Long-lived circulating currents in strongly correlated nanorings
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We study the time-evolving currents flowing in an interacting ring-shaped nanostructure after a bias voltage has been switched on. The source-to-drain current exhibits the expected relaxation towards its quasistatic equilibrium value at a rate $\Gamma_0$ reflecting the lead-induced broadening of the ring states. In contrast, the current circulating within the ring decays with a different rate $\Gamma$, which is a rapidly decaying function of the interaction strength and thus can take values orders of magnitude below $\Gamma_0$. This implies the existence of a regime in which the nanostructure is far from equilibrium even though the transmitted current is already stationary. We discuss experimental setups to observe the long-lived ring transients.
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Introduction. Isolated quantum systems, such as small molecules, feature a discrete set of energy levels. When brought into contact with two electrodes, a nanojunction can form and a current begins to flow. At weak coupling, the associated level broadening $\Gamma_0$ is still small as compared to the typical energy spacing $\Delta E$ of the isolated system. One might perhaps suspect that these energies by themselves set the only relevant timescales. However, in fact a prominent exception arises when the nanostructure is far from equilibrium even though the transmitted current is already stationary. We discuss experimental setups to observe the long-lived ring transients.

Phenomenologically, $\Delta E$ and $\Gamma_0$ are likely to be of the same order of magnitude if the repulsive interaction $U$ becomes strong. The computational finding is complemented with perturbative arguments that explain this effect and clarify the relevant physical processes. In essence, the strong suppression of $\Gamma$ originates in a large energy gap between the two low-lying states and the rest of the spectrum on the nanoring (see Fig. 3), with ring current connecting the low-lying states. Possible experimental signatures of the effect proposed here are discussed. We note that in contrast to previously discussed [9–11] persistent ring currents driven by magnetic fields, the oscillating ring current we observe is a pure nonequilibrium effect.

Nanostructure. The model associated with Fig. 1 is represented by the Hamiltonian $H = H_r + H_l + H_c$ describing the ring, the leads, and their mutual coupling, respectively. The ring Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_r = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (d_i^\dagger d_j + d_j^\dagger d_i) + U \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (n_i n_j - \frac{n_i + n_j}{2}) + \varepsilon_d n_2,$$

with operators $d_i^\dagger$ and $d_i$ creating and annihilating spinless fermions at site $j$ and $n_j = d_j^\dagger d_j$ defining the corresponding
density. The first term describes hopping of the fermions between nearest neighbors, while the second represents the repulsive nearest-neighbor interaction. The last term is an external potential at the top site which breaks the symmetry between the upper and lower paths through the ring. The lead Hamiltonian reads

\[ H_L = -J \sum_{\alpha=L,R} \sum_{n=0} (c_{\alpha,n+1}^\dagger c_{\alpha,n} + c_{\alpha,n}^\dagger c_{\alpha,n+1}), \]

(2)

where \( c_{\alpha,n}^\dagger \) and \( c_{\alpha,n} \) create and annihilate a spinless fermion at site \( n \) in the lead \( \alpha = L,R \). For simplicity, we assume the hopping parameter \( J \) in the ring and lead to be equal. Finally, the coupling between both subsystems is facilitated by

\[ H_{LR} = -J_L (d_0^\dagger c_{0,0} + c_0^\dagger d_0 + d_0^\dagger c_{0,0} + c_0^\dagger d_0^\dagger), \]

(3)

coupling the outer sites on the ring to the leads.

In the following we analyze the nonequilibrium currents in the nanostructure by three different methods: (i) TDDMRG simulations, (ii) a reduced density-operator transport theory (RDTT) \([12,13]\), and (iii) mapping to an effective two-state nanostructure \([14]\).

**TDDMRG simulations.** First, we study the time evolution after a voltage quench using the TDDMRG algorithm \([15–21]\). Specifically, we use the time evolution scheme outlined in Refs. \([8,21,22]\) performing the evaluation of the time evolution via matrix exponentials within the framework of Krylov spaces. At times \( t < 0 \) the system is prepared in the ground state of the model with an additional charge excess induced by a stationary gating with \( V/2(\sum_{n} n_{L,n} - \sum_{n} n_{R,n}) \).

At \( t = 0 \) the gate is switched off, so the electrodes begin to discharge and currents start to flow through the system. We simulate the time evolution with finite leads which are long enough to be able to study the transient regime all the way into the quasistationary nonequilibrium limit. Finite-size effects will interfere only at times exceeding the recurrence time \( t_{\text{rec}} = L/2v_F \), at which the electrons reach the boundary of the leads. (For details of the quenching protocol see Ref. \([21]\).) Here \( L \) denotes the total number of sites, i.e., the length of the leads is given by \( (L-4)/2 \approx L/2 \), and \( v_F = 2J \) is the Fermi velocity of the lead electrons.

During the time evolution we determine the expectation values of the local currents \( I_i \propto \text{Im}(c_{i}^\dagger c_{i-1}) \) and \( I_i \propto \text{Im}(d_{i}^\dagger d_{i-1}) \) flowing in the leads and the impurity, respectively, where \( l \) and \( k \) are neighboring sites. The local current densities after quenching are displayed in Fig. 2. The transport (transmitted) current \( I_t \) initially fluctuates in response to the quench for times \( \Gamma_0 t \lesssim 3 \), where we use \( \Gamma_0 = 2\pi\rho_0 J_c^2 \) with the density of states in the leads \( \rho_0 = 1/2\pi J \) as our time unit. After this transient, the transmitted current appears to have reached a largely time-independent steady state in line with predictions from nonequilibrium Green’s function formalism \([23,24]\).

In contrast, for the local currents in the ring \( I_r \) we observe a drastically different behavior. Although some transient features decay quickly, the ring currents oscillate with a distinct frequency \( \omega \) for long times. In fact, for sufficiently strong Coulomb repulsions \( U \) we do not observe a significant reduction of the oscillation amplitude within the observation times accessible to our simulations. Qualitatively similar results were obtained for a ring structure with eight sites \([22]\).

The frequency of the oscillations can be understood based on the spectrum \([22]\) of the uncoupled ring \( H_r \) shown in Fig. 3. We find that the frequency \( \omega \) extracted from the TDDMRG simulations matches the energy gap between the first excited state \( E_1 \) and the ground state energy \( E_0 \). The ground state \([2, g] \) is a CDW state with \( N = 2 \) particles; for \( U > J \) the first excited state \([2, e] \) is also a CDW state with two particles. The corresponding particle densities are shown for \( U = 2J \). The observed oscillation frequencies of the ring currents match the energy difference \( \epsilon(U) \) between these two states. The higher excited states are obtained by adding or removing particles, with \( \Delta(U) \) denoting the corresponding energies.
The inset shows the dependence of the amplitude of the ring current on the coupling $\Gamma_0$ to the leads.

Two lowest-lying states on the ring. These two states can be identified as charge-density wave (CDW) states with $N=2$ particles on the ring, one being the ground state $|2, g\rangle$ and the other the first excited state $|2, e\rangle$. Thus we confirm that the ring current originates from the mixing of these two states by the time evolution, which is driven by the coupling of the ring to the leads as exemplified by the proportionality of the ring current to the coupling $\Gamma_0$ shown in the inset of Fig. 4.

The decay rate $\Gamma$ of the ring currents is rapidly decreasing with the interaction strength $U$ (see Fig. 4), exhibiting a wide regime with $\Gamma \ll \Gamma_0$. To understand the origin of this regime, we proceed with the RDTT analysis.

**RDTT analysis.** The RDTT [12,13] method aims at determining the time evolution of the reduced density matrix of the nanostructure $\rho_{ns}(t) = \text{tr}_L\rho(t)$, where the trace is taken over the lead degrees of freedom in the density matrix $\rho(t)$ of the full system. The time evolution of $\rho_{ns}(t)$ can be cast in the form $\dot{\rho}_{ns}(t) = -iL_{ns}\rho_{ns}(t)$, with the effective Liouvillian $L_{ns}$ governing the relaxation of the nanostructure. Since the ring current originates from the mixing of the two CDW states $|2, g\rangle$ and $|2, e\rangle$, its decay is related to the decay of the off-diagonal elements $\rho_{ge}$ and $\rho_{eg}$ of $\rho_{ns}$. We have determined the corresponding decay rate from the Liouvillian $L_{ns}$ calculated [22] to first order in the bare coupling rate $\Gamma_0$, with the perturbative regime set by $\Gamma_0 \ll T$ with the temperature $T$.

The obtained results for the decay rate $\Gamma$ of the ring current are shown in Fig. 5(a). The results are qualitatively similar to the ones obtained via TDDMRG shown in Fig. 4 in the sense that the rate is strongly suppressed at large $U$. The quantitative differences between the RDTT and TDDMRG results reflect the fact that both methods operate in different parameter regimes.

Furthermore, the RDTT allows us to identify [22] the relaxation processes contributing to the decay rate, which are visualized in Fig. 5(b). The dominant processes are shown in sketches (1) and (2), which involve the tunneling of a particle off or onto the ring, while the subleading processes are shown in sketches (3) and (4). All processes are constrained by energetics: Sketches (1) and (2) only contribute in the regions (i) and (ii) in Fig. 5(a), (3) contributes only in regions (i) and (iii), and (4) is relevant in regions (i)–(iv). We stress that in region (v) no relaxation processes to order $\Gamma_0$ exist. Thus, at sufficiently large interaction strengths $U$, the rate $\Gamma$ essentially drops to zero (to order $\Gamma_0^3$), explaining the very slow decay of the ring current.

**Schrieffer-Wolff transformation.** Finally, we focus on the regime of strong interactions $U/\max(\epsilon_T, J) \to \infty$, where we can derive the analytic dependence $\Gamma \sim U^{-6}$ consistent with
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**Fig. 5.** (a) Decay rate $\Gamma$ obtained from RDTT for the temperature $T = 10\Gamma_0$. The inset shows that in the $U-V$ parameter space we identify five distinct regions labeled (i)–(v), in which $\Gamma$ takes very different values. The dashed lines indicate cuts shown in the main figure. (b) Relaxation processes contributing to the rate $\Gamma$, which result in the distinct regions (i)–(v). Red and green circles represent initial and final configurations, respectively, $\Delta = \Delta(U)$ denotes the energy required to add or remove a particle (see Fig. 3), and $\epsilon = \epsilon_T$ is the energy gap between the two CDW states.
our computational results (Fig. 4). As can be seen from the spectrum of the bare ring (Fig. 3), in this limit the two CDW states $|2, g\rangle$ and $|2, e\rangle$ will be well separated by an energy splitting $\Delta(U) \sim U$ from the higher excited states. It is thus instructive to construct an effective two-level system containing only these states, where the couplings to the higher excited states are treated using a Schrieffer-Wolff transformation [14] to fourth order in the couplings $J$ and $J_r$. Going to this order in the expansion is necessary since all off-diagonal matrix elements exactly cancel in second order due to the mirror symmetry of the isolated ring structure [22].

The resulting two-level system can be written in the form of an electronic Kondo model, with the localized spin identified with the CDW states as $|\downarrow\rangle = |2, g\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle = |2, e\rangle$ and the corresponding spin operator denoted by $\hat{S}$. An effective reservoir electronic degree of freedom can be formed via $c_{\text{res}, \uparrow} = c_L \pm c_R / \sqrt{2}$ from the leads (2) of the original model; the effective spin operator formed from the first sites ($n = 0$) is denoted by $\hat{S}_{\text{res}}$. With this notation the effective model reads [22]

$$H_{SW} = \sum_{k, \sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{\text{res}, \sigma}^\dagger c_{\text{res}, \sigma} + h S^z + \tilde{h} S^z_{\text{res}} + J_{\perp} (S^x_{\text{res}} S^x + S^y_{\text{res}} S^y) + J_c S^z S^z_{\text{res}},$$

where the first term is the energy of the electronic reservoir, the second and third are effective magnetic fields $h \approx \varepsilon_T$ and $\tilde{h} = O(U^{-4}) \ll h$ acting on the two-level system and spin of the electron reservoir, and the fourth and fifth terms represent a Kondo coupling between the two, with the coupling being strongly anisotropic with $J_\perp \approx 10 J^2 / U^3$ and $J_c = O(U^{-5})$, and thus $|J_{\perp}| \ll |J_c| \ll J_c, J$.

Due to the formation of the effective reservoir electron spin from the leads L,R, the bias voltage $V$ enters the effective Kondo model in the form of a transverse field in the reservoir, i.e., as $V/2 \sum_{k, \sigma} c_{\text{res}, \sigma}^\dagger c_{\text{res}, \sigma} r^+ \cdot r \cdot c_{\text{res}, \sigma}$, with $r^+$ the $x$ component of the Pauli matrices. Finally, the ring current corresponds to oscillations between the two CDW states and thus is related to the localized spin via $I_T \sim S^z$. Performing a suitable spin rotation in the electronic reservoir, we calculated [22] the corresponding relaxation rate using standard perturbation theory in the Kondo system [12,25,26], with the result

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi \rho^2 U^2}{16} (|\varepsilon_T + V| + |\varepsilon_T - V| + 2|\varepsilon_T|) + \frac{\pi J^2}{8} V.$$  

We stress that in the considered regime of strong interactions this rate is vanishingly small, $\Gamma \sim \rho^2 U^2 \sim \rho^2 J^2 V / U^6$, in accordance with our finding of long-lived oscillations in the ring current. In particular, the predicted behavior $\Gamma \sim U^{-6}$ is consistent with our TDDMRG simulations shown in Fig. 4. We note that the result (5) is applicable deep in region (v) of Fig. 5(a), where we found that processes of order $\Gamma_0$ vanish. Furthermore, the effective model (4) will show the Kondo effect; however, the relevant energy scale $T_K$ will be much smaller than the energy scales we consider here, in particular $T_K \ll \varepsilon_T$. Thus the equilibrium Kondo effect is not observable in our setup.

Finally, we note that nanostructures with two energetically well separated low-lying states can generically be approximated by an effective Kondo model using a Schrieffer-Wolff transformation. In the absence of the above-mentioned mirror symmetry, the exchange couplings will be of the order $J_\perp, J_c \sim J^3 / U \ll J_c$, resulting in a relaxation rate $\Gamma \sim \rho^3 U^3 V \sim U^{-2} \ll \Gamma_0$. Thus ring currents that couple to these low-lying states are still expected to decay very slowly.

**Experimental verification.** We see a possible experimental realization of the ring-shaped model system (Fig. 1) in molecules such as porphins or phthalocyanines. Single-molecule conductance measurements have indeed been performed on these systems [27–29], so the possibility for bias ramping has also been demonstrated already. As an observable indicating the slow decay of the ring currents, we propose to measure the photons that are emitted when these currents decay via coupling to the radiation field. In this context we note that single-molecule electroluminescence measurements have been performed [30,31] already and thus are indeed experimentally feasible. An alternative realization of our ring-shaped model may be provided by quantum dot arrays [32], which in particular offer a high level of control of the couplings and allow one to enter the regime of strong interactions essential for the long-lived ring currents.

**Conclusion.** We have studied the relaxation of transport processes in an interacting ring-shaped nanostructure. Owing to a mirror symmetry of the Hamiltonian, the system supports oscillating ring currents long after the transmitted current has died out, with the ratio $\Gamma/\Gamma_0$ of the respective relaxation rates being strongly suppressed by the interactions. Our work provides a striking example for an untypical situation in thermodynamic relaxation processes: Two observable currents approach their equilibrium values on timescales that are parametrically separated with rates differing by orders of magnitude. In addition, our system provides insight into the field of quantum devices as we have shown that internal oscillations can be longer lived than observed in currents through the system. While we have focused on a ring-shaped nanostructure, the appearance of the suppressed relaxation rate $\Gamma$ is generally expected in systems that can be effectively described by a two-level model with the ring current connecting the low-lying states.
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