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Simple analytic formulas are considered for the energy radiated in low frequency bremsstrahlung from fully ionized gases. A formula that has been frequently cited over many years turns out to have only a limited range of validity, more narrow than for a formula derived using the Born approximation. In an attempt to find a more widely valid simple formula, a soft photon theorem is employed, which in this context implies that the differential rate of photon emission in an electron-ion collision with definite initial and final electron momenta is correctly given for sufficiently soft photons by the Born approximation, to all orders in the Coulomb potential. Corrections to the Born approximation arise because the upper limit on photon energy for this theorem to apply to a given collision becomes increasingly stringent as the scattering approaches the forward direction. A general formula is suggested that takes this into account.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The emission of radio waves from hot ionized interstellar gas is largely due to soft bremsstrahlung, the radiation of a low energy photon in the deflection of a free electron with much larger kinetic energy by the Coulomb field of an atomic nucleus. It is conventional to express the rate \( j(\nu, v) \) of energy emission per time, per photon solid angle, and per photon frequency interval at frequency \( \nu \) from an electron of velocity \( v \) with \( |v| = v \) due to bremsstrahlung in a fully ionized gas as the approximate classical electrodynamics result given in 1923 by Kramers [1], times a “free-free Gaunt factor” \( g_{ff}(\nu, v) \) that incorporates quantum and other corrections:

\[
j(\nu, v) = \frac{8\pi Z^2 e^6 n_I}{3\sqrt{3} c^3 m_e^2 v} g_{ff}(\nu, v),
\]

where \( n_I \) is the number density of ions, \( Z e \) is the ionic charge (with \( e \) everywhere in unratationalized electrostatic units), and \( m_e \) is the electron mass. For an ionized gas in kinetic equilibrium at temperature \( T \), this gives the emissivity, the rate of radiation energy emitted per time, per volume, per photon solid angle, and per photon frequency interval:

\[
j_{\nu}(T) = \int_{m_e v^2/2h\nu} d^3v n_e(\nu, T) j(\nu, |v|)
\]

where \( n_e(\nu, T) d^3v \) is the number density of free electrons with velocity in a range \( d^3v \) at \( v \). Using the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution for \( n_e(\nu, T) \), this gives the emissivity

\[
j_{\nu}(T) = \frac{8Z^2 e^6 n_e n_I}{3c^3(k_B T)^{1/2} m_e^{3/2}} \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} \right)^{1/2} g_{th}(\nu, T).
\]

where \( n_e \) is the total number density of free electrons; \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant; and \( g_{ff}(\nu, v) \) is the thermally averaged free-free Gaunt factor (briefly, the thermal Gaunt factor):

\[
g_{ff}(\nu, v) = \int \frac{m_e}{k_B T} \sqrt{2m_e/m_e} \left( \frac{m_e v^2}{2k_B T} \right) g_{th}(\nu, v) \exp \left( -\frac{m_e v^2}{2k_B T} \right) dv.
\]

Astrophysicists today chiefly rely on various numerical calculations (e.g., Refs. [2–5]) of the Gaunt factor, based on a set of quite complicated formulas:

\[
g_{th}(\nu, v) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi \xi^2} [(\xi^2 + \xi^2 + 2\xi^2 \xi^2) I_0 - 2\xi^2 (1 + \xi^2)^{1/2}(1 + \xi^2)^{1/2} I_1].
\]

where
where 

\[ \xi \equiv \frac{Z \varepsilon}{\hbar^2} \]

and \( \xi' \equiv \frac{Z \varepsilon}{\hbar v'} \), with \( v' \) the magnitude of the final electron velocity, given in terms of \( \nu \) and \( \nu \) by the condition of energy conservation

\[ m_e v^2/2 = m_e v^2/2 - \hbar \nu. \quad (6) \]

Also, \( _2F_1 \) is a confluent hypergeometric function, with power series expansion

\[ _2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta)_n x^n}{(\gamma)_n n!}, \]

where for any complex \( z \)

\[ (z)_n \equiv (z + 1) \cdots (z + n - 1) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3 \ldots; \]

\[ (z)_0 \equiv 1. \]

These are derived [2] from the summation of a partial wave expansion [6] of results originally given by Sommerfeld [7]. Still, it would be useful to have a widely valid simple analytic formula for the Gaunt factor, in order easily to see trends in how it varies with various parameters, and in order easily to calculate the thermal Gaunt factor (4). Above all, from an independent derivation of a simple analytic formula we can gain a more detailed physical understanding of what is going on in the bremsstrahlung process.

A simple formula for the thermal Gaunt factor has been often given, without providing a derivation, in treatises on the interstellar medium (e.g., [8–11]).

\[ g_0(\nu, T) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{2k_B T}{\pi Z e^2 \nu m_e^2} \right) - \frac{5}{2} \right], \quad (7) \]

where \( \gamma \) is the Euler constant, \( \gamma = 0.577 \ldots \) Some of these references indicate that the formula holds for photons that are soft, in the sense that \( \hbar \nu \ll k_B T \). (They also note that it is necessary to assume that the photon frequency is much larger than the plasma frequency \( \nu_p \), so that Debye screening can be ignored. This is not a stringent condition, and will be taken for granted throughout.) But none suggest that there are more stringent conditions on the frequency and temperature for the formula to be a valid approximation.

For this formula Spitzer [8] cited an article by Scheuer [11], who found Eq. (7) by a purely classical calculation of the emissivity per electron, which gave a result

\[ g_0(\nu, v) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{m_e v^3}{\pi Z e^2 \nu} \right) - \gamma \right], \quad (8) \]

(They subsequently found that, though it seems to have been largely forgotten, in 1962 a review article [13] had obtained the same result.) For instance, for \( 2\hbar \nu/m_e v^2 = 10^{-3} \), Eq. (8) is within a few percent of numerical results [5] for \( \xi \) between 1 and 10. On the other hand, for \( 2\hbar \nu/m_e v^2 = 10^{-2} \) the range in which \( g_0(\nu, \nu) \) agrees with numerical results is vanishingly narrow.

In contrast, the Born approximation (9) agrees very well with numerical calculations where \( \xi < 1 \), and the Scheuer Gaunt factor (8) does not. For instance, for \( \hbar \nu = 10^{-3} m_e v^2/2 \), Eq. (9) gives \( g_0(\nu, v) = 4.573 \), while numerical calculations [5] give \( g_0(\nu, v) \) equal to 4.5730 for \( \xi = 10^{-3} \) and for \( \xi = 10^{-2} \), dropping only to 4.5672 for \( \xi = 0.1 \) and to 4.2093 for \( \xi = 1 \). (An electron has \( \xi < 1 \) if its kinetic energy is larger than the binding energy of a 1s atomic electron.) In contrast, for the same ratio of photon and electron energies, Eq. (8) gives a Gaunt factor that is 76% too large for \( \xi = 10^{-3} \), and still 21% too large for \( \xi = 0.1 \).
This leaves us with the task of finding an approximate analytic formula for the Gaunt factor that is generally valid for $\xi \ll 1$. Section II derives what I think is a new formula for the matrix element for soft bremsstrahlung, valid for any $\xi$ to all orders in the Coulomb potential. This formula leads immediately to the Born approximation (9) in the case $\xi \ll 1$. To deal with more general values of $\xi$, a general soft-photon theorem is used in Sec. III to show that the differential rate of photon emission in an electron-ion collision with definite initial and final electron momenta is correctly given for sufficiently soft photons by the Born approximation to all orders in the Coulomb potential.

Nevertheless, as explained in Sec. IV, integration over the final electron direction introduces corrections to the Born approximation for the Gaunt factor for $\xi > 1$. Properties of the general formula for the bremsstrahlung matrix element derived in Sec. II suggest a framework for a more general formula.

II. A GENERAL FORMULA

To derive the Born approximation result (9) for $\xi \ll 1$, and to understand the decrease of the Gaunt factor below the Born approximation value for $\xi$ of order unity and greater, it will be useful first to provide what I think is a new formula for the matrix element for bremsstrahlung that is valid to all orders in the Coulomb potential.

Taking electrons to be nonrelativistic, which also entails the electric dipole approximation for the interaction of electrons with the quantized electromagnetic field, the term in the matrix element (that is, the coefficient of the energy and momentum conservation delta function in the S-matrix) for bremsstrahlung of first order in this interaction and to all orders in the Coulomb interaction between an electron and an ion is given in the “distorted wave Born approximation” [14] as

$$M = \frac{-2\pi i}{\sqrt{2qc(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}}} \times \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m_e}} \int d^3r \psi^* \left( \mathbf{r} \right) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{\nabla}\psi \left( \mathbf{r} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $e(\mathbf{\hat{q}}, \lambda)$ is the polarization vector (with $e^* \cdot e = 1$) for a photon with momentum $\mathbf{q}$ and helicity $\lambda$, and $\psi$ and $\psi'$ are respectively “in” and “out” normalized solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the initial and final electrons. If we multiply $M$ with $qc = m_e v^2 / 2 - m_e v'^2 / 2$ and use the Schrödinger equations for $m_e v^2 / 2$ and $m_e v'^2 / 2$, we find by an integration by parts that the kinetic energy terms in the Schrödinger equations cancel, while the potential terms cancel except where the gradient in Eq. (9) acts on the electron-ion interaction potential $V$, so

$$M = \frac{-ie\sqrt{\hbar}}{(qc)^{3/2}m_e} \int d^3r \psi^* \left( \mathbf{r} \right) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \cdot \left[ \mathbf{\nabla}\psi \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Using the general rules for calculating rates in quantum mechanics, and setting $qc = \nu \hbar$, the rate of emission of radiation energy per time, per photon solid angle, per photon frequency interval, and per final electron solid angle when an electron is scattered from initial velocity $v$ to final velocity $v'$ is then

$$j(\nu, v \to v') = \frac{\hbar^2}{4\pi^3} \int_0^\infty d\nu' \nu''^2 \sum_l |M|^2 \delta \left( \frac{m_e v'^2}{2} - \frac{m_e v^2}{2} - \nu \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Equations (12) and (13) apply for a general potential $V$. For a Coulomb potential $V(r) = -Ze^2/r$, the exact wave functions are well known:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\xi)}{(2\pi\hbar)^{1/2}} e^{ik\cdot\mathbf{r}} F_1(i\xi; \nu; |\mathbf{r} - ik \cdot \mathbf{r}|)$$

$$\psi'(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + i\xi)}{(2\pi\hbar)^{1/2}} e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}} F_1(-i\xi; \nu; |\mathbf{r} - i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}|)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $k \equiv vm_e / \hbar$ and $k' \equiv v' m_e / \hbar$; $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v}'$ are the initial and final electron velocities; $\xi \equiv Ze^2 / \nu \hbar$; and $F_1$ is another confluent hypergeometric function.

So far, this is valid for nonrelativistic electrons to all orders in the Coulomb potential $V(r)$. If we now take $\xi \ll 1$ (so that for soft photons also $\xi' \ll 1$) then the functions $F_1$ in the wave functions (11) take the form $1 + O(\xi)$ and $1 + O(\xi')$ and the matrix element (10) is then given by just the term of first order in $V$ [15]:

$$M = \frac{4\pi Ze^3\hbar^{3/2}}{(\nu v - v') \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{\hat{q}}, \lambda)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Using this in Eq. (11), we find the emission rate per electron:

$$j_{\text{Born}}(\nu, v \to v') = \frac{4\pi Z^2 e^2 n_\gamma v'}{3\pi c^2 m_e^2 |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}'|^2} 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

(with $v'$ given by the energy conservation condition $m_e v'^2 / 2 = m_e v^2 / 2 - \nu \hbar$). Integrating over the final electron direction gives, for soft photons,

$$j_{\text{Born}}(\nu, v) = \int d^2\hat{v}' j_{\text{Born}}(\nu, v \to v') = \frac{8\pi^2 Z^2 e^2 n_\gamma}{3c^3 m_e^2 v} \ln \left( \frac{v + v'}{v - v'} \right) - \frac{8\pi^2 Z^2 e^2 n_\gamma}{3c^3 m_e^2 v} \ln \left( \frac{2m_e v^2}{\hbar \nu} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

corresponding to the Gaunt factor (9), in disagreement with Scheuer’s formula (8). It has recently been shown [16] that...
Eq. (9) also follows in the limit $\xi \to 0$ from the formula (5) used in Refs. [2–5].

Using Eq. (9) in Eq. (4) gives a thermal Gaunt factor

$$\tilde{g}_T(\nu, T) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln(4 k_B T / \hbar \nu) - \gamma, \quad (18)$$

which we expect to be valid if $2 \hbar \nu / m_e v_T^2 \ll 1$ and $Ze^2 / \hbar \nu v_T \ll 1$, where $v_T \equiv \sqrt{2 k_B T / m_e}$ is a typical thermal velocity. There is a possible problem in this thermal averaging: no matter how small $2 \hbar \nu / m_e v_T^2$ and $Ze^2 / \hbar \nu v_T$ may be, there will always be some electrons with $v \ll v_T$ for which $2 \hbar \nu / m_e v^2$ and/or $Ze^2 / \hbar \nu$ are not small. But the effect of these slow electrons is suppressed by $d^3v / v^3 = 4 \pi \nu d\nu$ in the velocity integration (4). In any case, Scheuer’s Eq. (8) already disagrees with the Born approximation result (9) before thermal averaging, under circumstances in which the Born approximation is valid.

III. THE SOFT PHOTON THEOREM

We need to go further, and understand the changes in the Gaunt factor when $\xi \equiv Ze^2 / \hbar \nu$ is of order unity or greater. At first sight, it might seem that the Born approximation should continue to apply for soft photons whatever the value of $\xi$. This is because the emission per electron $j(\nu, v \to \nu')$ for fixed electron directions is correctly given in the soft photon limit $\nu' \to v$ by the Born approximation result (16), to all orders in the Coulomb potential, whether or not $\xi$ is small.

This conclusion is based on a very general low energy theorem [17] of quantum electrodynamics. According to this theorem, which is valid to all orders in perturbation theory, the differential rate for a general process $\alpha \to \beta$ with emission of any number of soft photons with total energy less than some amount $E$ is given in the soft photon limit $E \to 0$ by

$$d\Gamma_{\alpha \to \beta}(< E) \to (E / \Lambda)^4 b(A) d\Gamma^0_{\alpha \to \beta}. \quad (19)$$

Here

$$A = -\frac{1}{8 \pi^2 \hbar c} \sum_{n,m} \frac{4 \pi \eta_n \eta_m e_n e_m}{\beta_{nm}} \ln \left( \frac{1 + \beta_{nm}}{1 - \beta_{nm}} \right), \quad (20)$$

where the sums run over all particles participating in the reaction $\alpha \to \beta$; $e_n$ is the charge of the $n$th particle; $\eta_n$ equals $+1$ or $-1$ for particles in the initial state $\alpha$ or final state $\beta$; and $c \beta_{nm}$ is the velocity of either of particles $n$ or $m$ in the rest frame of the other particle:

$$\beta_{nm} \equiv \left[ 1 - \frac{m_n^2 m_m^2 e^4}{(p_n \cdot p_m)^2} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (21)$$

Also, $b(A)$ is the function

$$b(A) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sin \sigma d\sigma \exp \left[ A \int_0^{1} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} (e^{i \omega \sigma} - 1) \right] = 1 - \frac{\pi^2 A^2}{12} + \cdots, \quad (22)$$

and $d\Gamma^0_{\alpha \to \beta}$ is the differential rate for the same process without soft photon emission and without radiative corrections from virtual infrared photons, where $\Lambda$ is a more-or-less arbitrary upper limit on virtual photon four-momenta that is used to define what we mean by “infrared.” (As we shall see, $\Lambda$ will not appear in the nonrelativistic limit relevant to this paper.) The differential rates $d\Gamma_{\alpha \to \beta}(< E)$ and $d\Gamma^0_{\alpha \to \beta}$ are rates for producing the particles in the final state $\beta$ in some infinitesimal element of their momentum spaces, the same for both rates. (The formula given in Ref. [17] has been modified here by inserting a factor $4 \pi$ in Eq. (20) to account for the use here of unrationialized units for electric charge, and inserting a factor $1 / \hbar c$ to make $A$ dimensionless in cgs units.)

This is no place to rederive this old result, but it may be useful here to remark that it applies because the insertion of a soft-photon external line of momentum $\mathbf{q}$ in any external line for a charged particle in the process $\alpha \to \beta$ produces an internal line connecting this vertex to the rest of the diagram; the propagator for this line contributes a $1 / q$ singularity for $q \to 0$, whose residue is proportional to the matrix element for the process without the soft photon. This accounts for a factor $1 / q$ in Eq. (12), which multiplies the kinematic factor $1 / \sqrt{q}$ already present in Eq. (11). (For photon absorption, the corresponding $1 / q^{3/2}$ factor in the matrix element accounts for a factor $(\hbar c T)^{-3}$ in the Kramers opacity for free-free transitions.) These diagrams dominate the matrix element for $q \to 0$, because insertion of the soft photon line in an internal line of the process $\alpha \to \beta$ does not produce this pole.

Formula (19) for the soft photon emission rate applies for relativistic or nonrelativistic processes involving particles of arbitrary spin, whatever the interactions may be that produce the reaction $\alpha \to \beta$. It is considerably simplified if we specialize to the nonrelativistic case, for which in some reference frame all velocities of the particles in the states $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are much less than $c$. In this case all $\beta_{nm}$ are much less than $1$, and we can use the expansion

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{nm}} \ln \left( \frac{1 + \beta_{nm}}{1 - \beta_{nm}} \right) = 2 + \frac{2}{3} \beta_{nm}^2 + \cdots. \quad (23)$$

The first term does not contribute in Eq. (20), because the conservation of electric charge gives $\sum_n \eta_n e_n = 0$. Hence in the nonrelativistic case, Eq. (20) becomes

$$A = -\frac{1}{3 \pi \hbar c} \sum_{n,m} \eta_n \eta_m e_n e_m \beta_{nm}^2. \quad (24)$$
This is at most of order $r^2/c^2$, so in the nonrelativistic limit $b(A) = 1$, and Eq. (19) becomes

$$d\Gamma_{\alpha\rightarrow\beta}(\ll E) \rightarrow \left[ 1 + A \ln \left( \frac{E}{A} \right) \right] d\Gamma^0_{\alpha\rightarrow\beta}. \quad (25)$$

The rate of energy radiation per frequency interval is then simply

$$h\nu \frac{d}{d\nu} d\Gamma_{\alpha\rightarrow\beta}(\ll h\nu) \rightarrow hA d\Gamma^0_{\alpha\rightarrow\beta}. \quad (26)$$

If we now specialize to the case of a nonrelativistic electron of velocity $v$ scattered by a Coulomb potential into a final velocity $v'$, the sum in Eq. (26) will be dominated by terms in which particles $n$ and $m$ are respectively the initial and final electron, or vice versa. This gives

$$A = \frac{2e^2}{3\pi \hbar c^3} |v - v'|^2. \quad (27)$$

If needed we could use this in Eq. (24) with any assumption about the differential rate $d\Gamma^0_{\nu\rightarrow\nu'}$ for electron scattering without photon emission, taking account of complications like screening or finite ion size in scattering by atoms, but the calculation of $d\Gamma^0_{\nu\rightarrow\nu'}$ beyond low orders of perturbation theory would then be complicated. Fortunately, as is well known, if we limit ourselves to the scattering of electrons by the Coulomb field of an unscreened heavy point ion of charge $Ze$, then the differential Coulomb scattering rate per electron $d\Gamma^0_{\nu\rightarrow\nu'}$ is correctly given to all orders in the Coulomb potential by the Born approximation result:

$$d\Gamma^0_{\nu\rightarrow\nu'} = \frac{4Z^2 e^4 n_N v}{m_e^2 |v - v'|^3} d^2 v', \quad (28)$$

where $v \equiv |v|$, and $d^2 v'$ is the solid angle into which the electron is scattered. Using Eqs. (27) and (28) in Eq. (26), the differential rate of energy radiation in soft bremsstrahlung per photon frequency interval, per photon solid angle, and per electron is

$$f(\nu, v \rightarrow v') d^2 v'$$

$$= \frac{h\nu}{4\pi} \frac{d}{d\nu} d\Gamma_{\nu\rightarrow\nu'}(\ll h\nu) \rightarrow \frac{4Z^2 e^6 n_N v}{3\pi c^3 m_e^2 |v - v'|^3} d^2 v', \quad (29)$$

just as in the Born approximation result (14). Unfortunately, as we shall see, although the soft photon theorem tells us that Eq. (29) holds for any $\xi$ and any fixed initial and final electron velocities and sufficiently small photon frequency, for $\xi > 1$ the upper bound on the photon frequency for its validity becomes increasingly stringent as the final electron direction approaches the initial direction.

### IV. DEPARTURES FROM THE BORN APPROXIMATION

Departures from the Born approximation for soft photons arise because to calculate the emission per electron we need to integrate over the final electron direction. In the strict soft photon limit, in which $\nu = 0$ and $v = v'$, there is a logarithmic divergence in the integral, arising from the configuration in which $v'$ is parallel to $v$. In the Born approximation, for $\nu$ small but nonzero the integral is cut off by the inequality of $v$ and $v'$, yielding Eq. (17), from which the Gaunt factor (9) follows as before. But beyond the Born approximation, does Eq. (15) correctly describe the behavior of the emission rate when $v' \neq v$, where the soft photon theorem does not apply?

To answer this, we note that the singularity in the photon emission rate when $v' \rightarrow v$ arises entirely from the slow decrease of the Coulomb potential at large $r$. To evaluate this singularity, we use the well-known asymptotic behavior of the Coulomb wave functions: for $r \rightarrow \infty$

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow (2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} e^{ik_r r} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{-i\xi}, \quad (30)$$

where $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{v} m_e / \hbar$ and $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{v}' m_e / \hbar$. Using these asymptotic forms in Eq. (12), we see that when $|v - v'|/v \approx h\nu/m_e v^2$ and the angle $\theta$ between $v$ and $v'$ are both very small, the singularity in the matrix element, is of the form

$$M \rightarrow -iZe^3 \sqrt{\hbar} (m_e / \hbar)^{-i\xi - i\xi'} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int d^3 r \left( \frac{e^* \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r^3} \right) \times \exp \left( i \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') (m_e / \hbar) |v - v' - \mathbf{r}|^{-i\xi'} |v' + \mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{r}|^{-i\xi} \right). \quad (31)$$

This can be straightforwardly calculated to leading order in $h\nu/m_e v^2$ and $\theta$ in two limiting cases:

First, if $h\nu/m_e v^2 \ll \theta \ll 1$ we encounter a singularity:

$$M \rightarrow -iZe^3 \sqrt{\hbar} (m_e / \hbar)^{-i\xi - i\xi'} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{v^{-2i\xi} (v - v') \cdot e^*}{|v - v'|^{-2i\xi}} \times \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2i\xi) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi') \cosh \xi \pi}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(1 + i\xi')}. \quad (32)$$

This is not the same as in the Born approximation matrix element (15), which is no surprise, because the Coulomb scattering amplitude itself is affected by higher orders in the Coulomb potential. But here as in Coulomb scattering the higher-order corrections in Eq. (32) are just phases, which do not appear in $|M|^2$, so the singularity in the integrand of the emission per electron is of the form

$$|M|^2 \rightarrow |M_{\text{Born}}|^2. \quad (33)$$
The soft photon theorem tells us that this is also true for $\hbar \nu/m_e v^2 \ll 1$ even where the angle $\theta$ between electron directions is not small and the integral (10) is not dominated by large $r$.

Second, moving away from the case covered by the soft photon theorem, if $\theta \ll \hbar \nu/m_e v^2 \ll 1$, we find a singularity

$$M \rightarrow \frac{4\pi Z e^3 r^{3/2}}{(\hbar \nu)^{3/2}(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{(v - v') \cdot e^e}{|v - v'|^{3/2} - 2i\xi} \Gamma(1 - 2i\xi)$$

$$\times \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right) \frac{\cosh \xi \pi}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Here the corrections to the Born approximation are not just phases. Instead,

$$|M|^2 \rightarrow |M_{\text{Born}}|^2 \times \frac{\pi^2 \xi^2}{\sinh^2 \pi \xi}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

For a rough approximation to the Gaunt factor when $\xi$ is not small, we introduce a critical angle $\theta_c$, and tentatively suppose that Eq. (33) holds for $\theta > \theta_c$ and Eq. (35) holds for $\theta < \theta_c$. Then the emission rate per electron is

$$j(\nu, v) = \frac{4Z^2 e^6 n_T v'}{3\pi c^3 m_e^2} \left[ \int_{\theta > \theta_c} \frac{d^2 \hat{v}'}{|v - v'|^2} + \frac{\pi^2 \xi^2}{\sinh^2 \pi \xi} \int_{\theta < \theta_c} \frac{d^2 \hat{v}'}{|v - v'|^2} \right]$$

$$\approx \frac{\pi \xi^2}{\sinh \pi \xi}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

for a Gaunt factor $g_\xi(\nu, v)$ where $\xi \equiv (1 + 2(\theta_c^2/(2\hbar \nu/m_e v^2)^2)^{1/2}$.

$$g_\xi(\nu, v) = \frac{3}{\pi} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{2m_e v^2}{\hbar \nu} \right) + \frac{\pi^2 \xi^2}{\sinh^2 \pi \xi} \ln \xi \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)
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