152, 850 (1966).

⁴E. E. Haller and L. M. Falicov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1192 (1978).

⁵E. J. Pakulis and C. D. Jeffries, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 26, 223 (1981).

⁶E. Weber and H. Alexander, J. Phys. (Paris), Colloq. 40, C6-101 (1979).

⁷T. Wosinski, T. Figielski, and A. Makosa, Phys. Status Solidi (a) 37, K57 (1976).

⁸For a detailed analysis of a high- Q microwave resonant sample, see A. Okaya and L. F. Barash, Proc. IRE 50, 2081 (1962). Our apparatus was designed for high- Q TiO_2 resonant samples; its first use with high- Q resonant germanium samples was by Haller and Falicov (Ref. 4) and E. E. Haller, W. L. Hansen, and

F. S. Goulding, Adv. Phys. 30, 93 (1981), who found large signal enhancements.

⁹See, e.g., H. Alexander and P. Haasen, in *Solid State Physics: Advances in Research and Applications*, edited by Frederick Seitz, David Turnbull, and Henry Ehrenreich (Academic, New York, 1968), Vol. 22, p. 27.

¹⁰J. Hornstra, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 5, 129 (1958).

¹¹P. Pincus, Solid State Commun. 9, 1971 (1971).

¹²I. S. Jacobs *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 14, 3036 (1976).

¹³V. A. Grazhulis, V. V. Kveder, and Yu. A. Osip'yan, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 21, 708 (1975) [JETP Lett. 21, 335 (1975)].

¹⁴Haller, Hansen, and Goulding, Ref. 8.

¹⁵W. Szkielko, Phys. Status Solidi (b) 90, K81 (1978).

ERRATA

NONSTATIC SPIN-ISOSPIN ORDER IN LIGHT NUCLEI. N. Lo Iudice and F. Palumbo [Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 1054 (1981)].

We have used Weisskopf units for the $B(M2)$ in Table I without distinction between absorption and decay. The conversion factor $1 \text{ W.u.} = 15.2 \mu_N^2 \text{ fm}^2$ should therefore be used. It would have been more appropriate to use Weisskopf units for absorption, which are five times larger.

MEASUREMENT OF THE ANGULAR DISTRIBUTION OF TENSOR POLARIZATION IN PION-DEUTERON ELASTIC SCATTERING. R. J. Holt, J. R. Specht, K. Stephenson, B. Zeidman, J. S. Frank, M. J. Leitch, J. D. Moses, E. J. Stephenson, and R. M. Laszewski [Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 472 (1981)].

Square-root signs were inadvertently omitted from the expression for t_{20} in the laboratory frame. The correct expression is

$$t_{20}^{\text{lab}} = \frac{1}{2} t_{20}^{\text{c.m.}} (3 \cos^2 \alpha - 1) + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} t_{21}^{\text{c.m.}} \sin 2\alpha + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} t_{22}^{\text{c.m.}} \sin^2 \alpha.$$

This correction does not affect any of the results presented.