

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Guiding-center dynamics of vortex dipoles in Bose-Einstein condensates

S. Middelkamp, P. J. Torres, P. G. Kevrekidis, D. J. Frantzeskakis, R. Carretero-González, P. Schmelcher, D. V. Freilich, and D. S. Hall

Phys. Rev. A 84, 011605 — Published 20 July 2011

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.84.011605

The Vortex Spirograph: Dipole Dynamics in Bose-Einstein Condensates

S. Middelkamp,¹ P. J. Torres,² P. G. Kevrekidis,³ D. J. Frantzeskakis,⁴

R. Carretero-González,⁵ P. Schmelcher,¹ D. V. Freilich,⁶ and D. S. Hall⁶

¹Zentrum für Optische Quantentechnologien, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany ²Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain ³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA 01003-4515, USA ⁴Department of Physics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Zografos, Athens 157 84, Greece ⁵Nonlinear Dynamical System Group, Computational Science Research Center, and Department of Mathematics and Statistics, San Diego State University, San Diego, California 92182-7720, USA ⁶Department of Physics, Amherst College, Amherst, Massachusetts, 01002-5000 USA

Abstract

A quantized vortex dipole is the simplest vortex molecule, comprising two counter-circulating vortex lines in a superfluid. Although vortex dipoles are endemic in two-dimensional superfluids, the precise details of their dynamics have remained largely unexplored. We present here several striking observations of vortex dipoles in dilute-gas Bose-Einstein condensates, and develop a vortex-particle model that generates vortex line trajectories that are in good agreement with the experimental data. Interestingly, these diverse trajectories exhibit essentially identical quasi-periodic behavior, in which the vortex lines undergo stable epicyclic orbits.

Introduction. — Vortices are persistent circulating flow patterns that occur in an extraordinary variety of scientific and mathematical contexts [1, 2]. In superfluids and superconductors, quantized vortices are topological excited collective states that play central roles in transport and dissipative properties. Dilute-gas Bose-Einstein condensates [3, 4] have supplied a particularly pristine setting for the study of static and dynamic vortex configurations, ranging from solitary vortex lines to large vortex lattices [5, 6]. Our primary focus in this Rapid Communication is on the behavior of the vortex dipole — a self-assembled, counter-circulating pair of quantized vortices— which lies at the heart of phenomena associated with quantum turbulence [7] and two-dimensional (2D) degenerate Bose gases [8]. Only recently have vortex dipoles been realized experimentally in dilute-gas Bose-Einstein condensates [9–11], and no unifying theoretical perspective on their dynamical behavior has yet emerged.

Vortex dipoles in weakly-interacting superfluid systems were first considered as one of several stationary configurations of vortex clusters occurring in harmonically confined, quasi-2D geometries [12, 13]. Subsequent theoretical studies determined their energy and angular momentum [14], and two independent modes were identified under small perturbations: a zero-frequency, precessional mode [15], and an oscillatory mode about the stationary fixed points [16]. Additional stationary vortex cluster configurations, such as vortex tripoles [17] and other, more exotic arrangements [18] have also been predicted.

Experiments involving vortex clusters are of more recent vintage [10, 11, 19]. Quantized vortex dipoles and the trajectories of their individual vortex lines were first observed experimentally by translating a repulsive obstacle through a Bose-Einstein condensate [10]; these observations were accompanied by an integration of the three-dimensional (3D) Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation [3], which achieved good qualitative agreement with the experimental trajectories of the individual vortex lines. The development of a real-time vortex imaging method led to the subsequent identification of asymmetric and stationary symmetric vortex dipole configurations [11]. A recent theoretical analysis [20] of these results found good agreement with the static properties of the symmetric dipole, but met with less success in explaining the dynamics of the asymmetric dipoles when using the 3D GP model.

We present here a detailed experimental study of vortex dipole dynamics in trapped Bose condensates, and we develop a theoretical framework that discerns the fundamental patterns of vortex motion by concentrating directly on the vortices themselves. The theory considers straight line vortices parallel to the condensate's axis, consistent with our experimental observations and general expectations for the lowest-energy excitations in an oblate condensate [21]. We find that, to lowest order, the vortices in a dipole behave as classical particles, exhibiting quasi-periodic dynamics in which they execute stable, cyclic orbits about rigidly precessing guiding centers. Ultimately, we achieve an intuitive understanding of the underlying dynamics, in which the compelling agreement between theory and experimental results reveals that *all* such vortex dipoles exhibit essentially identical quasi-periodic behavior.

Experiment. — Our apparatus and experimental procedure are described elsewhere [11, 22]. We begin with an oblate ⁸⁷Rb condensate of ~ 6 × 10⁵ atoms in the $|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$ state, confined in a TOP magnetic trap [23] with effective frequencies $\{\omega_r, \omega_z\} = 2\pi \cdot \{35.8(2), 101.2(5)\}$ Hz. The Thomas-Fermi radius of the condensate is $R_{\perp} \sim 17 \mu$ m. Vortex dipoles arise spontaneously [24] but infrequently (~ 3% of the time) during the final stage of evaporative cooling. To observe the vortex dynamics we use a real-time imaging method [11] that repeatedly extracts, expands, and absorptively images small (1% or 5%) fractions of the atomic sample. A final time-of-flight image of the remaining atoms is taken at the end of the experiment.

Image sequences of the motion of the vortex lines are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. A surface fit to each image determines the coordinates of each vortex and the condensate center. Vortex coordinates that cannot be fit are approximated by direct inspection. We destructively measure the initial number of atoms in a typical condensate at the end of the evaporation cycle, and measure the final number of atoms in subsequent condensates that bear vortex dipoles. We estimate the number of atoms at intermediate times based on the fraction extracted for each image, including an extra reduction (typically 1%) between images to reflect atomic losses due to three-body recombinations [25].

The sudden reduction in the number of atoms following expansion is expected to excite a small-amplitude (a few percent) breathing mode, with only slight alteration of vortex trajectories [20]. Experimentally, we discern neither the anticipated excitation nor any disturbance of the motion of a solitary vortex line [11], further suggesting that any effect on a dipole is small. A full exploration of the imaging process and its effect on dipole dynamics awaits a theory of extraction and is therefore beyond the scope of the present work.

Theory.— We adopt an effective particle model in order to understand and theoretically follow the fundamental characteristics of the observed vortex dynamics and interactions.



FIG. 1. (color) Symmetric vortex configuration. (a) Experimental images of the atomic density (optical depth), 1% extraction. (b) Polar plot of the experimental data (triangles) and the theoretical prediction from Eq. (2) (solid line). The colored circles correspond to the calculated vortex coordinates at the same times as the experimental data. Downward (upward) triangles indicate configurations in which a surface fit to the images in (a) succeeded (failed) to distinguish the vortices. Only the inner region of the condensate is shown.

This type of modeling has been shown to be successful in describing single vortex dynamics [5, 26] and, more recently, in capturing some multi-vortex features [16, 18, 27]. Particle modeling has also proven useful in identifying equilibrium states, oscillatory modes, and far-from-equilibrium collisional dynamics of matter-wave dark solitons [28–30].

The model for a vortex pair involves only two ingredients, and is readily generalized to n vortices [18]. The first ingredient is the gyroscopic precession of a solitary vortex line induced by the inhomogeneous atomic density profile of the condensate. In the absence of dissipation, this amounts to uniform circular motion at a fixed distance r from the condensate center. For a nonrotating, axisymmetric, disk-shaped trap, the angular frequency $\Omega(r)$ of the precession is given by [5, 11]:

$$\Omega(r) = \frac{2\hbar\omega_r^2}{8\mu(1 - r^2/R_\perp^2)} \left(3 + \frac{\omega_r^2}{5\omega_z^2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{2\mu}{\hbar\omega_r}\right),\tag{1}$$

where ω_r (ω_z) is the radial (axial) trap frequency, μ is the chemical potential, and R_{\perp} is the Thomas-Fermi radius. The second ingredient is the pairwise interaction between the vortex lines. For two vortex lines (labeled by subscripts j and k) in a homogeneous condensate, each point on one vortex line moves in the direction of the fluid flow at that point due to the other vortex line [31]. It is useful to express this interaction in terms of the frequency at which two co-rotating vortices orbit one another, $\Phi(r_{12}) = \hbar/(mr_{12}^2)$, where *m* is the atomic mass and r_{12} is their separation. The linear speed of a dipole is $v = r_{12}\Phi(r_{12})$.

Each vortex in a "gas" of n quantized vortices moves in response to the sum of two velocities,

$$i\dot{z}_{k} = -S_{k}\Omega(r_{k})z_{k} + \frac{b}{2}\sum_{j\neq k}^{n}S_{j}\Phi(r_{jk})(z_{k} - z_{j}),$$
(2)

where $z_k = x_k + iy_k = r_k e^{i\theta_k}$ is the complex coordinate of the *k*th vortex, $S_k = \pm 1$ is its topological charge, and $r_{jk} = |z_k - z_j|$. The constant parameter *b* modifies the interaction strength slightly from the homogeneous case; for our experimental conditions, b = 1.35 [18]. This lowest-order model does not take into account effects such as the bending of the vortex lines within the oblate condensate or the dissipative interactions that occur between vortex lines and thermal atoms at finite temperature [32].

Analysis.— The many vortex dynamics described by Eq. (2) provide a number of experimentally accessible predictions for the observed symmetric and asymmetric vortex dipole configurations. First, an explicit prediction can be made about the stationary equilibrium positions of the constituent vortices. The velocities of the two vortices are zero for all times at a separation distance:

$$d_{\rm sep} = 2R_{\perp} \sqrt{\frac{b\Phi_R}{4\Omega_0 + b\Phi_R}},\tag{3}$$

with $\Omega_0 \equiv \Omega(0)$ and $\Phi_R \equiv \Phi(R_{\perp})$. Equation (3) yields an average equilibrium distance of $0.436R_{\perp}$, in remarkable agreement with the experimental value $0.432(17)R_{\perp}$ [11].

The frequency of the epicyclic motion observed in Fig. 1 is calculated by linearizing the two-vortex equations around d_{sep} . We obtain two pairs of frequencies, $\omega_{1,2} = 0$, and

$$\omega_{3,4} = \pm \sqrt{2}\Omega_0 \left(1 + \frac{b\Phi_R}{4\Omega_0}\right)^{3/2}.$$
(4)

The first pair illustrates the rotational invariance (around the center of the condensate) of the dipole [15], whereas the second pair corresponds to the anomalous modes of the vortex system [5], similar to those of dark solitons [28–30]. These anomalous modes are responsible here for the counter-rotating, oscillatory vortex motion about the equilibrium points [18], which can be understood intuitively as the result of competition between the precession and interaction velocities [16]. The measured oscillation frequency is $1.66(5) \overline{\Omega}_0$, where the overbar denotes the average value during the experiment. This compares reasonably with the



FIG. 2. (color) Asymmetric vortex configurations. (a–c) Experimental images of the atomic density overlaid with calculated vortex positions from the model, Eq. (2) (colored rings). The images in (c) originally appeared in Ref. [11]. (d–f) Trajectories for the data in panels (a–c), using the notation of Fig. 1. (g–i) Trajectories for the data in panels (d–f) in the indicated rotating frames. In (a–b) the extracted fraction is 1%, whereas in (c) it is 5% and the image intensity is scaled by a factor of two.

prediction of $1.52 \overline{\Omega}_0$ from Eq. (4), given the relatively large amplitude of the observed vortex motion. The initially cyclic vortex motion observed in Ref. [10] is also of this persuasion, albeit with larger amplitude.

A direct comparison between experimental trajectories and those predicted by the model

is made possible by integrating the equations of motion (as shown in Fig. 1b). It is convenient to make this comparison by rescaling the post-expansion data by the ratio R_{\perp}/R_{\perp}^* , where R_{\perp}^* is the measured condensate radius after expansion, and R_{\perp} is the theoretical in-trap Thomas-Fermi radius calculated for a condensate of N(t) atoms. The theoretical points are calculated from Eq. (2), using values for the chemical potential that are also determined from N(t), and are updated after each measurement in the subsequent time-evolution. We choose the initial positions of the modeled vortices to coincide with those of the experiment at t = 0. We also include, as a sole free parameter, a small ($\leq 1 \mu$ m) offset (i.e., uncertainty) of the condensate center ($\delta x, \delta y$) with respect to all of the measured data points. The offset is attributed to a technical effect arising from atomic micromotion in the time-averaged potential of the magnetic trap; similar shifts have been observed in condensates with single vortex lines [11]. With these parameters and initial conditions, we find good agreement between the experiment and the results of the numerical integration (Fig. 1b) for symmetric displacements of the vortices from the equilibrium points.

Next, we consider vortex trajectories arising from *asymmetric* displacements of the vortex lines. Three typical image sequences are shown in Fig. 2a–f. In Fig. 2d, cyclic motion akin to that of Fig. 1 is superposed upon a slow precession. With increasing asymmetric displacements, Fig. 2e–f, one vortex moves in loops near the center of the condensate, while the other orbits closer to the periphery. The agreement between the simulation and the experiment ranges between fair (d) and quite good (e–f), with more pronounced discrepancies when the vortices are close together and difficult to resolve.

Interestingly, all three data sets of Fig. 2 exhibit essentially identical epicyclic behavior, as is easily seen by transforming in each case to a suitable co-rotating reference frame (Fig. 2g– i). We therefore arrive at a unified and intuitive general understanding of the vortex dipole behavior: the vortex lines oscillate in phase about stable equilibrium points (or "guiding centers") that precess rigidly about the center of the condensate. Both the precession frequency and the cyclic frequency (in the rotating frame) increase with displacement from the condensate center, and the amplitude of the outer vortex orbit becomes smaller than that of the inner vortex; this last fact is due to the faster precession of the outer vortex chiefly influencing the co-rotating frame frequency. The stationary vortex dipole, as well as the oscillating dipole in Fig. 1, are special cases of this general motion in which guiding centers are stationary in the laboratory frame. Such guiding center motions can be mathematically identified in the context of the underlying model (Eq. (2)). Assuming rigidly rotating solutions $z_1(t) = r_1 \exp(i\omega t)$ and $z_2(t) = -r_2 \exp(i\omega t)$, in terms of the fixed distances of the vortices from the condensate center r_1 and r_2 (in units of R_{\perp}), we find the following expression for the precession frequency ω :

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Omega(0)(\alpha - \beta) + \gamma b_0 \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2} - \frac{r_2}{r_1} \right) \right].$$
(5)

where $b_0 = \hbar b/(mR_{\perp}^2)$, $\alpha = 1/(1-r_1^2)$, $\beta = 1/(1-r_2^2)$ and $\gamma = (r_1+r_2)^{-2}/2$. A polynomial equation can also be derived for r_1 (and r_2). A (nonlinear) analysis of such equilibria illustrates their stability, thus confirming the generic quasi-periodic nature of the vortex motion.

Conclusions \mathcal{C} Outlook.— We have shown that a simple vortex-particle model reproduces the main features of vortex dipole behavior, as is experimentally observed in oblate Bose-Einstein condensates. The theoretical prediction of the separation distance between the stationary fixed points and the frequency of small oscillations around these equilibria are in good agreement with the experimental data. We ultimately understand the generic vortex behavior and its quasi-periodic character as cyclic oscillations about a broader class of effective equilibria consisting of rigidly rotating guiding centers. Stationary or periodic motions arise as special cases within this set.

Extensions of this simple vortex-particle approach may be applied to larger ensembles of vortices, including co-rotating vortices that have not crystallized into a lattice. By improving the ability of the apparatus to image the extracted atomic samples, we can contemplate the intriguing possibility of detecting additional vortex interactions through departures from the model, such as the effects of bent vortex lines, reconnections, and other phenomena associated with quantum turbulence.

This work was supported by the NSF through grants PHY-0855475, DMS-0349023, DMS-0806762, by the M.E.C. of Spain through grant MTM2008-02502 and from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. D.S.H. acknowledges conversations with J. R. Friedman, T. K.

Langin, and E. Altuntas.

- H. J. Lugt, Vortex Flow in Nature and Technology (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1983).
- [2] L. M. Pismen, Vortices in Nonlinear Fields (Clarendon, UK, 1999).
- [3] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- [4] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford University Press, New York, 2003).
- [5] A. L. Fetter and A. A. Svidzinsky, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, R135 (2001).
- [6] A. L. Fetter, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 647 (2009).
- [7] R. P. Feynman, in *Progress in Low Temperature Physics*, edited by C. J. Gorter (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1955), vol. 1, p. 17.
- [8] Z. Hadzibabic, P. Krüger, M. Cheneau, B. Battelier, and J. Dalibard, Nature 441, 1118 (2006).
- [9] Z. Dutton, M. Budde, C. Slowe, and L. V. Hau, Science **293**, 663 (2001).
- [10] T. W. Neely, E. C. Samson, A. S. Bradley, M. J. Davis, and B. P. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 160401 (2010).
- [11] D. V. Freilich, D. M. Bianchi, A. M. Kaufman, T. K. Langin, and D. S. Hall, Science 329, 1182 (2010).
- [12] L.-C. Crasovan, G. Molina-Terriza, J. P. Torres, L. Torner, V. M. Pérez-García, and D. Mihalache, Phys. Rev. E 66, 036612 (2002).
- [13] L.-C. Crasovan, V. Vekslerchik, V. M. Pérez-García, J. P. Torres, D. Mihalache, and L. Torner, Phys. Rev. A 68, 063609 (2003).
- [14] Q. Zhou and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 043619 (2004).
- [15] V. Pietilä, M. Möttönen, T. Isoshima, J. A. M. Huhtamäki, and S. M. M. Virtanen, Phys. Rev. A 74, 023603 (2006).
- [16] W. Li, M. Haque, and S. Komineas, Phys. Rev. A 77, 053610 (2008).
- [17] M. Möttönen, S. M. M. Virtanen, T. Isoshima, and M. M. Salomaa, Phys. Rev. A 71, 033626 (2005).
- [18] S. Middelkamp, P. G. Kevrekidis, D. J. Frantzeskakis, R. Carretero-González, and

P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A 82, 013646 (2010).

- [19] J. A. Seman, E. A. L. Henn, M. Haque, R. F. Shiozaki, E. R. F. Ramos, M. Caracanhas, P. Castilho, C. Castelo Branco, P. E. S. Tavares, F. J. Poveda-Cuevas, et al., Phys. Rev. A 82, 033616 (2010).
- [20] P. Kuopanportti, J. A. M. Huhtamäki, and M. Möttönen, Phys. Rev. A 83, 011603 (2011).
- [21] A. Aftalion and R. L. Jerrard, Phys. Rev. A 66, 023611 (2002).
- [22] K. M. Mertes, J. W. Merrill, R. Carretero-González, D. J. Frantzeskakis, P. G. Kevrekidis, and D. S. Hall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 190402 (2007).
- [23] W. Petrich, M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3352 (1995).
- [24] C. N. Weiler, T. W. Neely, D. R. Scherer, A. S. Bradley, M. J. Davis, and B. P. Anderson, Nature 455, 948 (2008).
- [25] E. A. Burt, R. W. Ghrist, C. J. Myatt, M. J. Holland, E. A. Cornell, and C. E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 337 (1997).
- [26] A. A. Svidzinsky and A. L. Fetter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5919 (2000).
- [27] T. Schulte, L. Santos, A. Sanpera, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A 66, 033602 (2002).
- [28] A. Weller, J. P. Ronzheimer, C. Gross, J. Esteve, M. K. Oberthaler, D. J. Frantzeskakis, G. Theocharis, and P. G. Kevrekidis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 130401 (2008).
- [29] G. Theocharis, A. Weller, J. P. Ronzheimer, C. Gross, M. K. Oberthaler, P. G. Kevrekidis, and D. J. Frantzeskakis, Phys. Rev. A 81, 063604 (2010).
- [30] M. P. Coles, D. E. Pelinovsky, and P. G. Kevrekidis, Nonlinearity 23, 1753 (2010).
- [31] P. Nozières and D. Pines, The Theory of Quantum Liquids, vol. 2: Superfluid Bose Liquids (Perseus Books, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1999).
- [32] B. Jackson, N. P. Proukakis, C. F. Barenghi, and E. Zaremba, Phys. Rev. A 79, 053615 (2009).