Two-dimensional state in driven magnetohydrodynamic turbulence

Barbara Bigot^{1,2} and Sébastien Galtier^{3,4}¹*Space Science Center, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire 03824, USA*²*Center for Integrated Computation and Analysis of Reconnection and Turbulence*³*Université Paris-Sud, Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, bât. 121, F-91405 Orsay, France*⁴*Institut universitaire de France*

(Received 5 July 2010; published 17 February 2011)

The dynamics of the two-dimensional (2D) state in driven three-dimensional (3D) incompressible magnetohydrodynamic turbulence is investigated through high-resolution direct numerical simulations and in the presence of an external magnetic field at various intensities. For such a flow the 2D state (or slow mode) and the 3D modes correspond, respectively, to spectral fluctuations in the plane $k_{\parallel} = 0$ and in the area $k_{\parallel} > 0$. It is shown that if initially the 2D state is set to zero it becomes nonnegligible in few turnover times, particularly when the external magnetic field is strong. The maintenance of a large-scale driving leads to a break for the energy spectra of 3D modes; when the driving is stopped, the previous break is removed and a decay phase emerges with Alfvénic fluctuations. For a strong external magnetic field the energy at large perpendicular scales lies mainly in the 2D state, and in all situations a pinning effect is observed at small scales.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.83.026405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.026405)

PACS number(s): 95.30.Qd, 47.27.Jv, 47.65.-d, 52.30.Cv

I. INTRODUCTION

A variety of astrophysical plasmas are well described by magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence in the compressible or even simply in the incompressible case [1–3]. The solar wind is often cited as an example since many *in situ* data are available that have shown a medium characterized by turbulent fluctuations over a large band of frequency f from a fraction of mHz to kHz. Whereas the turbulence regime at $f > 1$ Hz requires the introduction of non-MHD processes such as dispersion [4,5], the low-frequency part at $f < 1$ Hz is the source of many MHD activities [6–32].

The first description proposed by Iroshnikov and Kraichnan (IK) [33,34] is a heuristic model for incompressible MHD turbulence à la Kolmogorov where the large-scale magnetic field is supposed to act on small-scales as a uniform magnetic field, leading to counterpropagating Alfvén wave packets whose interactions with turbulent motions produce a slowdown of the nonlinear energy cascade. The typical transfer time through the scales is then estimated as τ_{nl}^2/τ_A (instead of τ_{nl} for Navier-Stokes turbulence), where $\tau_{nl} \sim \ell/u_{\ell}$ is the eddy turnover time at characteristic length scale ℓ and u_{ℓ} is the associated velocity. The Alfvén time is the time of collision between counterpropagating wave packets and is estimated as $\tau_A \sim \ell/B_0$ where B_0 represents the large-scale magnetic field normalized to a velocity $\mathbf{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_0\sqrt{\mu_0\rho_0}$. (This renormalization will be used in the rest of the paper.) The phenomenology based on the modified transfer time gives an energy spectrum in $k^{-3/2}$ instead of $k^{-5/3}$ like for neutral flows. The weakness of the IK phenomenology is the apparent contradiction between the presence of Alfvén waves and the absence of an external uniform magnetic field: The external field is supposed to be played by the large-scale magnetic field, but its main effect—i.e., anisotropy—is not included in the description.

Two fundamental evolutions in MHD turbulence have been made during the last two decades, and both are concerned with anisotropy. The first one is the conjecture that the refined

times $\tau_{nl} \sim \ell_{\perp}/u_{\ell_{\perp}}$ and $\tau_A \sim \ell_{\parallel}/B_0$ (where $\perp$ and $\parallel$ are, respectively, the perpendicular and parallel directions to the mean magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$) are balanced at all scales [8]. It leads to the heuristic $k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$ energy spectrum as well as the relationships $k_{\parallel} \sim k_{\perp}^{2/3}$. Whereas the first relation is a trivial consequence of the conjecture (and often wrongly interpreted as the main result of the conjecture) the second prediction reveals a non-trivial character of MHD turbulence. The second fundamental evolution is the possibility of handling the effects of a strong B_0 on the MHD dynamics through a rigorous mathematical treatment of weak turbulence, which leads asymptotically to a set of integro-differential equations. The exact solution for weak turbulence at zero cross-helicity is a $k_{\perp}^{-2}$ energy spectrum [14]. Note that the form of the energy spectrum in the regime of strong turbulence is still the subject of discussions [16,19], although the relationship $k_{\parallel} \sim k_{\perp}^{2/3}$ seems to be often verified, whereas the weak turbulence prediction has been obtained recently by two independent sets of direct numerical simulations [24,25].

The transition from strong to weak turbulence has been the subject of a previous paper where the regime of decaying turbulence has been investigated [24]. In the present paper the focus is given to the regime of driven incompressible MHD turbulence under the influence of a uniform magnetic field at various intensity and in the balance case. A set of high-resolution direct numerical simulations in the three-dimensional case are reported. Particular attention is turned to the dynamics of the 2D state (also called slow mode), which corresponds by definition to the fluctuations in the plane $k_{\parallel} = 0$. In particular, we demonstrate the fundamental role of the 2D state at large B_0 .

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II the numerical setup is given as well as the definition of the 3D modes versus the 2D state. In Sec. III the temporal characteristics of the different flows are investigated, and the study of the energy spectra is given in Sec. IV. Finally, a summary and a conclusion are given in the last section.

II. NUMERICAL SETUP

A. MHD equations

The incompressible MHD equations in the presence of a uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$ read

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} - B_0 \partial_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla P_* + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}, \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{b} - B_0 \partial_{\parallel} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \eta \Delta \mathbf{b}, \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity, $\mathbf{b}$ the magnetic field (in velocity unit), P_* the total (magnetic plus kinetic) pressure, ν the viscosity, and η the magnetic diffusivity. The introduction of the Elsässer fields $\mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \mathbf{u} \pm \mathbf{b}$ for the fluctuations is also useful; it gives (assuming a unit magnetic Prandtl number, i.e., $\nu = \eta$)

$$\partial_t \mathbf{z}^{\pm} \mp B_0 \partial_{\parallel} \mathbf{z}^{\pm} + \mathbf{z}^{\mp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = -\nabla P_* + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{z}^{\pm}, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = 0. \quad (6)$$

The third term on the left-hand side of Eq. (5) represents the nonlinear interactions between the $\mathbf{z}^{\pm}$ fields, while the second term represents the linear Alfvénic wave propagation along the $\mathbf{B}_0$ field, which defines the z direction. Note that both descriptions (1)–(4) and (5)–(6) will be used throughout the paper.

B. Initial conditions

We numerically integrate the 3D incompressible MHD equations (1)–(4) in a 2π -periodic box, using a massively parallel pseudospectral code including dealiasing and with a spatial resolution of $512 \times 512 \times 512$ gridpoints. The time marching uses an Adams-Bashforth/Cranck-Nicholson scheme that is a second-order finite-difference scheme in time [35]. A unit magnetic Prandtl number is chosen, i.e., $\nu = \eta$, for all runs. In the following we present the different set of simulations.

1. Runs Ia to IIIa

The first set of numerical simulations is characterized by an external force that fixes the bidimensional Elsässer spectra as

$$E^{\pm}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel}) = F(k_{\parallel}) k_{\perp}^3, \quad (7)$$

where only the two first perpendicular and parallel wavenumbers are energized with $F(1) = F(2) = 1/2$. The 2D state, $E^{\pm}(k_{\perp}, 0)$, is never forced, which means that initially it has no energy and may evolve freely at time $t > 0$.

The kinetic

$$E^v = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{u}^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \quad (8)$$

and magnetic

$$E^b = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b}^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \quad (9)$$

energies are initially equal such that $E^v = E^b = 1/2$. Note that $\langle \cdot \rangle$ means a space averaging.

The reduced cross-helicity between the velocity and magnetic field fluctuations that is measured by

$$\rho \equiv \frac{2 \langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}^2(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle} \quad (10)$$

is initially set to zero. The initial (large-scale) kinetic and magnetic Reynolds numbers are about 1775 for the flows with $\nu = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ (see Table I), with $u_{\text{rms}} = b_{\text{rms}} = 1$.

The perpendicular integral length is

$$L_{\perp} = 2\pi \frac{\int (E^v(k_{\perp})/k_{\perp}) dk_{\perp}}{\int E^v(k_{\perp}) dk_{\perp}} \sim 3.5, \quad (11)$$

whereas the parallel integral length is

$$L_{\parallel} = 2\pi \frac{\int (E^v(k_{\parallel})/k_{\parallel}) dk_{\parallel}}{\int E^v(k_{\parallel}) dk_{\parallel}} \sim 5. \quad (12)$$

A parametric study is performed according to the intensity of B_0 . Three different values are used, namely, $B_0 = 1, 5$ and 15 . All these simulations are driven up to time t^* for which a state of fully developed turbulence is reached. Then the driving is stopped, and the flows evolve freely. These simulations correspond, respectively, to runs Ia to IIIa (see Table I).

2. Run IIIb

The second type of simulation is characterized by an external force on the bidimensional Elsässer spectra such that

$$E^{\pm}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel}) = F(k_{\parallel}) k_{\perp}^2, \quad (13)$$

where F is the same as in (7). The kinetic energy is initially larger than the magnetic energy with $E^v \simeq 2 \times E^b = 0.67$, and only the case $B_0 = 15$ is considered.

All information presented in this section is summarized in Table I. Additionally, we give the computational parameters when the stationary phase is reached for which a balance is obtained between forcing and dissipation.

C. 3D modes and 2D state

In the presence of an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$, it is convenient to describe the flow dynamics in terms of Alfvén waves that propagate along $\mathbf{B}_0$ at frequency $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0 = k_{\parallel} B_0$. In such a description, we may define the 3D modes, namely, the spectral fluctuations at $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$, for which the Alfvén frequency is nonzero. The complementary part, namely, the spectral fluctuations at $k_{\parallel} = 0$, defines the 2D state (or slow mode). Note that the 2D state can still be associated with waves since a local mean magnetic field $\mathbf{b}_0$ may be defined in the $k_{\parallel} = 0$ plane along which local Alfvén waves propagate with frequency $\omega_{2D}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{b}_0$.

To obtain the fields associated with the 3D modes and the 2D state a simple decomposition is performed from the Fourier space. For the 3D modes, the Elsässer, velocity, and magnetic fields are defined as $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} > 0)$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} > 0)$, and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} > 0)$, respectively. For the 2D state, the velocity and magnetic fields are defined as $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} = 0)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} = 0)$. From these quantities, we define the Elsässer fields of the 2D state as

$$\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} = 0) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} = 0) \pm \hat{\mathbf{b}}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel} = 0). \quad (14)$$

TABLE I. Computational parameters are given for runs Ia to IIIa for which initially $E^v = E^b$ and for run IIIb for which initially $E^v > E^b$ (see text). Spatial resolution, viscosity ν ($\nu = \eta$), and magnetic field intensity B_0 are given, followed by the initial values of the integral length scales [perpendicular $L_\perp = 2\pi \int (E^v(k_\perp)/k_\perp) dk_\perp / \int E^v(k_\perp) dk_\perp$ and parallel $L_\parallel = 2\pi \int (E^v(k_\parallel)/k_\parallel) dk_\parallel / \int E^v(k_\parallel) dk_\parallel$], the r.m.s. velocity $u_{\text{rms}} = \langle \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, the r.m.s. magnetic field $b_{\text{rms}} = \langle \mathbf{b}^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, the reduced cross-helicity ρ , the kinetic Reynolds number $\mathcal{R}_v = u_{\text{rms}} L_\perp / \nu$ (which is equal to the magnetic Reynolds number), the eddy turnover time $\tau_{nl} = L_\perp / u_{\text{rms}}$, and the Alfvén time $\tau_A = L_\parallel / B_0$. All these quantities are also computed in the stationary phase for which turbulence is fully developed. Note that the value of τ_A for run Ia in the stationary phase is overestimated since $b_{\text{rms}} > B_0$. We finally give the time t^* from which the driving is stopped and t_M , the final time of the simulation.

		ν	B_0	Initial conditions								Stationary phase									
				$L_\perp$	$L_\parallel$	u_{rms}	b_{rms}	ρ	$\mathcal{R}_v$	τ_{nl}	τ_A	$L_\perp$	$L_\parallel$	u_{rms}	b_{rms}	ρ	$\mathcal{R}_v$	τ_{nl}	τ_A	t^*	t_M
Ia	512^3	2.10^{-3}	1	3.45	4.95	1	1	0	1775	3.45	4.96	2.1	3.8	1.8	2.3	0.05–0.12	1890	1.17	3.8	7	11
IIa	512^3	2.10^{-3}	5	3.45	4.95	1	1	0	1775	3.45	0.99	2.4	5	1.9	2	0.2–0.3	2280	1.26	1	9	12
IIIa	512^3	2.10^{-3}	15	3.45	4.95	1	1	0	1775	3.45	0.33	2.4	5.7	1.7	2.1	0.3	2040	1.41	0.38	6	9
IIIb	512^3	2.10^{-3}	15	3.49	4.92	1.16	0.80	0	2024	3	0.33	2.6	5.6	1.8	2.1	0.1	2340	1.44	0.37	5.1	6

In the rest of the paper, the quantities associated with the 3D modes and 2D state will be noted with “ w ” and “2D” indices, respectively. Note that a different notation was used in Ref. [24], where shear- and pseudo-Alfvén waves were defined from a toroidal or poloidal decomposition.

III. TEMPORAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we study the temporal behavior of several global quantities to characterize the MHD flow dynamics and the influence of the B_0 intensity. In all the following figures, time evolutions are shown for simulations Ia to IIIa and IIIb (see Table I).

We first consider the evolutions of the total energy

$$E(t) = E^+(t) + E^-(t), \quad (15)$$

where

$$E^\pm(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_\parallel} \int_{k_\perp} \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm 2}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) dk_\perp dk_\parallel, \quad (16)$$

the energy of the 3D modes

$$E_w(t) = E_w^+(t) + E_w^-(t), \quad (17)$$

where

$$E_w^\pm(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_\parallel > 0} \int_{k_\perp} \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm 2}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) dk_\perp dk_\parallel, \quad (18)$$

and the energy of the 2D state

$$E_{2D}(t) = E(t) - E_w(t). \quad (19)$$

The temporal evolution of these energies are displayed in Fig. 1. For all simulations, we distinguish three parts in the evolution of the total energy $E(t)$. The first part is characterized by an increase of the total energy that corresponds to a nonstationary phase where the balance between the external force and the dissipation is not reached. When it is reached a plateau is observed: It is the second phase. Finally, a third phase appears when the external force is suppressed at time t^* (see Table I). Then a decrease of the total energy is found during this decay phase. Note that the second phase is reached later when the mean magnetic field is stronger; it is compatible with a simple heuristic analysis in terms of timescales, which

shows that a transfer time in τ_{nl}^2/τ_A is indeed larger at larger B_0 .

The main difference observed between these three simulations comes from the evolution of the energies $E_w(t)$ and $E_{2D}(t)$. We note that initially there is no energy in the 2D state, but as we can see, shortly after the beginning of the simulations the 2D state is excited. For the case $B_0 = 1$, the energy increases mainly in the 3D modes, whereas the energy of the 2D state reaches in the stationary phase approximately 16% of the total energy. A different behavior is found for the case $B_0 = 15$ where a strong increase of the energy of the 2D state is measured that reaches in the stationary phase around 2/3 of the total energy. In this case the energy of the 2D state is significantly larger than the one of the 3D modes, which displays only a weak variation during the driving phase. The case $B_0 = 5$ is an intermediate case where the energy of the 2D state stabilizes approximately at 1/3 of the total energy.

FIG. 1. Temporal evolution of $E(t)$ (solid), $E_{2D}(t)$ (dash), and $E_w(t)$ (dot) for $B_0 = 1$ (a), 5 (b), and 15 (c) (runs Ia to IIIa). Note that the vertical lines correspond to t^* .

FIG. 2. Temporal evolution of $E(k_{\parallel}, t)$ for $B_0 = 1$ (dot), 5 (dash), and 15 (solid) (runs Ia to IIIa) at $k_{\parallel} = 0$ (a), 1 (b), 2 (c), and 4 (d). Note the use of semilogarithmic coordinates for $k_{\parallel} = 4$ (bottom right).

It is important to note that during the first phase the increase of b_{rms} leads to a decrease of the ratio B_0/b_{rms} to around 0.4, 2.5, and 7.1 for runs Ia, IIa, and IIIa, respectively, with the characteristic timescale relations $\tau_A \sim \tau_{nl}$ for runs I–IIa and $\tau_A \ll \tau_{nl}$ for runs IIIa (see Table I). This evolution has an impact on the nonlinear dynamics as we can see in run IIa: The energy of the 3D modes has a weak variation until time $t \sim 1.5$, for which we have $B_0/b_{\text{rms}} \sim 3.3$. After this period of time a stronger increase of the energy of the 3D modes is noted until a stationary phase is reached. The value $B_0/b_{\text{rms}} \sim 3.3$ appears to be a threshold beyond which the energy in the 3D modes is roughly conserved. This analysis is confirmed by run IIIa, where the energy of the 3D modes does not change significantly during the period of driving for which we always have $B_0/b_{\text{rms}} > 3.3$.

Figure 2 shows the time variation of the total energy, namely,

$$E(k_{\parallel}, t) = E^+(k_{\parallel}, t) + E^-(k_{\parallel}, t), \quad (20)$$

with

$$E^{\pm}(k_{\parallel}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_{\perp}} \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm 2}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel}) dk_{\perp}, \quad (21)$$

where $k_{\parallel}$ is fixed to 0, 1, 2, and 4. We first note that the energy in plane $k_{\parallel} = 1, 2$ does not change very much at $B_0 = 15$, whereas it does change for other values of B_0 . This behavior is surprising since the external force acts in particular on planes $k_{\parallel} = 1$ and 2. This situation contrasts with the energy in the $k_{\parallel} = 0$ plane where the total energy exhibits a strong increase for $B_0 = 15$. For the plane $k_{\parallel} = 4$ we note that the energy reaches only a small value when $B_0 = 15$. This observation demonstrates that the 2D state pumps efficiently the energy injected into the system at small parallel wavenumbers when a strong external magnetic field is applied. The second interesting observation in Fig. 2 is the oscillations found in the plane $k_{\parallel} = 4$ (and also for higher values of $k_{\parallel}$; not shown) at the very beginning of runs IIa and IIIa. During this period of time, which extends approximately up to $t = 1.5$ for $B_0 = 15$ and $t = 1$ for $B_0 = 5$, the ratio B_0/b_{rms} is larger than 10 and 4 for, respectively, $B_0 = 15$

and 5. These oscillations are apparently correlated to the intensity of the mean magnetic field with a higher frequency at stronger B_0/b_{rms} . The last remark concerns the dynamics at time $t > t^*$. For all simulations and all energies we note generally a decrease in time—sometimes sharp like in $k_{\parallel} = 1, 2$ planes for $B_0 = 1$ —which corresponds to a decay phase. Note that for $B_0 = 15$ the decay is characterized initially by small oscillations that are in phase opposition between the energies in plane $k_{\parallel} = 0$ and 1. This feature illustrates the energy exchange between the two first $k_{\parallel}$ planes.

Figure 3 presents the Alfvén ratios between the kinetic and magnetic energies for the 3D modes

$$r_w^A(t) = \frac{E_w^v(t)}{E_w^b(t)}, \quad (22)$$

FIG. 3. Temporal evolution of Alfvén ratios $r^A(t)$ (solid), $r_{2D}^A(t)$ (dash), and $r_w^A(t)$ (dot) for $B_0 = 1$ (a), 5 (b), and 15 (c) (runs Ia to IIIa).

the 2D state

$$r_{2D}^A(t) = \frac{E_{2D}^v(t)}{E_{2D}^b(t)}, \quad (23)$$

and the total flow

$$r^A(t) = \frac{E^v(t)}{E^b(t)}, \quad (24)$$

where the definitions (16), (18), and (19) have been directly applied to the velocity and magnetic fields. The Alfvén ratio allows us to measure the prevalence of Alfvén wave fluctuations: For example, in the wave turbulence regime one can demonstrate at the level of the kinematics an equipartition between the kinetic and magnetic energies [14]. Therefore, a departure from unity suggests the presence of non-Alfvénic fluctuations [24]. In simulations IIa and IIIa, the 3D modes are in equipartition with $r_w^A \sim 1$. However, we may note a difference between $t < t^*$ and $t > t^*$: A lack of oscillations is found during the driving phase, whereas significant oscillations happen during the decay phase that are stronger for $B_0 = 15$. The 2D state evolves quite differently with an Alfvén ratio r_{2D}^A significantly smaller than the unity for runs Ia to IIIa, which means that the 2D state is magnetically dominated. We also note that r_{2D}^A seems not to be strongly affected by the value of the mean field B_0 with first an increase (from the initial value at 0.4) and then a slight decrease. The behavior of the Alfvén ratio for the 2D state is therefore quite similar to what was found in the pure decay regime [24]. The time variation of r^A is smooth with initially only a slight decrease after which the value stabilizes around 0.8 for $B_0 = 5$ and 15. When the driving is suppressed a slight decrease with small oscillations is found as expected since it is the addition of the effects of r_w^A and r_{2D}^A . For run Ia where $B_0 = 1$ a different behavior is observed since all Alfvén ratios are less than unity and no Alfvénic fluctuations are detected. This behavior is partly explained by the increase of b_{rms} , which becomes larger than B_0 and prevents any dynamical effect of the mean magnetic field on the flow.

Figure 4 shows the reduced cross-helicity $\rho(t)$ between the velocity and magnetic fields, which was already defined by relation (10). In addition, we may define the reduced cross-helicity for the 3D modes and the 2D state as, respectively,

$$\rho_w(t) = \frac{E_w^+(t) - E_w^-(t)}{E_w^+(t) + E_w^-(t)} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\rho_{2D}(t) = \frac{E_{2D}^+(t) - E_{2D}^-(t)}{E_{2D}^+(t) + E_{2D}^-(t)}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$E_{2D}^\pm(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_\perp} \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm 2} (k_\perp, k_\parallel = 0) dk_\perp. \quad (27)$$

We remind that the cross-helicity is a measure of the relative amount of Alfvén wave packets that propagate in opposite directions along the uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$. It is generally found that MHD turbulence evolves toward a state of maximal cross-helicity with an alignment or an antialignment of $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ according to the sign of the cross-helicity [7]. This definition applies to (25), which is a refined definition of

FIG. 4. Temporal evolution of $\rho(t)$ (solid), $\rho_{2D}(t)$ (dash), and $\rho_w(t)$ (dot) for $B_0 = 1$ (a), 5 (b), and 15 (c) (runs Ia to IIIa).

the cross-helicity where the nonpropagating part is discarded. With the definition (26) the situation is different. If we assume isotropy in the $k_\parallel = 0$ plane, then ρ_{2D} can be seen as a local measure of the cross-helicity since we can always define a local mean magnetic field $\mathbf{b}_0$ along which 2D Alfvén fluctuations propagate. In Fig. 4 we see that the reduced cross-helicity of the 3D modes is initially null and slightly evolves during the driving phase for $B_0 = 1$; for $B_0 = 5$ only small oscillations are detected, whereas for $B_0 = 15$ it is almost constant. One has to wait for the decay phase to see a significant modification of ρ_w .

The situation is different for the reduced cross-helicity of the 2D state, which experiences a variation whatever the intensity of the mean field B_0 is. Note that this variation is moderate since it never exceeds in absolute value 0.35. Note also that our initial condition leads to a negative value for ρ_{2D} since we impose a condition only on the global cross-helicity, i.e., $\rho(t = 0) = 0$ (ρ_{2D} cannot be defined initially since the 2D state has no energy). In other words, we generate initially more z_{2D}^- than z_{2D}^+ fluctuations. For $B_0 = 5$ and 15 the times at which the stationary phase is reached are $t \sim 4$ and 5, respectively; at these times, ρ_{2D} indicates around 30% more z_{2D}^- than z_{2D}^+ . Clearly, we see that the evolution of $\rho(t)$ is mainly affected by the evolution of $\rho_{2D}(t)$. Therefore, it seems to be important in this problem to make the distinction between the cross-helicity of the 3D modes and the 2D state to evaluate whether we have the domination of one type of Alfvén wave packet propagating along the external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$. Another interesting behavior is the variation of ρ_{2D} during the decay phase: We observe a weaker variation for $B_0 = 5$ and 15, with in the latter case an almost constant reduced cross-helicity.

IV. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we study the spectral behavior of driven MHD turbulence. We start from the bidimensional Elsässer

FIG. 5. Energy spectra $E^+(k_\perp)$ (solid), $E_{2D}^+(k_\perp)$ (dash), and $E_w^+(k_\perp)$ (dot) for $B_0 = 1$ (top), $B_0 = 5$ (middle), and $B_0 = 15$ (bottom), which correspond to runs Ia, IIa, and IIIa, respectively. Spectra are displayed at times $t < t^*$ (left) and $t > t^*$ (right), which correspond to $t = 5$ and 7.2 for $B_0 = 1$, $t = 5.1$ and 9.2 for $B_0 = 5$, and $t = 5.8$ and 6.2 for $B_0 = 15$.

energy spectra, $E^\pm(k_\perp, k_\parallel)$, and define, respectively, the corresponding unidimensional spectra for the 3D modes

$$E_w^\pm(k_\perp) = \int_{k_\parallel > 0} E^\pm(k_\perp, k_\parallel) dk_\parallel \quad (28)$$

and the 2D state

$$E_{2D}^\pm(k_\perp) = E^\pm(k_\perp, k_\parallel = 0). \quad (29)$$

Then the addition of both defines the usual unidimensional Elsässer energy spectrum

$$E^\pm(k_\perp) = \int_{k_\parallel \geq 0} E^\pm(k_\perp, k_\parallel) dk_\parallel. \quad (30)$$

The comparison will be made between all runs, i.e., runs Ia to IIIa and run IIIb.

FIG. 6. (Color) Energy spectra $E^+(k_\perp, k_\parallel)$ at fixed $k_\parallel$ and for $B_0 = 1$ (top), $B_0 = 5$ (middle), and $B_0 = 15$ (bottom), which correspond to runs Ia, IIa, and IIIa, respectively. Spectra are displayed at times $t < t^*$ (left) and $t > t^*$ (right), which are the same as in Fig. 5. The values chosen for $k_\parallel$ are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 15. For comparison we also plot the spectra of the 2D state (green) for which $k_\parallel = 0$ and of the 3D modes.

FIG. 7. Energy spectra $E^{\pm}(k_{\perp})$ compensated by $k_{\perp}^2$ (solid), $k_{\perp}^{3/2}$ (dash) and $k_{\perp}^{5/3}$ (dot) at times $t < t^*$ (left), $t > t^*$ (right), for runs IIa and IIIa. Note that the times are the same as in Fig. 5.

A. Runs Ia to IIIa

Figure 5 displays energy spectra for the Elsässer field $E^+(k_{\perp})$, the 3D modes $E_w^+(k_{\perp})$, and the 2D state $E_{2D}^+(k_{\perp})$, at different B_0 intensity and with the same initial condition (runs Ia to IIIa). The comparison is made between two times, $t < t^*$ and $t > t^*$, where t^* is the time from which the external force is suppressed. Shortly after the beginning of the simulations we observe that the energy spectrum $E_{2D}^+(k_{\perp})$ loads up. For $B_0 = 1$ this spectrum remains for all times about one order of magnitude smaller than $E_w^+(k_{\perp})$. The situation is different for stronger magnetic intensity such as $B_0 = 15$. In this case, we see that $E_{2D}^+(k_{\perp})$ may dominate $E_w^+(k_{\perp})$ at small perpendicular wavenumbers, i.e., $k_{\perp} < 10$. Then the spectrum $E^+(k_{\perp})$ is formed at large scales mainly by the 2D state and at small scales by the 3D modes. Run IIa is an intermediate case where the 2D state becomes dominant in a very narrow range of perpendicular wavenumbers. The second interesting comment is about the break observed in some 3D modes' energy spectrum just after the domain of excitation, which is limited in particular to $k_{\perp} \in [1, 2]$ [see relation (7)]. This break appears for strong B_0 and during the driving phase. Indeed, shortly after time t^* an extended power-law energy spectrum is formed. At this resolution the driving prevents the possibility to measure any power law for the energy spectrum of the 3D modes; it is believed that it could also artificially modify the power law of the Elsässer energy spectra. Note that a similar break was already observed in driven MHD turbulence [36]. Finally, at time larger than t^* the system evolves freely, and the slope at the lowest $k_{\perp}$ is modified slightly for strong B_0 and strongly for $B_0 = 1$ with a flattening for the energy spectrum of the 3D modes: The slope goes from $k_{\perp}^3$ initially to roughly $k_{\perp}^2$ after t^* . Note that $E^-(k_{\perp})$, $E_w^-(k_{\perp})$, and $E_{2D}^-(k_{\perp})$ (not shown) exhibit a similar behavior.

The bidimensional Elsässer energy spectra $E^+(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$ are plotted in Fig. 6 at fixed $k_{\parallel}$. We choose the values $k_{\parallel} = 0$ to 5 and $k_{\parallel} = 15$. As in Fig. 5 a comparison is made between two times, $t < t^*$ and $t > t^*$. The most remarkable feature is that the spectra at fixed $k_{\parallel}$ are characterized by a pinning effect with a convergence of the spectra at large $k_{\perp}$. The case $B_0 = 15$ is an exception where the pinning is observed only for close values of $k_{\parallel}$ as we can see with a significant difference for $k_{\parallel} = 15$. Note that the spectrum of the 2D state has a slightly different behavior since it does not converge toward the other spectra at large $k_{\perp}$. The second observation is that at times larger than t^* power laws are detected for spectra with $k_{\parallel} = 0$ to 5, with a reduction of the inertial range while the power law index seems unchanged. The inertial ranges are then shifted to larger $k_{\perp}$.

Figure 7 presents unidimensional Elsässer energy spectra $E^{\pm}(k_{\perp})$ defined by relation (30). These spectra are compensated by different power laws $k_{\perp}^m$ with $m = 3/2$, $5/3$, and 2, which correspond to the predictions for strong and weak turbulence. Only runs IIa and IIIa are shown for which, respectively, $B_0/b_{\text{rms}} = 2.5$ and 7.1 (run Ia behaves similarly to run IIa). We see that run IIa fits well with power laws like $k_{\perp}^{-3/2}$ or $k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$, whereas run IIIa is close to $k_{\perp}^{-2}$. These results are in relatively good agreement with the characteristic timescales given in Table I, which satisfy relations $\tau_A \sim \tau_{nl}$ for run IIa and $\tau_A \ll \tau_{nl}$ for run IIIa. We also see that for run IIIa the inertial range is significantly larger for E^+ than E^- . We will come back to this point and show that the 2D state may explain such a feature.

B. Runs IIIa and IIIb

In this section a comparison is made between runs IIIa and IIIb for which $B_0 = 15$. The difference between these runs

FIG. 8. Energy spectra $E^{\pm}(k_{\perp})$ (run IIIb) for times $t = t^* = 5.1$ (left) and $t = 5.2$ (right) compensated by $k_{\perp}^2$ (solid), $k_{\perp}^{3/2}$ (dash), and $k_{\perp}^{5/3}$ (dot).

FIG. 9. Temporal evolution of the ratios E_w^+ / E_{2D}^+ for runs IIIa (top) and IIIb (bottom).

comes from the initial conditions and the external force (see Table I and Sec. II B).

Figure 8 shows the compensated energy spectra $E^\pm(k_\perp)$ for run IIIb. As in Fig. 7 spectra are compensated by different power laws $k_\perp^m$ with $m = 3/2, 5/3$, and 2. We observe the same characteristics as for run IIIa with in particular timescales compatible with relation $\tau_A \ll \tau_{nl}$ (see Table I). The only difference is the size of the inertial ranges, which are about the same for both spectra.

In order to explain the previous observations and the difference between cases IIIa and IIIb we shall analyze the ratios E_w^+ / E_{2D}^+ . We first note that in the regime of wave turbulence the wavevectors $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ satisfy the resonant triadic interactions $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$ and, for example, $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \omega(\mathbf{p}) - \omega(\mathbf{q})$, which leads to the resonance condition $q_\parallel = 0$ and to the prediction that only a spectral transfer transverse to $\mathbf{B}_0$ happens. It also means that we always have an interaction between an Alfvén wave packet of one type of polarity and the fluctuations of the 2D state with the opposite polarity. Numerical simulations have confirmed that the resonant interactions become dominant for large B_0/b_{rms} [22]. Therefore,

FIG. 10. Energy spectra $E^+(k_\perp, k_\parallel)$ (top) and $E^-(k_\perp, k_\parallel)$ (bottom) at fixed $k_\parallel$ (run IIIb) and at time $t = 5.5 > t^*$. The values chosen for $k_\parallel$ are 1, 2, 3, 4 (same notation as in Fig. 6). For comparison we also plot the spectra of the 2D state (solid) and of the 3D modes (bold solid).

FIG. 11. Temporal evolution of Alfvén ratios $r^A(t)$ (solid), $r_{2D}^A(t)$ (dash), and $r_w^A(t)$ (dot) for run IIIb.

the amount of energy in the 2D state is an important parameter for the nonlinear dynamics. For example, the absence of energy inside the 2D state cannot lead to the development of a wave turbulence regime. In Fig. 9 the ratios E_w^+ / E_{2D}^+ between the energies of the 3D modes and the 2D state with opposite polarities are given. For run IIIb, we see that these ratios are almost the same and reach roughly a stationary state for times $t \in [4, 6]$ with values close to 1.5. In this regime we have $E_{2D}^+ \sim 0.59 E_w^-$ and $E_{2D}^- \sim 0.66 E_w^+$, which lead to similar spectra $E^\pm(k_\perp)$ (see Fig. 8) with inertial ranges of the same size. For run IIIa the ratios are significantly different: In the stationary phase for which $t \in [4, 6]$ we have $E_{2D}^+ \sim 0.33 E_w^-$ and $E_{2D}^- \sim E_w^+$. In practice, the energy in the 2D state with a positive polarity is reduced, which leads to a weakening of the nonlinear dynamics and a reduction of the inertial range for $E_w^-(k_\perp)$ as we can see in Fig. 7. Note that similarly to run IIIa (see Fig. 1) the energy contained in the 2D state for run IIIb represents 2/3 of the total energy.

Figure 10 displays spectra $E_w^\pm(k_\perp)$, $E_{2D}^\pm(k_\perp)$, and $E^\pm(k_\perp, k_\parallel)$ for $k_\parallel = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Globally we observe the same features as for run IIIa with a stronger domination of the 2D state at large scales. Note that at the smallest $k_\perp$, the initial power law in $k_\perp^2$ applied to spectra $k_\parallel = 1, 2$ is slightly modified, and the scaling for $E_w^\pm(k_\perp)$ is unchanged. Note also that the break previously reported for times $t < t^*$ is also observed (not shown). Finally, as we have already seen in Fig. 5 (run IIIa), for times $t > t^*$ an inertial range appears for spectra $E_w^\pm(k_\perp)$ and $E^\pm(k_\perp, k_\parallel)$ with $k_\parallel = 1, 2, 3, 4$, which seem roughly better fitted by $k_\perp^{-3/2}$ or $k_\perp^{-5/3}$ than $k_\perp^{-2}$.

Figure 11 shows eventually the time variations of the Alfvén ratios $r^A(t)$, $r_{2D}^A(t)$, and $r_w^A(t)$. The main difference between run IIIa (see Fig. 3) and run IIIb resides first in the initial values, which are larger for the latter run. The evolution is also different with a variation of all quantities during the driving phase. It is only during the decay phase that we recover the same behavior as in run IIIa with Alfvénic fluctuations and an equipartition for the 3D modes. In this decay phase, a large deviation of $r_w^A(t)$ is observed immediately after t^* in order to reach the equipartition, which clearly means that it is the natural state of the system (in IIIa the situation was not totally clear since before t^* we were already at equipartition).

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a set of 3D direct numerical simulations of incompressible driven MHD turbulence under the influence of a uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$. In particular,

the temporal and spectral properties of the 2D state (or slow mode) are investigated. We show that if initially the energy contained in the 2D state is set to zero, it becomes shortly nonnegligible, in particular when the intensity of B_0 is strong. For our larger B_0 intensity ($B_0 = 15$) this energy saturates in the stationary phase around 2/3 of the total energy, whereas the energy of the 3D modes remains roughly constant. We also observed that the ratio B_0/b_{rms} saturates around 7 for both simulations at $B_0 = 15$ with initially a ratio equal to 15 (run IIIa) and to 19 (run IIIb). In all situations, the magnetic energy dominates the kinetic energy, but it is shown that at large B_0/b_{rms} and in the decay phase the natural state for the 3D modes is the equipartition, whereas the 2D state is magnetically dominated. It is interesting to note that a theoretical model in terms of condensates has been recently proposed to explain the spontaneous generation of a residual energy $E^v - E^b$ at small $k_{\parallel}$ [27]. This model is based on the breakdown of the mirror symmetry in unbalanced wave turbulence.

From a spectral point of view, when the B_0 intensity is strong enough the $k_{\perp}$ -energy spectra are mainly composed at large scales by the 2D state and at small scales by the 3D modes. This situation is similar to rotating turbulence for neutral fluids [37,38], where in particular the nonlinear transfers are reduced along the rotating rate [39]. However, a detailed analysis of the temporal evolution of the 2D state energy spectra shows that a direct cascade happens,

whereas for rotating turbulence an inverse cascade is generally evoked [40]. The same remark holds for energy spectra at fixed $k_{\parallel} > 0$ where we observe additionally a pinning effect at large $k_{\perp}$. According to the value of B_0/b_{rms} scalings close to $k_{\perp}^{-3/2} - k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$ or $k_{\perp}^{-2}$ are found that are in agreement with different predictions for strong and wave turbulence [8,9,14,19].

The external force seems to be an important parameter for the dynamics. For example, in Ref. [25] a change of spectral slope was reported for the $k_{\perp}$ -energy spectrum when the intensity of B_0 is modified. In this work the external force was applied at $k_{\parallel} > 0$ and $k_{\perp} = 1, 2$. In Ref. [18] a forcing that kept the ratio of fluctuations to a mean field approximately constant was implemented by freezing modes $k \leq 2$, and a spectral slope close to $k_{\perp}^{-3/2}$ was reported for $B_0 = 5$. We note that the 2D state is essential at large B_0/b_{rms} since it mainly drives the nonlinear dynamics. In particular, we observe that a reduction of the 2D state energy of one type of polarity leads to a decrease of the inertial range of the 3D modes' energy spectrum with the opposite polarity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

High-performance computing resources were provided by CUBBY, NERSC, and CICART. A. Bhattacharjee is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Y. Ponty, H. Politano, and Institut universitaire de France for financial support.

-
- [1] J. Scalo and B. G. Elmegreen, *Astron. Astrophys.* **42**, 275 (2004).
 - [2] F. Govoni *et al.*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **460**, 425 (2006).
 - [3] S. Galtier, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **145**, 59 (2006).
 - [4] S. Galtier, *J. Plasma Phys.* **72**, 721 (2006).
 - [5] S. Galtier, *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 015302(R) (2008); *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, A01102 (2008).
 - [6] D. Montgomery and L. Turner, *Phys. Fluids* **24**, 825 (1981).
 - [7] R. Grappin, A. Pouquet, and J. Leorat, *Astron. Astrophys.* **126**, 51 (1983).
 - [8] P. Goldreich and S. Sridhar, *Astrophys. J.* **438**, 763 (1995).
 - [9] C. S. Ng and A. Bhattacharjee, *Astrophys. J.* **465**, 845 (1996).
 - [10] A. Bhattacharjee, C. S. Ng, and S. R. Spangler, *Astrophys. J.* **494**, 409 (1998).
 - [11] H. Politano, A. Pouquet, and V. Carbone, *Europhys. Lett.* **43**, 516 (1998).
 - [12] S. Galtier, E. Zienicke, H. Politano, and A. Pouquet, *J. Plasma Phys.* **61**, 507 (1999).
 - [13] J. Cho and E. T. Vishniac, *Astrophys. J.* **539**, 273 (2000).
 - [14] S. Galtier, S. V. Nazarenko, A. C. Newell, and A. Pouquet, *J. Plasma Phys.* **63**, 447 (2000).
 - [15] S. Galtier, S. V. Nazarenko, A. C. Newell, and A. Pouquet, *Astrophys. J.* **564**, L49 (2002).
 - [16] S. Galtier, A. Pouquet, and A. Mangeney, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 092310 (2005).
 - [17] P. D. Mininni, A. Alexakis, and A. Pouquet, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 046302 (2005).
 - [18] W.-C. Müller and R. Grappin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 114502 (2005).
 - [19] S. Boldyrev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 115002 (2006).
 - [20] S. Galtier and B. D. G. Chandran, *Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 114505 (2006).
 - [21] A. Alexakis, *Astrophys. J.* **667**, L93 (2007).
 - [22] A. Alexakis, B. Bigot, H. Politano, and S. Galtier, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 056313 (2007).
 - [23] B. Bigot, S. Galtier, and H. Politano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 074502 (2008).
 - [24] B. Bigot, S. Galtier, and H. Politano, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 066301 (2008).
 - [25] J.-C. Perez and S. Boldyrev, *Astrophys. J.* **672**, L61 (2008).
 - [26] B. D. G. Chandran, *Astrophys. J.* **685**, 646 (2008).
 - [27] S. Boldyrev and J.-C. Perez, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 225001 (2009).
 - [28] S. Galtier, *Astrophys. J.* **704**, 1371 (2009).
 - [29] W. H. Matthaeus, S. Oughton, and Y. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 035401(R) (2009).
 - [30] P. D. Mininni and A. Pouquet, *Phys. Rev. E* **80**, 025401(R) (2009).
 - [31] R. Grappin and W.-C. Müller, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 026406 (2010).
 - [32] J.J. Podesta and A. Bhattacharjee, *Astrophys. J.* **718**, 1151 (2010).
 - [33] P. S. Iroshnikov, *Sov. Astron.* **7**, 566 (1964).
 - [34] R. H. Kraichnan, *Phys. Fluids* **8**, 1385 (1965).
 - [35] Y. Ponty, J.-P. Laval, B. Dubrulle, F. Daviaud, and J.-F. Pinton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 224501 (2007).
 - [36] W.-C. Müller, D. Biskamp, and R. Grappin, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 066302 (2003).
 - [37] L. M. Smith and F. Waleffe, *Phys. Fluids* **11**, 1608 (1999).
 - [38] C. N. Baroud, B. B. Plapp, Z.-S. She, and H. L. Swinney, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 114501 (2002).
 - [39] S. Galtier, *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 015301 (2003).
 - [40] P. D. Mininni, P. Dmitruk, W. H. Matthaeus, and A. Pouquet, e-print [arXiv:1005.1574v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.1574v1) (2010).