**Erratum: Comment on “Free-Electron–Bound-Electron Resonant Interaction”
[Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 019501 (2021)]**F. Javier García de Abajo

(Received 20 January 2021; published 12 February 2021)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.069902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.069902)

The last paragraph of the Comment contains information that is misleading in the context of the commented paper: while the former shows that the excitation probability is independent of the wave function for individual electrons (i.e., no resonant effects are found from a quantum mechanical treatment of the electron even if it is PINEM-modulated), the extension to N electrons discussed in the noted paragraph assumes that they are prepared with the same wave function profile, but random arrival times. For N PINEM-modulated electrons, this condition is not met because their wave functions contain a temporal modulation factor that is the same in all of them (as imposed by the modulating laser), leading to an excitation probability scaling as N^2 (see Ref. [7] in the Comment for a first-principles derivation). In conclusion, while the classical treatment presented in the commented paper for an individual electron leads to different results from the quantum description offered in the Comment, an extension of the latter to N PINEM-modulated electrons produces an N^2 scaling of the excitation probability, in agreement with the prediction in the commented paper based on a description of the electrons as classical charge distributions.