rf distribution system for a set of standing-wave accelerator structures

Sami G. Tantawi*

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA

(Received 13 September 2006; published 10 November 2006)

In this paper, we study the rf feeding system for a set of standing-wave accelerator structures. To avoid the initial reflections produced by the structures, sometimes these structures are fed in pairs through a four-port 3-dB hybrid. We present an extension to this system for an arbitrary number of accelerator structures and show it is always possible to cancel the reflection back to the source. The necessary and sufficient condition for this to happen depends only on the spacing between accelerator structures. In this system, the structures are not fed in a binary hierarchical system, rather in series with a set of directional couplers designed to extract an equal amount of power to each accelerator structure in the set. We study the sensitivity of such a system to errors resulting from the differences in accelerator structure spacing. We also study the sensitivity of the system to component imperfections, such as the finite directivity of the directional couplers, and the residual reflections from the loads that are attached to these couplers. We also study the system under fault conditions, such as a breakdown in an accelerator structure or a feed waveguide.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.9.112001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.9.112001)

PACS numbers: 84.40.Az

I. INTRODUCTION

Unlike traveling-wave accelerator structures, standing-wave accelerator structures give a high-reflected signal at the beginning of the input pulse. This is usually managed by using a microwave circulator at the input port of the structure. An example of this rf system is presented in the TESLA Design Report [1]. It is also possible to feed two accelerator structures together through a 3-dB hybrid. If the distance between one of the proper ports of the 3-dB hybrid and the first accelerator structure is equal to the distance between the second accelerator structure and the second proper 3-dB hybrid port, the reflected signal is directed to the fourth port of the hybrid where it is absorbed in a load. This isolates the structures from the rf source. This technique was used for feeding SLAC's X-band standing-wave accelerator structures [2]. Here it is shown that feeding a set of standing-wave accelerator structures with a chain of directional couplers can be arranged so that there is no reflection back to the source. Also the structures are essentially decoupled from each other. The system response under fault conditions due to rf breakdown in the feeding waveguide or accelerator structures is studied, as well as the system response and the coupling between accelerator structures due to the finite directivities of the directional couplers. This type of rf distribution system is cost effective in long linacs containing many accelerator

structures, such as the ILC [3], because it eliminates circulators and simplifies the rf waveguide distribution system.

II. SYSTEM ANALYSIS

Consider the system shown in Fig. 1. In this system, a set of n standing-wave accelerator structures are fed by one waveguide running parallel to the structures. The waveguide is interrupted by a series of $n - 1$ directional couplers that extracts some of the rf power in the waveguide to feed the accelerator structures. The reflected signal from the accelerator structures is then partially fed to the loads attached to the directional couplers and depending on their relative phases some power is reflected to the source and some is lost in the loads. To the extent of the finite directivity of the directional couplers, there should be no power coupling from one accelerator structure to another due to the reflected power. Clearly the last directional coupler (i.e., the $n - 1$ coupler) has to be a 3-dB Hybrid to split the power equally between the n and the $n - 1$ accelerator structure. The directional coupler before that, the $n - 2$ coupler, has to couple one-third of the incident power to the structure and passes the remaining two-thirds of the power to the next coupler, etc. A general expression for the scattering matrix of the i th four-port directional coupler that guarantees unitarity and reciprocity is then given by

$$S_c(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-i+n}} & 0 & \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{-1+i-n}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-i+n}} & 0 & \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{-1+i-n}} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{-1+i-n}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-i+n}} \\ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{-1+i-n}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-i+n}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

*Electronic address: tantawi@slac.stanford.edu

FIG. 1. (Color) A chain of directional couplers feeding a set of standing-wave accelerator structures.

The port numbers are shown in Fig. 2. To guarantee acceleration for a speed of light particle, the rf phase difference, ϕ , between two successive accelerator structures depends on the spacing L between the structures and is given by

$$\phi = -\frac{L\omega}{c} + 2j\pi, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2)$$

where ω is the operating angular frequency and c is the speed of light in free space; also throughout the paper we will use the standard notation of $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ for the sets of integer, real, and complex numbers, respectively. To adjust this phase both the spacing between directional couplers and the length of the arm between the directional coupler

and the accelerator structure are used. Note the phase angle for the space between the i th coupler and $(i + 1)$ th coupler by $\theta(i)$.

In order to satisfy Eq. (2), the phase angle $\psi(i)$ produced by the arm connecting the i th directional coupler to the i th accelerator structure has to have the following form:

$$\psi(i) = (i - 1)\phi - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \theta(k). \quad (3)$$

Given the phase lengths at the fourth and second ports of the i th directional coupler, one can define a new scattering matrix for the directional couplers, see Fig. 2. The ports of this scattering matrix are defined as follows.

FIG. 2. (Color) The i th directional coupler representation.

Port 1 is the input of the coupler.

Port 2 is located at the input of the i th accelerator structure.

Port 3 is connected to a matched load.

Port 4 is located at the input of the $(i^{th} + 1)$ coupler.

This scattering matrix is given by

$$S(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{e^{i\psi(i)}}{\sqrt{1-i+n}} & 0 & e^{i\theta(i)}\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{-1+i-n}} \\ \frac{e^{i\psi(i)}}{\sqrt{1-i+n}} & 0 & e^{i\psi(i)}\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{-1+i-n}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\psi(i)}\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{-1+i-n}} & 0 & -\left(\frac{e^{i\theta(i)}}{\sqrt{1-i+n}}\right) \\ e^{i\theta(i)}\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{-1+i-n}} & 0 & -\left(\frac{e^{i\theta(i)}}{\sqrt{1-i+n}}\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Assuming all standing-wave accelerator structures are identical, one can calculate the reflected power to the source. During the beginning of the charging phase (i.e., at a time $t \ll t_f$, where t_f is filling time of the accelerator structure), most of the power is being reflected from the accelerator structure. One can then consider the worst case scenario, where all the power is being reflected simultaneously from all accelerator structures. The input vector to the i th scattering matrix is then given by

$$V^+(i) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \theta(k))} \sqrt{\frac{1-i+n}{n}} \\ \frac{e^{i(-1+i)\phi}}{\sqrt{n}} \\ 0 \\ V_4^+(i) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The first component of that vector represents the input power to the coupler after the signal has passed through the previous $i - 1$ couplers. The second component represents the reflected signal from the i th structure. The third components represent the reflection from the load attached to the coupler and we assumed a matched load. The fourth component $V_4^+(i)$ represents the reflected signal from all the remaining accelerator structures after being scattered through the remaining directional couplers. The scattered fields from the i th directional coupler are given by

$$V^-(i) = S(i)V^+(i). \quad (6)$$

The scattered field from port 1 of the i th directional coupler is the input to port 4 of the $i - 1$ coupler,

$$V_4^+(i-1) = V_1^-(i). \quad (7)$$

For the last directional coupler in the chain, the $n - 1$ coupler, V_4^+ , is simply the reflection from the last, the n th, accelerator structure and is given by

$$V_4^+(n-1) = e^{2i(n-1)\phi} e^{-i\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta(k)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (8)$$

Using Eqs. (4)–(8), one can calculate the reflected signal to the source. By induction, a general expression for that coefficient can be calculated and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^-(1) &= \frac{e^{i(n-1)\phi}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \cos[(-2i+n+1)\phi] \\ &= \frac{\sin(n\phi)}{n \sin(\phi)} e^{i(n-1)\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) is the fundamental result of this work. It shows that the reflection to the source depends only on the spacing between accelerator structures (i.e., the angle ϕ). Also, it shows that it is always possible to choose this spacing to cancel the reflection completely to the sources; this happens at $\phi = i\pi/n$, $i \neq jn$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using Eq. (2), this spacing is then given by

$$L(i) = j\lambda - \frac{i\lambda}{2n}, \quad L \neq k\lambda/2, \quad i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) is plotted in Fig. 3 for the case of eight accelerator structures, ($n = 8$). Several comments on this graph are in order. The envelop of the reflection coefficient has a minimum at $L = i\lambda/2 + \lambda/4$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. One can show that this minimum is equal to $1/n$. If one chooses the separation between accelerator structure near this mini-

FIG. 3. System response as seen from port 1 of the first directional coupler. The system contains eight accelerator structures and seven directional couplers.

imum, the resulting system would not be very sensitive to the tolerance on this separation (i.e., one need not hit exactly the separation that nulls the reflection coefficient). This gives the system designer more freedom to choose the distance between accelerator structures.

Some of the reflected power from the structures are absorbed by the loads that are attached to the directional couplers. For n structures having a ϕ phase advance between each two consecutive structures, an explicit expression for the power dissipated in j th load is

$$P(j, \phi, n) = \frac{\sin^2[(j-n)\phi] \csc^2(\phi)}{n(n-j)(n-j+1)} - \frac{\csc(\phi) \sin\{[1-2(j-n)]\phi\}}{n(n-j+1)} + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (11)$$

For the spacing between structures that makes the reflection coefficient identically zero, the reflected power from the accelerator structures is distributed among the loads. In this case, for a system with n structures separated by $L(i)$, Eq. (11) reduces to

$$P(j, i, n) = \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{i(n-j)\pi}{n}\right) / \sin\left(\frac{i\pi}{n}\right) - (n-j) \sin\left(\frac{i(2(n-j)+1)\pi}{n}\right)}{(n-j)(n-j+1)n \sin\left(\frac{i\pi}{n}\right)} + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (12)$$

Note that $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P(j, i, n) = 1$. Because $P(j, i, n)$ is an even function of i , there is only $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ possible distributions.

FIG. 4. (Color) Power distribution over the loads for a system satisfying Eq. (10). The number of directional couplers and loads is seven and the number of accelerator structures is eight.

Figure 4 shows a plot of the power distributions among the loads for an eight-structure system for different structure separation $L(i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

III. SYSTEM RESPONSE UNDER FAULT CONDITIONS

A. Residual reflection due to structure failure

Now consider what happens if one of the structures fails. The following calculates two cases. First, if the structure or its fundamental mode coupler starts to arc, and instead of reflecting the power the power gets absorbed by the arc. It is assumed, for simplicity, the extreme case of the power being absorbed completely by the i th structure. Second, consider the case of a structures or coupler failure long after all the structures are filled with energy and essentially do not reflect any power back to the source. Also, for simplicity, consider the extreme case of unity reflection coefficient from the i th structure, while the rest of the structures are essentially matched.

For the first case, the residual reflection coefficient is

$$R_{\text{res}} = V_1^-(1) = \frac{e^{i(n-1)\phi} \csc(\phi) \sin(n\phi) - e^{2i(i-1)\phi}}{n}. \quad (13)$$

If ϕ satisfies the spacing conditions given by Eq. (10), then $|R_{\text{res}}| = 1/n$. In the second case, where only one of the accelerator structures is reflecting the incident power, the residual reflection is also $|R_{\text{res}}| = 1/n$. This is obvious since the magnitude of the coupling between the input port and any output port is $1/\sqrt{n}$.

B. Residual reflection and coupling between structures due to component errors

Consider the residual reflection and coupling between structures due to the finite directivity of the directional couplers and mismatches at the loads attached to them. The construction of most directional coupler is such that the device has a set of reflection symmetries. Hence, at some reference planes that are chosen to reveal these symmetries, the scattering matrix of an imperfect directional coupler should take the following form [4]:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \zeta \\ \beta & \alpha & \zeta & \gamma \\ \gamma & \zeta & \alpha & \beta \\ \zeta & \gamma & \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Imposing unitarity to this form and shifting the reference planes of ports 3 and 4 by $-\pi/2$, one can write an expression for the scattering matrix of an imperfect directional coupler that is compatible with Eq. (1):

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \beta & \gamma & \zeta \\ \beta & -\alpha & \zeta & \gamma \\ \gamma & \zeta & \alpha & -\beta \\ \zeta & \gamma & -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

$$\alpha = \delta_i \sqrt{\frac{\delta_i^2 + i - n}{(i - n - 1)(\delta_i^2 + 1)}}, \quad (16)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n - i + 1}}, \quad (17)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{\delta_i}{\sqrt{n - i + 1}}, \quad (18)$$

$$\zeta = \sqrt{\frac{i^2 + (\delta_i^2 - 2n - 1)i + n^2 - n\delta_i^2 - \delta_i^2 + n}{\delta_i^2 + 1}}, \quad (19)$$

where the directivity of the coupler $d_i = -20 \log(|\delta_i|)$, $\delta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\delta_i| \ll 1$.

Now, we introduce a load at the third arm of the above four-port network. The load has a residual reflection coefficient ϵ_i , $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\epsilon_i| \ll 1$. The resultant three-port network has a scattering matrix which, of course, is not unitary. We expand this matrix in orders of δ_i and ϵ_i and keep only first order terms. Then the three-port network is represented by

$$S_3(i) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}}\delta_i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i+n+1}} & \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i+n+1}} & \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}}(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}}\epsilon_i - \delta_i) & \frac{\delta_i - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}}\epsilon_i}{\sqrt{-i+n+1}} \\ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}} & \frac{\delta_i - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}}\epsilon_i}{\sqrt{-i+n+1}} & \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{i-n-1}}\delta_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{-i+n+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

With reference to Fig. 2, we move reference planes of ports 2 and 3 with an angle $\psi(i)$, $\theta(i)$, respectively. This is similar to the transition from Eq. (1) to Eq. (4). Having an expression for the three-port scattering matrix for each coupler i allows us to cascade all of them together [5] to get the total $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ scattering matrix S of the system. We now list the results of this procedure that is correct only to first order in δ_i and ϵ_i .

As expected the coupling between the first port, port 1, and the remaining n ports is given by

$$S_{1i} = \frac{e^{i(i-2)\phi}}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n+1. \quad (21)$$

The intrinsic reflection coefficient of the system is now finite and is given by

$$S_{11} = - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e^{2i \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \theta(k)} \frac{\sqrt{(n-j)(n-j+1)}}{n} \delta_j. \quad (22)$$

The coupling between feeding ports is given by $S_{ij} = S_{ji}$ that represent the coupling between the port that feed accelerator $j-1$ and accelerator $i-1$ and is given by

$$S_{ji} = e^{i(i+j-4)\phi} \left[e^{-2i \sum_{l=1}^{j-2} \theta(l)} \left(\frac{\delta_{j-1}}{\sqrt{(-j+n+1)(-j+n+2)}} - \frac{\epsilon_{j-1}}{-j+n+2} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} e^{-2i \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \theta(l)} \left(\frac{\delta_k + \epsilon_k}{\sqrt{(n-k)(-k+n+1)}} \right) \right], \quad 2 \leq j \leq n, i > j. \quad (23)$$

The accelerator structure feeds are also mismatched and have a residual reflection coefficient and is given by

$$S_{ii} = e^{2i(i-2)\phi} \left[e^{-2i \sum_{l=1}^{i-2} \theta(l)} \left(\frac{(n-i)}{-i+n+1} \epsilon_{i-1} - \sqrt{\frac{n-i}{-i+n+1}} \delta_{i-1} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} e^{-2i \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \theta(l)} [(n-i)^{|i-j-2|} \epsilon_j (n-i+1)^{j-i} + (n-i)^{|i-j-(3/2)|} \delta_j (n-i+1)^{[j-i+(1/2)]}] \right], \quad 2 \leq i \leq n. \quad (24)$$

Finally, the reflection seen by the n th accelerator structure is given by

$$S_{(n+1)(n+1)} = e^{2i(n-1)\phi} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e^{-2i \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \theta(l)} \left(\frac{\delta_j}{\sqrt{(n-j)(n-j+1)}} + \frac{\epsilon_j}{(n-j)(n-j+1)} \right). \quad (25)$$

Equations (21)–(25) present all matrix elements for the scattering matrix representing the distribution system.

Assuming that all the directivities of the couplers are equal, $\delta_j = \delta$, the residual reflection coefficient, Eq. (22), can have an upper limit when all the phases in the series are the same [i.e., $\theta(k) - \theta(1) = i\pi, i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$]. This upper limit is $\approx n\delta/2$. Up to this point, there is no reason to specify the choice of $\theta(k)$. It is obvious that one can minimize or at least reduce the residual reflection by scrambling the phases of the summation in Eq. (22).

The same of course can be said about the coupling between accelerator structures; the phases in the summation term can be used to minimize the coupling. However, in this case one can also *tune* each coupler individually by adjusting the load reflection coefficient, ϵ_k with respect to δ_k . This is accomplished experimentally by perturbing the waveguide connecting the load to the coupler. Finally, the reflection coefficient seen by each structure benefits from this tuning procedure as well, but it can be taken into account when tuning the coupling of each individual accelerator structure.

IV. CONCLUSION

The rf feeding system for a set of standing-wave accelerator structures was presented. The system comprises a chain of directional coupler connected in series. Each coupler extracts an equal amount of power, and hence, their coupling is tapered along the chain. We also presented a set of expressions that enables system designers to estimate system parameters and errors. The fundamental results of this work is summarized in the following few points.

1. The reflected signal to the source depends only on the spacing between accelerator structure.
2. By appropriate choice of the spacing between accelerator structures, the reflection to the source from the system could be eliminated, see Eq. (10). Hence, we eliminate the need for using circulators in such a system.

3. The system has the advantage of low sensitivity to the system parameters as the number of couplers in the chain is increased. The reflection to the source is least sensitive near spacing $L = i\lambda/2 + \lambda/4, i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

4. At fault conditions the reflected power to the source is $\sim 1/n^2$.

5. However, the value of an increased number of feeds starts to diminish at around 8 to 10 feeds. At the same time, errors due to components imperfection start to increase. These errors are minimized by tuning individual couplers with respect to the attached loads and also by adjusting the spacing between couplers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Ronald Ruth of SLAC, Dr. Dieter Proch, Dr. Stefan Choroba, Dr. Valery Katalev, Dr. Wolf-Dietrich Möller, and Dr. Jacek Sekutowicz of DESY for very useful discussions. This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

-
- [1] *TESLA Technical Design Report*, edited by R. Brinkman, K. Flottman, J. Roßbach, P. Schmusser, N. Walker, and H. Weise (DESY, Hamburg, Germany, 2001); TESLA Technical Design Report, http://tesla.desy.de/new_pages/TDR_CD/start.html.
 - [2] V.A. Dolgashev *et al.*, Proceedings of the Particle Accelerator Conference (PAC 03) (2003), pp. 1264–1266.
 - [3] *First ILC Workshop—Towards an International Design of a Linear Collider* (KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, 2004), <http://lcdev.kek.jp/ILCWS/>.
 - [4] C.G. Montgomery, R.H. Dicke, and E.M. Purcell, *Principles of Microwave Circuits*, MIT Radiation Laboratory Series, Vol. 8 (Boston Technical Lithographers, Inc., Massachusetts, 1963), 1st ed., Sec. 12.17, p. 437.
 - [5] J. Neilson, P. Latham, M. Caplan, and W. Lawson, IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech. **37**, 1165 (1989), see also Ref. [3] cited therein.