gamma_2 I^2(2\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2) + 4k_x^2)|A|^4 \\ &- I(\gamma_2^2 I^2 + 4k_x^2)|A|^2 + k_x^2 I^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and the wavenumber k_z from:

$$k_z = \frac{\gamma_1|A|^4 - \gamma_2|B|^4}{|A|^2 - |B|^2} \quad (9)$$

Examples of the computed dispersion relations are shown in Fig. 1. For a homogeneous array $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$, the nonlinear dispersion relation does not show any gap. However as soon as $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ a gap opens, and widens upon increasing nonlinear nonhomogeneity.

We now perform a standard linear stability analysis (LSA) in order to analyze the modulational stability of the PW solutions. As usual we consider the Ansatz $u(z, x) = (A + p_1(z, x)) \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$, $w(z, x) = (B + p_2(z, x)) \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$ that when inserted in (3) gives the linearized system for the small perturbations ($|p_1| \ll |A|$, $|p_2| \ll |B|$):

$$\begin{aligned} ip_{1z} + p_{2x} - k_z p_1 + ik_x p_2 + \gamma_1(A^2 p_1^* + 2|A|^2 p_1) &= 0 \\ ip_{2z} - p_{1x} - k_z p_2 - ik_x p_1 + \gamma_2(B^2 p_2^* + 2|B|^2 p_2) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By writing the perturbations as the sum of a Stokes-antistokes pair as $p_m = \varepsilon_{s,m}(z) \exp[iqx] + \varepsilon_{a,m}(z) \exp[-iqx]$, we can write the first order system for $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon_{1,s}, \varepsilon_{1,a}^*, \varepsilon_{2,s}, \varepsilon_{2,a}^*]^T$

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = M\varepsilon \quad (11)$$

where

FIG. 2: (Color online) Modulational instability gain for $I = 4$, $k_x = 1$, $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0.5$. a) lower branch, b) upper branch of dispersion relation.

$$M = i \begin{bmatrix} 2\gamma_1|A|^2 - k_z & \gamma_1 A^2 & i(k_x + q) & 0 \\ -\gamma_1 A^{2*} & -(2\gamma_1|A|^2 - k_z) & 0 & i(k_x - q) \\ -i(k_x + q) & 0 & 2\gamma_2|B|^2 - k_z & \gamma_2 B^2 \\ 0 & -i(k_x - q) & -\gamma_2 B^{2*} & -(2\gamma_2|B|^2 - k_z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If for a fixed disturbance wavevector q the matrix M possesses at least one eigenvalue with non vanishing real part, the PW solution will be unstable, and the perturbation will grow with a rate $G(q) = \max_{i=1,4}[\Re\{\lambda_i\}]$, λ_i being the eigenvalues of the matrix M .

We found that the plane wave solutions are always modulationally unstable. In particular the PW solutions corresponding to the upper branch of the dispersion relation are unstable for high disturbance wavenumber q , whereas the solutions corresponding to the lower branch show the usual instability gain profile, similar to the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation [21]. Figure 2 shows two representative examples.

B. Discrete problem

We now analyze the discrete system (2), in order to understand if the transition from a continuous system to a discrete one can change the LSA scenario. For the purpose of analyzing the crossover region, we write (2) as the limit for $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the continuous systems

$$\begin{aligned} iu_z + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{w_{x^n}}{n!} + \gamma_1 |u|^2 u &= 0 \\ iw_z + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{(-1)^n u_{x^n}}{n!} + \gamma_2 |w|^2 w &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Again we calculate the plane wave solutions $u(x, z) = A \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$, $w(x, z) = B \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$ with fixed field intensity $I = |A|^2 + |B|^2$. We obtain that the PW amplitude and wavenumber must satisfy the same constraints of the continuous systems (6)-(7), with (5) replaced by

$$|\Sigma_k|^2 = (k_z - \gamma_1 |A|^2)(k_z - \gamma_2 |B|^2) \quad (13)$$

where $\Sigma_k = \sum_{n=1}^N (ik_x)^n / n!$. For the fully discrete problem ($N \rightarrow \infty$) we get $\Sigma_k = \exp[ik_x] - 1$, as expected from direct analysis of system (2).

FIG. 3: (Color online) Dispersion relation for $I = 1$ and : a) $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$, b) $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0.5$, c) $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0$, d) $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = -1$. Thick red curve, discrete system, thin blue curve, long wavelength limit.

After some algebra, we can find $|A|^2$ as the roots of the fourth order polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2 |A|^8 - I(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2) |A|^6 \\ &+ (\gamma_2 I^2 (2\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2) + 4|\Sigma_k|^2) |A|^4 \\ &- I(\gamma_2^2 I^2 + 4|\Sigma_k|^2) |A|^2 + |\Sigma_k|^2 I^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and the wavenumber k_z from Eq. (9).

Examples of the computed dispersion relations are shown in Fig. 3, superimposed with the corresponding ones for the long wavelength limit.

We now perform LSA assuming as before $u(z, x) = (A + p_1(z, x)) \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$, $w(z, x) = (B + p_2(z, x)) \exp[ik_x x + ik_z z]$. Inserting this Ansatz in (12) gives the linearized system

$$\begin{aligned} ip_{1z} + D_1 p_2 - k_z p_1 + \Sigma_k p_2 + \gamma_1 (A^2 p_1^* + 2|A|^2 p_1) &= 0 \\ ip_{2z} - D_2 p_1 - k_z p_2 + \Sigma_k^* p_1 + \gamma_2 (B^2 p_2^* + 2|B|^2 p_2) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where D_1 and D_2 are the differential operators defined in (12).

By writing the perturbations as before $p_m = \varepsilon_{s,m}(z) \exp[iqx] + \varepsilon_{a,m}(z) \exp[-iqx]$ we can write the first order system

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = Q \varepsilon \quad (16)$$

where

$$Q = i \begin{bmatrix} 2\gamma_1|A|^2 - k_z & \gamma_1 A^2 & \Sigma_k + \Sigma_q & 0 \\ -\gamma_1 A^{2*} & -(2\gamma_1|A|^2 - k_z) & 0 & -\Sigma_k^* - \Sigma_q \\ \Sigma_k^* + \Sigma_q^* & 0 & 2\gamma_2|B|^2 - k_z & \gamma_2 B^2 \\ 0 & -\Sigma_k - \Sigma_q^* & -\gamma_2 B^{2*} & -(2\gamma_2|B|^2 - k_z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $\Sigma_q = \sum_{n=1}^N (iq)^n / n!$.

Interestingly enough, we found that the plane wave solutions for the discrete system can be modulationally

FIG. 4: (Color online) Modulational instability gain maps for a) $\gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 0$ and b) $\gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 0.5$.

FIG. 5: (Color online) Modulational instability gain for $k_x = 0, \gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 0$. a) $k_z = I = 3.5$, b) $k_z = I = 4$. Dash-dotted red curves, discrete system; dashed blue curves, $N = 1$, solid black curves, $N = 8$. Vertical curves delimit the first Brillouin zone $[-\pi, \pi]$.

stable. In particular we found that the PW solutions corresponding the upper branch of the dispersion relation can be stable for high enough values of intensity I . Figure 4 shows maximum modulational instability gain as a function of intensity and wavenumber of the PW solutions for two representative examples. Observe that in both cases the (colored) region of instability is confined to a range of finite intensities.

Figure 5 a) shows the instability gain for an unstable PW of the discrete system, consisting in two bands centered around $q = \pm\pi$ (red curve). The MI gain for the long wavelength limit $N = 1$ consists of two band with $|q| > q_0$ (blue curve); by increasing N the bands of the discrete system are well approximated, but the high frequency bands do not disappear (black curves). Figure 5 b) shows that these high frequency band exist also when the discrete system does not manifest instability. The different behavior of discrete and continuous systems can be ascribed the periodicity. In fact discrete system is periodic in the wavenumber domain, and all the relevant features are contained in the first Brillouin zone $q \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

Some analytical calculations can be performed by taking $k_x = 0$. In this case $\Sigma_k = k_x = 0$, and the PW solutions of the discrete and continuous system coincide. We find from (14) that the PW solution sitting on the upper branch is given by $|A|^2 = I, B = 0$, and $k_z = \gamma_1 I$. Moreover we can calculate the eigenvalues of the matrix Q/i :

$$\lambda = \pm \sqrt{|\Sigma_q|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1^2 I^2 \pm \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 I \sqrt{\gamma_1^2 I^2 - 4|\Sigma_q|^2}} \quad (17)$$

The solution is stable if λ are real for all values of wavenumber disturbance q , so the intensity must satisfy $\gamma_1^2 I^2 > 4|\Sigma_q|^2$. In the long wavelength limit $|\Sigma_q|^2 = q^2$, so the solution is always unstable with respect to high enough wavevector disturbance. Whereas, in the discrete case $|\Sigma_q|^2 = 2 - 2\cos(q)$, entailing that PW solution with $I > 4/|\gamma_1|$ are stable.

To conclude, the main result of this section is that PW solutions are always modulationally unstable in the continuous long-wavelength limit, whereas they can become stable in the discrete case for high enough intensity. This feature is quite counterintuitive, because in the standard modulational instability scenario, the higher is the intensity, the higher is the modulational instability gain [21].

IV. DARK SOLITONS

A. Long wavelength limit

We restrict ourselves to the simple interlaced linear-nonlinear case ($\gamma_1 \neq 0, \gamma_2 = 0$) and stationary solitons ($k_x = 0$) [22]. Despite its simplicity, this case contains all the relevant physics. More complex walking solitons of the general nonlinear case ($\gamma_1 \neq 0, \gamma_2 \neq 0, k_x = 0$), that can be found by Hamiltonian methods [15], are not considered here in order to keep the treatment as simple as possible. The simple bright-dark soliton reads:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, z) &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\gamma_1}} \tanh\left(\frac{\mu x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{i\mu z} \\ w(x, z) &= -\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2\gamma_1}} \text{sech}^2\left(\frac{\mu x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{i\mu z} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This solution sits on the PW characterized by intensity $I = \mu/\gamma_1$, wavenumber $k_z = \mu$ and amplitude $|A|^2 = I, |B| = 0$. From the previous section we know that this PW is always unstable in the continuous limit, entailing the instability of the soliton. This scenario is confirmed by numerical solution of (3) with (18) as initial condition plus small noise (Fig. 6). The high wavenumber modulational instability corrupts the soliton profile after some propagation distance.

This solution, however, can in principle be stable in the discrete system. In fact we showed that an intensity threshold exists in the discrete system that permits PW solutions to be stable. With intensity $I > 4/|\gamma_1|$ solutions (18) sits on a stable background for the discrete system. However the width of the solitons scales as $1/I$, entailing that the widest stable soliton has width $\approx \sqrt{2}/\mu \approx 0.35$. In this case, the long wavelength limit breaks down, making the solutions a bad approximation for the discrete system. This case is well represented in

FIG. 6: (Color online) Propagation of a dark-bright soliton $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0$ $I = 0.5$.

FIG. 7: (Color online) Failure of the continuous model for strongly localized beams. In the figure we report the numerical integration of (2) using initial condition corresponding to a very localized dark-bright soliton solution of the continuous system ($\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0$ $I = 4$).

Fig. 7, where the solution (3) is used as initial condition for system (2). Due to the presence of high wavevector components, the initial profile is destroyed after a short propagation distance.

B. Strongly localized solitons

From the analysis above, we expect that discrete stable solitons will be strongly localized. Again we concentrate on the linear-nonlinear case $\gamma_2 = 0$ and $\gamma_1 \neq 0$, and without loss of generality we assume $\gamma_1 = \sigma = \pm 1$. In this case the PW solution is characterized by $k_z = \sigma I$, $|A|^2 = I$, $|B| = 0$. We try to find discrete solitons with the Ansatz $A_n = a_n \exp[i\lambda\sigma z]$, $B_n = b_n \exp[i\lambda\sigma z]$ where $\lambda = I > 0$. We find from (2)

$$b_n = \frac{1}{\sigma\lambda}(a_{n-1} - a_n) \quad (19)$$

$$(2 - \lambda^2)a_n - a_{n+1} - a_{n-1} + \lambda|a_n|^2 a_n = 0 \quad (20)$$

We write $a_n = \sqrt{\lambda}\hat{a}_n$ and assume $\hat{a}_n = O(1)$. Assuming a solution localized around the $n = 0$ waveguide, we consider a 3-sites solution [23]:

$$\begin{aligned} n = -1: & \quad (2 - \lambda^2)\hat{a}_{-1} - \hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_{-2} + \lambda^2\hat{a}_{-1}^2\hat{a}_{-1}^* = 0 \\ n = 0: & \quad (2 - \lambda^2)\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_{-1} + \lambda^2\hat{a}_0^2\hat{a}_0^* = 0 \\ n = 1: & \quad (2 - \lambda^2)\hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_2 - \hat{a}_0 + \lambda^2\hat{a}_1^2\hat{a}_1^* = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Assuming $\lambda \gg 1$ we make the following asymptotic

FIG. 8: (Color online) Strongly localized discrete solitons profile. $\lambda = 5$ and a) $s = 1$, b) $s = -1$. Circles, a_n , squares, b_n . Solid curves in a) shows the continuous soliton (18) sampled in the waveguides positions.

expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{-2} &= -1 + \dots \\ \hat{a}_{-1} &= -1 + \frac{c_{-1}}{\lambda^2} + \dots \\ \hat{a}_0 &= \frac{c_0}{\lambda^2} + \dots \\ \hat{a}_1 &= s + \frac{c_1}{\lambda^2} + \dots \\ \hat{a}_2 &= -s + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $s = \pm 1$ and $\hat{a}_{n < -2} = \hat{a}_{-2}$, $\hat{a}_{n > 2} = \hat{a}_2$. Inserting the asymptotic expansion into (21) we find consistency relation for the coefficients c_n

$$\begin{aligned} c_{-1} + c_{-1}^* &= 1 \\ c_0 &= 1 - s \\ c_1 + c_1^* &= -s \end{aligned}$$

For $s = 1$ we obtain the following solution (at the leading order in λ):

$$\dots, a_{-1} = -\sqrt{\lambda}, a_0 = 0, a_1 = \sqrt{\lambda}, \dots \quad (22)$$

$$\dots, b_{-1} = 0, b_0 = -\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\lambda}}, b_1 = -\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\lambda}}, \dots \quad (23)$$

whereas for $s = -1$ we get

$$\dots, a_{-1} = -\sqrt{\lambda}, a_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda^{3/2}}, a_1 = -\sqrt{\lambda}, \dots \quad (24)$$

$$\dots, b_{-1} = 0, b_0 = -\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\lambda}}, b_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\lambda}} \dots \quad (25)$$

Two representative examples are shown in Fig. 8. For $s = 1$ we can also make comparison with the sech-tanh soliton of the continuous system. While the dark component is well approximated by an hyperbolic tangent, the bright component is very different from the hyperbolic secant. The cause of the discrepancy is the fact that while the sech is peaked around $n = 0$, the discrete soliton has two equally high sites in $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. Whereas the $s = -1$ soliton has no counterpart in the continuous system.

From the result of LSA we expect that discrete solitons will be unstable for $\lambda < 4$. In fact numerical simulation reported in Fig. 9 for $\lambda = 3.5$, show a destruction

FIG. 9: (Color online) Propagation of discrete solitons for $s = 1$. a)-b): $\lambda = 3.5$; c)-d), $\lambda = 6$. Similar behavior is obtained for $s = -1$.

of the soliton profile after a short propagation distance. Whereas, for $\lambda > 4$ we expect a stable propagation for the PW background; moreover, being λ sufficiently high, we expect that the asymptotic expansion at first order will give a good estimate for the soliton profile. This is indeed the case, as shown in Fig. 9, where a stable propagation is evident, together with a very low emission of radiation, for $\lambda = 6$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We considered a model consisting of two equations coupled exclusively by nonlinear terms, that describes light propagation in nonlinearly nonuniform binary waveguide arrays. We showed the existence of bright-dark gap solitons of both the discrete system and its continuous long wavelength limit, in spite of the absence of a gap in the linear (i.e. plane wave) spectrum. We find that these solitons are always modulationally unstable in the continuous limit, whereas they can be stable in the discrete system if the amplitude of the dark component exceeds a certain threshold.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work done in part under the UniBSMIT-MechE faculty exchange Program co-sponsored by the CARIPLO Foundation, Italy under Grant no. 2008- 2290. CDA and MC acknowledge financial support from CARIPLO Foundation under grant no. 2010-0595 and US ARMY under grant no. W911NF-11-1-0285. A. A. would like to thank the U. of Brescia collaborators for their hospitality during his recent visit. A. A. acknowledges support from the US National Science Foundation under grant ECCS-1128593.

-
- [1] F. Lederer, G. I. Stegeman, D. N. Christodoulides, G. Assanto, M. Segev, and Y. Silberberg, “Discrete solitons in optics”, *Phys. Rep.* 463, 1 (2008).
 - [2] S. Longhi, “Quantum-optical analogies using photonic structures,” *Laser Phot. Rev.* 3, 243 (2009).
 - [3] S. Longhi and G. Della Valle, “Photonic realization of PT-symmetric quantum field theories,” *Phys. Rev. A* 85, 012112 (2012).
 - [4] F. Dreisow, M. Heinrich, R. Keil, A. Tunnermann, S. Nolte, S. Longhi, and A. Szameit, “Classical Simulation of Relativistic Zitterbewegung in Photonic Lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 143902 (2010).
 - [5] O. Bahat-Treidel, O. Peleg, M. Grobman, N. Shapira, and M. Segev, “Klein tunneling in deformed honeycomb lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104, 063901 (2010).
 - [6] S. Longhi, “Klein Tunnelling in binary photonic superlattices,” *Phys. Rev. B* 81, 075102 (2010).
 - [7] S. Longhi, “Photonic analog of Zitterbewegung in binary waveguide arrays,” *Phys. Rev. B* 81, 075102 (2010).
 - [8] M. Guasoni, A. Locatelli, and C. De Angelis, “Peculiar properties of photonic crystal binary waveguide array”, *Journal of the Optical Society of America B* 25, 1515 (2008).
 - [9] M. Guasoni, M. Conforti, and C. De Angelis, “Light propagation in nonuniform plasmonic subwavelength waveguide arrays”, *Opt. Comm.* 283, 1161 (2010).
 - [10] C. M. de Sterke, L. C. Botten, A. A. Asatryan, T. P. White, and R. C. McPhedran, “Modes of coupled photonic crystal waveguides”, *Opt. Lett.* 29, 1384 (2004).
 - [11] M. Conforti, M. Guasoni, and C. De Angelis, “Subwavelength diffraction management”, *Opt. Lett.* 33, 2662 (2008).
 - [12] S. H. Nam, E. Ulin-Avila, G. Bartal, and X. Zhang, “Deep subwavelength surface modes in metaldielectric metamaterials”, *Opt. Lett.* 35, 1847 (2010).
 - [13] S. H. Nam, A. J. Taylor, and A. Efimov, “Diabolical point and conical-like diffraction in periodic plasmonic nanostructures”, *Opt. Exp* 18, 10120 (2010).
 - [14] N. K. Efremidis, P. Zhang, Z. Chen, D. N. Christodoulides, C. E. Rüter, and Detlef Kip, “Wave propagation in waveguide arrays with alternating positive and negative couplings”, *Phys. Rev. A* 81, 053817 (2010).
 - [15] M. Conforti, C. De Angelis, and T.R. Akylas, “Energy localization and transport in binary waveguide arrays,” *Phys. Rev. A* 83, 043822 (2011).
 - [16] A. Marini, A. V. Gorbach, and D. V. Skryabin, “Coupled-mode approach to surface plasmon polaritons in nonlinear periodic structures”, *Opt. Lett.* 35, 3532 (2010).
 - [17] R. Grimshaw and B. A. Malomed, “Nonexistence of gap solitons,” *Phys. Lett. A* 198, 205 (1995).
 - [18] Y. S. Kivshar, “Self-induced gap solitons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70, 3055 (1993).
 - [19] N. Flytzanis and B. A. Malomed, “Gap solitons in gapless coupled chains,” *Phys. Lett. A* 227, 335 (1997).
 - [20] A. A. Sukhorukov, and Y. S. Kivshar, “Discrete gap soli-

- tons in modulated waveguide arrays", Opt. Lett. 27, 21122114 (2002).
- [21] G. P. Agrawal, *Nonlinear Fiber Optics*, 3rd. Edition, Academic Press (2001).
- [22] K. Hizanidis, Y. Kominis, N. K. Efremidis, "Interlaced linear-nonlinear optical waveguide arrays," Opt. Express 22, 18296 (2008).
- [23] A. B. Aceves, C. De Angelis, T. Pechel, R. Muschall, F. Lederer, S. Trillo, and S. Wabnitz, "Discrete self-trapping, soliton interactions, and beam steering in non-linear waveguide arrays," Phys. Rev. E 53, 1172 (1996).