

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

High-temperature properties of fermionic alkaline-earthmetal atoms in optical lattices

Kaden R. A. Hazzard, Victor Gurarie, Michael Hermele, and Ana Maria Rey Phys. Rev. A **85**, 041604 — Published 26 April 2012 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.85.041604

High temperature properties of fermionic alkaline earth atoms in optical lattices

Kaden R. A. Hazzard^{1,2,3},^{1,2,3,*} Victor Gurarie²,^{1,2,3} Michael Hermele²,^{1,2,3} and Ana Maria Rey^{1,2,31,2,3}

¹¹ JILA, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA

²² Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA

³³ NIST, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA

We calculate experimentally relevant properties of trapped fermionic alkaline earth atoms in an optical lattice, modeled by the SU(N) Hubbard model. We employ a high-temperature expansion that is accurate when the temperature is larger than the tunneling rate, similar to current regimes in ultracold atom experiments. In addition to exploring the Mott insulator-metal crossover, we calculate final temperatures achieved by the standard experimental protocol of adiabatically ramping from a non-interacting gas, as a function of initial gas temperature. Of particular experimental interest, we find that increasing N for fixed particle numbers and initial temperatures gives substantially *colder* Mott insulators after the adiabatic ramping, up to more than a factor of five for relevant parameters. This cooling happens for all N, fixing the initial entropy, or for all $N \leq 20$ (the exact value depends on dimensionality), at fixed, experimentally relevant initial temperatures.

PACS numbers: 67.85.-d,37.10.Jk,75.10.Jm,03.75.Ss

Introduction.—The recent achievement of Fermi degeneracy and Bose-Einstein condensation in ultracold alkaline earth atoms [1] opens great opportunities in quantum information processing [2], quantum simulations [3], atomic clocks experiments [4] and other precision measurements [5]. One fundamental property of fermionic alkaline earth atoms is their intrinsic SU(N = 2I + 1)symmetry in the nuclear spin (I) degrees of freedom (bosonic alkaline earth isotopes in contrast have eveneven nuclei and necessarily I = 0 [6]). Fermionic alkaline earth atoms loaded in an optical lattice, as recently realized in Ref. [7], are described by the SU(N) Hubbard model where N can be varied for a single isotope from 2 to 2I + 1 < 10 by selectively populating hyperfine levels. Cold atoms realizations of this model open up a range of exciting and exotic physics relevant to condensed matter: it is a simple limit of multiorbital models describing transition metal oxides, is important in theoretical generalizations of the Fermi-Hubbard model, and displays phenomena such as possible antiferromagnetism, superconductivity, nematic order, valence bond, and spin liquid phases [3, 8, 9]. As a first step towards reaching the low temperatures necessary to observe these states, here we study the SU(N) Hubbard model's finite temperature Mott-metal crossover. One particularly interesting finding is that increasing N while fixing the initial temperature can lead to more than a five-fold decrease, compared to N = 2, in final temperature, relative to the temperature scales of interesting physics.

We calculate density and entropy profiles of lattice alkaline earth atoms to second order in t/T, the tunneling rate over the temperature (see Eq. (1)). This calculation is accurate for $T \gg t$, regardless of the on-site interaction U. This includes the "unquenched Mott insulator (MI)" regime $t \ll T \ll U$ that has been realized in SU(2) alkali gases [10]. For the SU(2) spin-1/2 case, sophisticated, numerically intensive algorithms have yielded series to tenth order [11]. For properties in the experimental regime, $T \gtrsim t$ in three dimensions, the second order expansion agrees quantitatively ($\leq 1\%$ error) with longer series and dynamical mean field theory [12]. We also calculate final temperatures achieved by standard experimental adiabatic ramping protocols. Excitingly, our calculations show that applying the same protocols as in SU(2) experiments will generate colder, less compressible MI states as N increases, up to $N \sim 20$. An entropic argument supplementing the high temperature expansion supports that this effect persists down to temperatures on the order of the superexchange energy where interesting magnetic physics appears.

Alkaline earth atoms in deep optical lattices are welldescribed by the SU(N) Fermi-Hubbard model [3]

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \alpha} f^{\dagger}_{\alpha,i} f_{\alpha,j} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) + \sum_{i} V_i n_k(1)$$

where $f_{\alpha,j}$ is a fermionic annihilation operator destroying a particle of flavor α at site j, satisfying anticommutation relations $\{f_{\alpha,i}, f_{\alpha',j}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}\delta_{i,j}, \sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ indicates a sum over nearest neighbors, V_i is the trapping potential at site i, α and α' are flavor indices that run from 1 to N, and the total on-site density is $\hat{n}_i \equiv \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,i}^{\dagger} f_{\alpha,i}$.

Atomic limit: experimental observables and $T/U \ll 1$ and $T/U \gg 1$ limits.—First we give results in the atomic limit (t = 0), the zero'th order term in the high temperature series expansion in t/T. Throughout, we present analytic results for the free energy density \mathcal{F} , from which the other observables considered can be obtained by differentiating: the average filling and entropy per site are $\langle n \rangle = -\partial \mathcal{F}/\partial \mu$ and $s = -\partial \mathcal{F}/\partial T$.

For the homogeneous system, the grand canonical free energy per site for t = 0 is [8] $\mathcal{F}_0 = -T \log z_0$ with the on-site partition function $z_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{N} C_n^N e^{-\beta \epsilon_0(n)}$, where $\epsilon_0(n) \equiv (U/2)n(n-1) - \mu n, \ \beta \equiv 1/T, \ k_B = \hbar = 1$

^{*}Electronic address: kaden.hazzard@colorado.edu

throughout, and C_n^N is the binomial coefficient. The average filling is $n_0 = \langle n \rangle_0$ where $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_0$ of a one-site operator \mathcal{O} is defined as $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_0 \equiv \frac{1}{z_0} \sum_n \mathcal{O}(n) C_n^N e^{-\beta \epsilon_0(n)}$. The entropy is $s_0 = \log z_0 + (1/T) \langle \epsilon_0(n) \rangle_0$.

It is illuminating to consider the observables in the $T \ll U$ and $T \gg U$ limits. In the $T \gg \{U,\mu\}$ limit the on-site partition function is $z_0(T \gg U) \approx \sum_{n=0}^N C_n^N = 2^N$, the density is $n_0(T \gg U) \approx N/2$, and the entropy density is $s_0(T \gg U) \approx N \log 2$. In contrast, in the MI limit defined by $t \ll T \ll U$ and $\mu \neq Un$ for all n, one term dominates z_0 so that $z_0 \approx C_{n_0}^N e^{-\beta\epsilon_0(n_0)}$, with n_0 chosen to minimize $\epsilon_0(n_0)$, and $s_0 \approx \log(C_{n_0}^N)$. The metal boundary separating the n' and n' + 1 MI's has similarly simple expressions.

Cold atomic systems are confined in trapping potentials, which we treat with a Thomas-Fermi or local density approximation (LDA) [13]. We take the trapped system's properties at a point in space \mathbf{r} to be those of the homogenous system at a chemical potential $\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 - V(\mathbf{r})$. This is accurate when V varies slowly compared to the state's characteristic lengths, which is frequently well satisfied. For simplicity, and as an accurate description of most traps, we approximate $V(\mathbf{r}) = m\omega^2 r^2/2$ where m is the particle mass and ω is the trap frequency.

Figure 1 shows the density n and entropy s profiles, illustrating the Mott plateaux at low temperatures. It also shows the effects of tunneling calculated later. At temperatures $T/U \gtrsim 0.2$ all curves are smooth and lack visible Mott plateaux (not shown). Fig. 1's insets show the N-dependence near n = 1 and n = N/2. Although the density profiles shown are at temperatures below the regime of validity $t/T \gtrsim 1$, one finds that the theory is inaccurate only near the metal between Mott shells [12]. Working deeper in the approximation's regime of validity would lead to a smaller effect, invisible to the eye. However, the qualitative effects are the same, only smaller.

Adiabatic loading.—We theoretically study the protocol used to realize bosonic [14] and fermionic [10, 15] MI's, essential to understanding and optimizing the process. Remarkably, we find that for the relevant N, the final T substantially *decreases* with increasing N.

The procedure used to create MI's is to first create a degenerate, weakly interacting gas without a lattice. A lattice is then ramped up to its final value. Ideally, the ramp is sufficiently slow to be adiabatic. The adiabatic limit is approached in recent boson experiments [14, 16], and with (presumably much) less than ~ 50% entropy increases in SU(2) Fermi experiments [10, 15]. The limits of adiabaticity are beyond the scope of our present work.

In the adiabatic limit entropy is conserved, and given the initial state's particle number \mathcal{N} and entropy S_i one can determine the final temperature by matching particle number and entropy to the initial state. S_i is controlled and measured through the temperature. Thus, we must first determine S_i from the initial temperature T_i .

For any initial state sufficiently cold to reach a MI, the initial gas will be deeply degenerate, $T_i \ll \mu$. For large \mathcal{N} , the harmonic trap can be treated as having a continu-



FIG. 1: Observables as a function of distance to trap center r for N = 4 at T/U = 1/15, $\mu/U = 3.0$, and in three dimensions. Top: density (solid: atomic limit; dashed: t/U = 0.04). Although the value of the t/T is not deep in the regime where the high temperature expansion is valid, such a large value was chosen so the effects would be visible in the density profile. Insets: density versus μ for N = 2, 5, and 10, showing N and n-dependence. Left inset: zoom around n = 1 shell. Right inset: zoom around n = 1, 3, 5 for N = 2, 5, 10 with density and μ shifted by $n_s = 0, 2, 4$ and $\mu_s = 0, 2, 4$ so that the Mott shells nearly overlap on the plot. Bottom: entropy (solid: atomic limit; dashed: t/U = 0.016; thin horizontal: $T \ll U$ deep Mott and deep metal limits discussed in text).

ous density of states $\nu(\epsilon) = (\epsilon/\omega)^{d-1}/(\omega(d-1)!)\Theta(\epsilon)$ with $\Theta(\epsilon)$ the Heaviside step function. Using this, the total particle number and entropy of a *d*-dimensional trapped system are $\mathcal{N} = \frac{N}{d!} \left(\frac{\mu}{\omega}\right)^d$ and $S_i = \frac{T_i}{\omega} \frac{N\pi^2}{3(d-1)!} \left(\frac{\mu}{\omega}\right)^{d-1}$ to lowest order in T_i/μ . (To the same accuracy, the Fermi temperature is $T_F = \mu$.) At fixed \mathcal{N} , these imply $S_i \propto N^{1/3}$. This will be crucial, and the Supplemental Information derives and explains it.

Figure 2 shows our adiabatic loading results for a three dimensional system. Although specific values depend on microscopic parameters such as the scattering length a_s , lattice spacing a, and trap length ℓ , our qualitative findings are independent of these. We determine the Hubbard parameters using the standard deep lattice results [18] for experimental parameters consistent with Ref. [17].

Figure 2 shows the final temperature after adiabatic loading on a logarithmic scale as a function of T_i for N =2, 4, 6, using experimentally relevant parameters at fixed final lattice depth. Fig. 2 (inset) illustrates the effect of cooling on density profiles obtained by increasing N. For reference, the coldest alkaline earth gases in the weakly interacting regime (initial state) have roughly $T_i/\mu = 0.14$ for ¹⁷³Yb (N = 6) and $T_i/\mu = 0.26$ [17] for ⁸⁷Sr (N = 10) [1]. Also, we have found that for deep lattices, the final rescaled temperature T/U as a function of T_i/ω is nearly independent of lattice depth V_0 , except for an overall scale factor.

One of our most remarkable findings is the final temperature's N-dependence: increasing N produces colder MI's when initial parameters are fixed in an experimentally realistic way. In particular, this holds for fixed T_i , as occurs when the initial gas is sympathetically cooled by another species — an even more favorable situation is considered below. A corollary follows since SU(N) gases can be produced with T_i 's comparable to current alkali SU(2) lattice experiments: MI's with $T \sim t \ll U$ or even lower are well within reach for SU(N) systems.

Our findings are a direct consequence of the rapidly increasing $t \ll T \ll U$ MI entropy. Considering the n = 1state for simplicity, its entropy grows as $S_f \propto \log N$, since each of the N flavors is equally likely to occupy a site (the reduced Pauli blocking plays no role in the atomic limit). For the relevant range of $N, N \leq 20$, this logarithm grows faster than the initial state entropy, $S_i \propto N^{1/3}$, given previously. Increasing N, the MI's entropy increased more than does S_i , and therefore the resulting MI is colder as one increases N, as observed in Figs. 2. In the $t \ll T \ll U$ limit of the atomic limit theory for central unit occupation and negligible multiple occupancy, in addition to the LDA approximation used perviously, the calculation yields a simple expression for $T_f: T_f = aN^{2/3}(T_i/\omega) - b\log N$. This demonstrates the decreasing temperature T_f with increasing N for small T_i/ω . The details and values of a and b are discussed in the Supplementary Information. The situation is even more favorable if one lets n scale with N: one finds that the MI entropy is proportional to N and thus the final states get colder with increasing N for all N. A similar argument explains the reversal of this effect at high temperatures (see Supplementary information).

While we observe this dramatic cooling when fixing T_i , the actual situation may be even more favorable. Other cooling procedures may fix S_i , or equivalently T_i/μ , independent of N. An alternative way to think about this is to realize that the thermodynamic limit is taken fixing $\omega(\mathcal{N}/N)^{1/d}$. Thermodynamic functions are functions of this quantity, and thus S_i is a function of $T/[\omega(\mathcal{N}/N)^{1/d}]$, so that, fixing S_i leads to $T_i \propto 1/N^{1/d}$, which decreases with increasing N. Thus, the final temperature decreases even faster than in the fixed T_i case. Since we know how T_i scales with N at fixed S_i , Fig. 2 allows one to read off the final temperatures. For fixed S_i the MI will get colder with increasing N for all N, even for n = 1. Evaporative cooling is a candidate to fix S_i , with an added benefit that Pauli blocking becomes less important as N increases so that $S_i \propto T_i/\mu$ may actually decrease.

Returning to the adequacy of our description of the

experimental loading process, there are two main issues: non-adiabaticity and external confinement changes due to the lattice laser. Although non-adiabatic effects are difficult to calculate, if one assumes the entropy increases by a factor of F during the lattice ramp, it is simple to read off the final temperature from Fig. 2: a factor of Fincrease in entropy is equivalent to a factor of F increase in T_i , since $S_i \propto T_i$. We have treated the effects of external confinement changes (not presented) and find that, as expected from our qualitative arguments, this affects the reduction in temperature obtained from increasing Nby amounts on the order of a few percent.



FIG. 2: Adiabatic loading: final temperature T/U on a log scale as a function of initial temperature T_i/ω for N = 2, 4, 6(top to bottom at low temperatures) at lattice depth $V_0 =$ $18E_R$, in three dimensions for t/U = 0 (solid) and t/U = 0.07(dashed) calculated with the second order high temperature series. Parameters are fixed to experimental values for 173 Yb[17]: we find $U/\omega = 50, a/\ell = 0.4$, and $\mathcal{N} = 5 \times 10^4$ particles using standard optical lattice results for U [18]. The experimental initial gas temperature is $T_i/\omega = 5.2$ ($T_i/\mu = 0.14$ for N = 6). Parameters are roughly the same for other alkaline earth atom experiments. Inset: Density profiles after adiabatic loading for $T_i/\omega = 7.3$ ($T_i/\mu = 0.14, 0.17$, and 0.20 for N = 2, 4, 6, respectively).

Non-zero tunneling.—To incorporate tunneling, we perform a second order expansion in t/T, equivalent to a finite temperature t/U expansion. Analogous results were recently applied to SU(2) experiments [12], where they are quantitatively accurate ($\leq 1\%$ error) when $T \geq t$ for the metallic region (e.g. $n \sim 1.3$) and for much lower T elsewhere (e.g., $n \sim 0, 1$, and 2) [19, 20]. Present experiments are in this regime, although SU(2) experiments have begun to reach $T \leq t$. Longer series maintain agreement for $T \geq t$, but, being divergent, series of all lengths give unphysical results for $T \leq t$. Nevertheless, they may be useful to understand low temperature physics by analyzing their analytic structure [11].

We find the free energy density to $O[(t/T)^2]$ is $\mathcal{F} =$

 $\mathcal{F}_{0} - Tz\left(\frac{t}{U}\right)^{2} \langle n \rangle_{r} \left[1 - \frac{\langle nl \rangle_{r}}{N \langle n \rangle_{r}}\right] \text{ where we define}$ $\begin{cases} \langle n \rangle_{r} \\ \langle nl \rangle_{r} \end{cases} = \frac{1}{z_{0}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{U}{T}\right)^{2} \sum_{n} \left(C_{n}^{N}\right)^{2} \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ n^{2} \end{Bmatrix} e^{-2\beta\epsilon_{0}(n)} + \sum_{l=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N} \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ nl \end{Bmatrix} g_{nl} \end{Bmatrix}, \qquad (2)$

with $g_{nl} \equiv C_n^N C_l^N \left[\frac{e^{-\beta U (n-l+1)} + \beta U (n-l+1)-1}{(n-l+1)^2 e^{\beta(\epsilon_0(n)+\epsilon_0(l))}} \right]$. We note the second-order corrections can capture some nearest-neighbor spin-spin correlations, in contrast to the atomic limit.

Figure 1 shows tunneling's consequences. In the density profiles, we see that it reduces the MI's size, as expected. In the entropy profiles, tunneling slightly increases the MI entropy while significantly decreasing the metal entropy: in the MI, tunneling reduces the excitation gap, increasing the entropy, while in the metal, the increasing bandwidth lowers the low energy density of states, decreasing the entropy. We also observe (Fig. 1 insets) that although the magnitude of the tunneling corrections depends only weakly on n, the N-dependence depends more strongly on n. For n = 1, there is noticeable N-dependence of the tunneling corrections, while there is little for n = N/2.

We finally have considered the effect of tunneling on the adiabatic loading, and find that it increases the final temperature. This may be understood from Fig. 1: tunneling reduces the total entropy as a consequence of a small increase in MI entropy and a relatively larger decrease in metal entropy. The effects are small when t/Tis small, as seen in Fig. 2. We note that the corrections to LDA, important mainly in the initial weakly interacting gas, are on the order of a few percent. Therefore, these give a small corrections for a $V_0 = 10E_R$ lattice.

Magnetic physics near the superexchange temperature.—The high temperature expansion results presented above give a fairly comprehensive picture for temperatures $T \gtrsim t$, an interesting and, for the near future, the most experimentally relevant regime. However, a long term experimental goal is to reach interesting, possibly exotic, low-temperature magnetic phases. These occur at a much lower temperature scale $T \leq J \sim t^2/U$.

To study these lower temperatures, we use arguments distinct from the high-temperature expansion. We note that the SU(N) Heisenberg model associated with the density n MI for $t \ll U$ has, at high temperatures, $s = \log C_n^N$. Any entropy below this results from superexchange. Hence, the entropy at which superexchange begins to play a role is the same as the entropy in the $T \ll U$ regime found in the zero'th order high temperature expansion. Based on this simple argument we expect that the decrease of final temperatures with increasing N after lattice loading applies down to the scale where superexchange first becomes relevant. Consequently, the T_i required to reach temperatures where magnetic physics becomes relevant is roughly expected to increase with N.

Conclusions and discussion.—We studied the metal-Mott insulator crossover using a high-temperature series expansion technique, up to second order in t/T. We calculated the density and entropy in the atomic limit and quantified how tunneling reduces the size of the Mott insulating region, its flavor number (N) and filling (n)dependence, and how it increases the Mott entropy while decreasing the metal entropy.

Additionally, we studied the standard experimental protocol used to realize Mott insulators and showed that the final temperatures significantly decrease with increasing N. We present arguments suggesting this persists down to temperatures where superexchange and interesting magnetic physics manifests.

Three body losses might limit the observability of the shell structure with n > 2. Nevertheless, based on Ref. [23]'s theory we find, at least for ⁸⁷Sr, that even fillings $n \leq 5$ may live sufficiently long to explore their many-body physics.

Acknowledgements.—We thank Salvatore Manmana, Adrian Feiguin, and Achim Rosch for discussions. AMR and KH are supported by grants from the NSF (PFC and PIF- 0904017), the AFOSR, and a grant from the ARO with funding from the DARPA-OLE. MH is supported by DOE grant number de-sc0003910, and VG is supported by NSF grant number DMR-0449521 and PIF-0904017.

Rev. A 82, 011608 (2010).

- [2] D. Hayes, P. S. Julienne, and I. H. Deutsch, Phys Rev. Lett. 98, 070501 (2007). A. J. Daley, M. M. Boyd, J. Ye, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 170504 (2008). A. V. Gorshkov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 110503 (2009).
 I. Reichenbach, P. S. Julienne, and I. H. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. A 80, 020701 (2009). R. Stock, N. S. Babcock, M. G. Raizen, and B. C. Sanders, Phys. Rev. A 78, 022301 (2008). A. J. Daley, Quantum Information Processing 10, 865 (2011).
- [3] A. V. Gorshkov *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **6**, 289 (2010).

Y. Takasu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 040404 (2003).
 T. Fukuhara, S. Sugawa, Y. Takasu, and Y. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 021601 (2009).
 T. Fukuhara, S. Sugawa, and Y. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 051604 (2007).
 T. Fukuhara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 041604 (2009).
 S. Kraft *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 130401 (2009).
 S. Stellmer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 200401 (2009).
 Y. N. M. de Escobar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 200402 (2009).
 P. G. Mickelson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 051601 (2010).
 B. J. DeSalvo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 030402 (2010).
 M. K. Tey, S. Stellmer, R. Grimm, and F. Schreck, Phys.

- [4] T. Ido and H. Katori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 053001 (2003). A. D. Ludlow et al., Science 319, 1805 (2008). P. Lemonde, The European Physical Journal Special Topics 172, 16 (2009). N. D. Lemke et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 063001 (2009). T. Hong et al., Optics Lett. 30, 2644 (2005). T. Kohno et al., Applied Physics Express 2, 072501 (2009). A. Derevianko and H. Katori, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 331 (2011).
- [5] S. Kotochigova, T. Zelevinsky, and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. A 79, 012504 (2009).
- [6] K. Heyde, Basic ideas and concepts in nuclear physics (Institute of Physics Publishing, Dirac House, Temple Back, Bristol BS1 6BE,UK, 1994).
- [7] Sugawa et al., Nat. Phys. 7, 642 (2011).
- [8] M. A. Cazalilla, A. F. Ho, and M. Ueda, New Journal of Physics 11, 103033 (2009).
- [9] M. Hermele, V. Gurarie, and A. M. Rey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 135301 (2009). N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1694 (1989). T. A. Toth, A. M. Laeuchli, F. Mila, and K. Penc, 1009.1398 (2010). I. Affleck and J. B. Marston, Phys. Rev. B 37, 3774 (1988). C. Honerkamp and W. Hofstetter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 170403 (2004).
- [10] R. Joerdens *et al.*, Nature **455**, 204 (2008). U. Schneider *et al.*, Science **322**, 1520 (2008).
- [11] J. Oitmaa, C. Hamer, and W. Zheng, Series Expansion Methods for Strongly Interacting Lattice Models, reissue

ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge CB2 8Ru, UK, 2010).

- [12] R. Jördens *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 180401 (2010).
- [13] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases (Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK, 2001).
- [14] A. M. Rey, G. Pupillo, and J. V. Porto, Phys. Rev. A 73, 023608 (2006).
- [15] T. Esslinger, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 1, 129 (2010).
- [16] N. Gemelke, X. Zhang, C. Hung, and C. Chin, Nature 460, 995 (2009). W. S. Bakr *et al.*, Science science.1192368 (2010). J. F. Sherson *et al.*, Nature 467, 68 (2010).
- [17] S. Taie *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 190401 (2010).
- [18] W. Zwerger, Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics 5, S9 (2003).
- [19] S. Fuchs et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 030401 (2011).
- [20] L. De Leo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 210403 (2008).
- [21] F. F. Assaad, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 075103 (2005).
- [22] F. Werner, O. Parcollet, A. Georges, and S. R. Hassan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 056401 (2005).
- [23] B. D. Esry, C. H. Greene, and J. P. Burke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1751 (1999).