Shedding light on the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies with a dark sector

D. Aristizabal Sierra,^{1,*} Florian Staub,^{2,†} and Avelino Vicente^{1,3,‡}

¹*IFPA, Département d'Astrophysique, Géophysique et Océanographie, Université de Liège, Bat B5, Sart Tilman, B-4000 Liège 1, Belgium*

²*Theory Division, CERN 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland*

³*Instituto de Física Corpuscular (CSIC-Universitat de València), Apartado 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain*
(Received 30 March 2015; published 6 July 2015)

The LHCb Collaboration has recently reported on some anomalies in $b \rightarrow s$ transitions. In addition to discrepancies with the Standard Model (SM) predictions in some angular observables and branching ratios, an intriguing hint for lepton universality violation was found. Here we propose a simple model that extends the SM with a dark sector charged under an additional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. The spontaneous breaking of this symmetry gives rise to a massive Z' boson, which communicates the SM particles with a valid dark matter candidate, while solving the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies with contributions to the relevant observables.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.92.015001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.015001)

PACS numbers: 12.60.-i, 13.25.Hw, 12.60.Cn, 95.35.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

Rare decays stand among the most powerful probes of physics beyond the Standard Model (SM). Indeed, most new physics (NP) scenarios suffer from severe constraints due to their potentially large contributions to flavor observables. This has motivated an intense experimental search, with special focus on observables which are strongly suppressed in the SM.

In 2013, the LHCb Collaboration reported on the measurement of several observables in processes involving $b \rightarrow s$ transitions. A significant tension with the SM was found in some cases. These include angular observables in $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ [1], particularly large in case of the popular P'_5 [2–4], as well as a decrease, with respect to the SM expectation, in several branching ratios [5,6]. These anomalies received immediate attention in the flavor community, and soon several independent global fits [7–10] showed that the tension could be alleviated in the presence of new physics contributions. Interestingly, in 2014 the LHCb Collaboration also found an indication of lepton universality violation in the theoretically rather clean ratio [11]

$$R_K = \frac{\text{BR}(B \rightarrow K \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\text{BR}(B \rightarrow K e^+ e^-)} = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \pm 0.036, \quad (1)$$

measured in the low dilepton invariant mass regime, which departs from the SM result $R_K^{\text{SM}} = 1.0003 \pm 0.0001$ by 2.6σ [12]. Again, this led to some excitement in the community, in

particular after it was found that this hint is compatible with the previous anomalies in $b \rightarrow s$ transitions: they can be explained by the same type of NP contributions to muonic operators [13–17]. So far, the discussion has focused on results obtained by LHCb with an integrated luminosity of 1 fb^{-1} . The latest chapter of this story is the recent announcement of the LHCb Collaboration of new results using the full LHC Run I data set [18], with an integrated luminosity of 3 fb^{-1} , which confirm the robustness of the LHCb data. Indeed, the new results are compatible with those found with 1 fb^{-1} , and several theorists have used the new data to update their analyses. According to [19], the hypothesis of nonzero NP contributions is preferred over the SM by 3.7σ , 4.3σ if the 2014 measurement of R_K is included, whereas the analysis of [20] finds a slightly larger statistical significance for NP (slightly larger than 4σ) even in the absence of R_K . In any case, assuming that hadronic effects [21,22] are not behind these anomalies (something impossible in case of R_K), there is clear evidence of new physics in B meson decays. For a complete review of the subject see [17] and references therein.

Several approaches have been considered in order to explain the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies. Some papers [23–25] consider generic Z' bosons with flavor violating couplings. These have been shown to provide a simple way to reconcile theory predictions with experimental data. There are also some works that were built to address the first anomalies but fail to address the lepton universality violating R_K measurement, see for example Refs. [26,27] in the context of 331 scenarios or Ref. [28], where 4-quark scalar interactions were considered. Finally, a few recent models can also account for the lepton universality violating R_K measurement. One finds three types of working models: models with scalar or vector leptoquarks (or similarly, R-parity violating supersymmetry, where the squarks can play the role of the leptoquarks) [14,29–31], composite Higgs models [25,32] and models with a Z' . The latter is generally considered the easiest approach, since the anomalies can be solved with new

*daristizabal@ulg.ac.be

†florian.staub@cern.ch

‡Avelino.Vicente@ulg.ac.be

contributions to vectorial operators. However, to the best of our knowledge, the only (complete) models that have been put forward in order to account for these anomalies using a Z' are (1) the one introduced in Ref. [33] (and the two-Higgs-doublet version in [34]), which makes use of a $U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau}$ gauge symmetry, and (2) the one proposed in the recent paper [35], which extends the horizontal gauge symmetry to the quark sector.

The existence of dark matter (DM) is a well-established evidence of new physics, supported by a plethora of astrophysical and cosmological observations. This motivates further extensions of the SM of particle physics that include valid DM candidates. In DM models, the current Planck 3σ limits for the DM relic density, $0.1118 < \Omega_{\text{DM}} h^2 < 0.1280$ [36], typically imply strong constraints on the mass and couplings of the DM candidate. This, combined with additional constraints (such as those from flavor physics), sometimes leads to very predictive scenarios where the parameter space of the DM model shrinks to small regions compatible with all observations.

We propose a simple model which captures the main ingredients required to explain the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies and, simultaneously, provides a DM candidate. In order to do so, we extend the SM with a dark sector charged under an additional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. The spontaneous breaking of this symmetry gives rise to a massive Z' boson, which communicates the SM particles with the dark matter particle and solves the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies with contributions to the relevant flavor observables. The interplay between DM and flavor physics leads to a very constrained scenario. In particular, achieving the measured DM relic density while providing the required NP contributions to B meson decays turns out to be very restrictive on the model parameters.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II we discuss some general aspects about the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies and introduce the basic language to be used throughout the paper. In Sec. III we define our model, show how it addresses the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies while providing a DM candidate and discuss extensions to generate nonzero neutrino masses. Section IV is devoted to the DM phenomenology of our model and the Z' portal responsible for its production in the early universe. Section V is a review of the most relevant constraints in our model, to be considered in Sec. VI, where we present our numerical results. Finally, in Sec. VII we summarize our results, discuss some related aspects and derive some general conclusions.

II. THE $b \rightarrow s$ ANOMALIES

In this section we discuss some general aspects about the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies. For a complete review of the subject, we refer the reader to [17].

A. Operators and global fits

The effective Hamiltonian for $b \rightarrow s$ transitions is usually written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \sum_i (C_i \mathcal{O}_i + C'_i \mathcal{O}'_i) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (2)$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, e the electric charge and V the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. $\mathcal{O}_i$ and $\mathcal{O}'_i$ are the effective operators that contribute to $b \rightarrow s$ transitions, and C_i and C'_i their Wilson coefficients. Since the most important anomalies have been found in semi-leptonic B meson decays, we will consider the following set of operators:

$$\mathcal{O}_9 = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \ell), \quad \mathcal{O}'_9 = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_R b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \ell), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{10} = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \ell), \quad \mathcal{O}'_{10} = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_R b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \ell). \quad (4)$$

Here $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$.¹ It is also customary to split the Wilson coefficients in two parts: the SM contributions and the NP contributions. Since the primed operators, $\mathcal{O}'_9$ and $\mathcal{O}'_{10}$, do not receive significant SM contributions, this is usually applied only to the unprimed Wilson coefficients, which can be written as

$$C_9 = C_9^{\text{SM}} + C_9^{\text{NP}}, \quad (5)$$

$$C_{10} = C_{10}^{\text{SM}} + C_{10}^{\text{NP}}. \quad (6)$$

The SM contributions, C_9^{SM} and C_{10}^{SM} , have been computed by different groups. Assuming that these are the only contributions to the Wilson coefficients, several independent global fits have found a sizable tension with experimental data on $b \rightarrow s$ transitions. This motivates the addition of NP contributions. When this is done, the global fits are clearly improved. According to [17] (we will mainly consider the results of this global fit), the best improvements are found in two cases:

- (i) *Scenario 1*: NP provides a negative contribution to $\mathcal{O}_9^\mu$, with $C_9^{\mu,\text{NP}} \sim -30\% \times C_9^{\mu,\text{SM}}$, leading to a Wilson coefficient C_9^μ significantly smaller than the one in the SM.
- (ii) *Scenario 2*: NP enters in the $SU(2)_L$ invariant direction $C_9^{\mu,\text{NP}} = -C_{10}^{\mu,\text{NP}}$, with $C_9^{\mu,\text{NP}} \sim -12\% \times C_9^{\mu,\text{SM}}$.

In both cases, the rest of operators involving muons are perfectly compatible with the SM expectations. Similarly, no NP is required for operators involving electrons or tau leptons.

¹When referring to operators involving a particular lepton flavor, we will denote it with a superscript, e.g. C_9^μ and $\mathcal{O}_9^\mu$, for muons.

B. Model building requirements

Once determined the type of contributions to C_9 and C_{10} a NP model has to induce, one can figure out the main ingredients of a simple working model. Arguably, the simplest one contains the following elements:

- (i) A massive Z' boson, responsible for the vectorial operators $\mathcal{O}_9$ and $\mathcal{O}_{10}$
 - (ii) The Z' must have flavor violating couplings to quarks
 - (iii) The Z' must couple differently to electrons and muons
- This setup can be easily parametrized by the Lagrangian [17,37]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{f}_i \gamma^\mu (\Delta_L^{fif_j} P_L + \Delta_R^{fif_j} P_R) f_j Z'_\mu. \quad (7)$$

In order to account for the anomalies, one requires $\Delta_L^{bs} \neq 0$ and either (1) $\Delta_L^{\mu\mu} = \Delta_R^{\mu\mu} \neq 0$, or (2) $\Delta_L^{\mu\mu} \neq 0$ and $\Delta_R^{\mu\mu} = 0$, depending on the scenario one wants to consider. The rest of the Z' couplings to SM fermions can be set to zero. As we will see in Sec. III, the model we are going to consider belongs to scenario (2). In this case, the quark and lepton currents contributing to $\mathcal{O}_9$ and $\mathcal{O}_{10}$ are both left handed and one finds at tree level [17]

$$C_9^{\mu,\text{NP}} = -C_{10}^{\mu,\text{NP}} = -\frac{\Delta_L^{bs} \Delta_L^{\mu\mu}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \left(\frac{\Lambda_v}{m_{Z'}} \right)^2, \quad (8)$$

with

$$\Lambda_v = \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2} G_F \alpha} \right)^{1/2} \simeq 4.94 \text{ TeV}, \quad (9)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2}$ is the fine structure constant. Note that Λ_v and the CKM elements appear in Eq. (8) in order to normalize the Wilson coefficients as defined in Eqs. (3) and (4).

We now introduce a complete renormalizable model with these properties.

III. THE MODEL

We extend the SM gauge group with a new dark $U(1)_X$ factor, under which all the SM particles are assumed to be singlets. The only particles charged under the $U(1)_X$ group are the following vectorlike fermions,

$$Q_L = \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, \frac{1}{6}, 2 \right), \quad Q_R = \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, \frac{1}{6}, 2 \right), \quad (10)$$

$$L_L = \left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right), \quad L_R = \left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right), \quad (11)$$

as well as the complex scalar fields

$$\phi = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0, 2), \quad \chi = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0, -1), \quad (12)$$

where we denote the gauge charges under $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_X$ and the $SU(2)_L$ doublets can be decomposed as $Q_{L,R} = (U, D)_{L,R}$ and $L_{L,R} = (N, E)_{L,R}$.

Besides canonical kinetic terms, the new vectorlike fermions have Dirac mass terms,

$$\mathcal{L}_m = m_Q \bar{Q} Q + m_L \bar{L} L, \quad (13)$$

as well as Yukawa couplings with the SM fermions

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \lambda_Q \bar{Q}_R \phi q_L + \lambda_L \bar{L}_R \phi \ell_L + \text{H.c.}, \quad (14)$$

where λ_Q and λ_L are 3 component vectors. The scalar potential takes the form

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{\text{SM}} + \mathcal{V}(H, \phi, \chi) + \mathcal{V}(\phi, \chi). \quad (15)$$

Here H is the SM Higgs doublet and $\mathcal{V}_{\text{SM}} = m_H^2 |H|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |H|^4$ is the SM scalar potential. The pieces involving the $U(1)_X$ charged scalars are

$$\mathcal{V}(H, \phi, \chi) = \lambda_{H\phi} |H|^2 |\phi|^2 + \lambda_{H\chi} |H|^2 |\chi|^2 \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\phi, \chi) = & m_\phi^2 |\phi|^2 + m_\chi^2 |\chi|^2 + \frac{\lambda_\phi}{2} |\phi|^4 + \frac{\lambda_\chi}{2} |\chi|^4 \\ & + \lambda_{\phi\chi} |\phi|^2 |\chi|^2 + (\mu \phi \chi^2 + \text{H.c.}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We will assume that the scalar potential is such that only the standard Higgs boson and the ϕ field acquire nonzero vacuum expectation values (VEVs),

$$\langle H^0 \rangle = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle \phi \rangle = \frac{v_\phi}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, the ϕ field will be responsible for the spontaneous breaking of $U(1)_X$, giving a mass to the Z' , $m_{Z'} = 2g_X v_\phi$, where g_X is the $U(1)_X$ gauge coupling, and inducing mixings between the vectorlike fermions and their SM counterparts thanks to the Yukawa interactions in Eq. (14). Furthermore, after spontaneous symmetry breaking, the resulting Lagrangian contains a remnant $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, under which χ is odd and all the other fields are even. Therefore, χ is a stable neutral scalar, and thus a potentially valid DM candidate. It is worth noting that the mechanism to stabilize the DM particle does not introduce additional *ad hoc* symmetries, but simply makes use of the same $U(1)_X$ symmetry that is required in order to give an explanation to the LHCb observations. This goal has been achieved by breaking the continuous $U(1)_X$ symmetry to a remnant $\mathbb{Z}_2$, something that can be easily accomplished with a proper choice of $U(1)_X$ charges [38–40].

Before concluding this section we must comment on $U(1)$ mixing. It is well known that nothing prevents $U(1)$ factors from mixing. In the model under consideration, this would be given by the Lagrangian term [41]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \varepsilon F_{\mu\nu}^Y F_X^{\mu\nu}, \quad (19)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^{X,Y}$ are the usual field strength tensors for the $U(1)_{X,Y}$ groups. In the presence of a nonzero ε coupling, kinetic mixing between the $U(1)_X$ and $U(1)_Y$ gauge bosons is induced. As a consequence of this, the physical Z' boson would couple to all particles that carry hypercharge, this is, to all the SM fermions. This would lead to phenomenological problems since couplings to the first generation are strongly constrained. Therefore, we will assume that the tree-level ε coupling vanishes. This is easily justified in our model because this term is not induced via renormalization group running if it is zero at some high-energy scale (where one may speculate about an ultraviolet completion). The reason is our choice of the $U(1)_X$ charges [42]. In addition, we must keep the 1-loop induced ε coupling, generated in loops including heavy vectorlike quarks and leptons, under control. We find

$$\varepsilon_{1\text{-loop}} \propto \frac{g_1 g_X}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{m_Q}{m_L}\right). \quad (20)$$

Therefore, $m_Q \sim m_L$ would ensure small ε couplings, while allowing large g_X . Something required by DM constraints (see Sec. IV).

A. Solving the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies

This model solves the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies in a similar fashion as the one in Ref. [33]. The Z' couplings to the SM fermions are generated after their mixing with the corresponding vectorlike quarks and leptons, as shown in Fig. 1. Neglecting $m_{s,b}^2 \ll m_Q^2$ and $m_\mu^2 \ll m_L^2$, the resulting Z' couplings are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_L^{bs} &= \frac{2g_X \lambda_Q^b \lambda_Q^{s*} v_\phi^2}{2m_Q^2 + (|\lambda_Q^s|^2 + |\lambda_Q^b|^2) v_\phi^2}, \\ \Delta_L^{\mu\mu} &= \frac{2g_X |\lambda_L^\mu|^2 v_\phi^2}{2m_L^2 + |\lambda_L^\mu|^2 v_\phi^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In case $\lambda_Q^{s,b} \ll 1$, the Z' coupling to a pair of SM quarks can be further approximated to $\Delta_L^{bs} \simeq g_X v_\phi^2 \frac{\lambda_Q^{b*} \lambda_Q^s}{m_Q^2}$. These results can be combined with Eq. (8) in order to determine the

FIG. 1. Generation of $\mathcal{O}_9$ and $\mathcal{O}_{10}$ in our model.

FIG. 2. Nontrivial neutrino mass generation in our setup. S is a new scalar field with $U(1)_X$ charge -4 , necessary in order to make the operator gauge invariant.

allowed ranges for the model parameters that explain the $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies found by LHCb.

B. Neutrino masses

We can further extend the model to get nonzero masses for the SM neutrinos. This can be done trivially by adding new particles, singlets under $U(1)_X$, which mediate the standard mechanisms. For example, the addition of right-handed neutrino singlets, $\nu_R = (1, 1, 0, 0)$, allows for the usual type-I seesaw mechanism.

It is, however, more interesting to consider a mechanism that involves the $U(1)_X$ sector of the model. This can be done by means of the effective operator

$$\mathcal{O}_\nu = \frac{1}{\Lambda_\nu^5} \ell \ell H H \phi \phi S, \quad (22)$$

as shown in Fig. 2. Here S is a new scalar field with $U(1)_X$ charge $q_S = -4$, necessary in order to make the operator gauge invariant. An example model that can serve as ultraviolet completion of $\mathcal{O}_\nu$ is obtained with the addition of the scalar $S = (1, 1, 0, -4)$, together with a vectorlike (Dirac) fermion $F = (1, 1, 0, 2)$. This allows for the Yukawa couplings $\lambda_S S \bar{F}^c F$ and $y \bar{L} H F$, which lead to $\mathcal{O}_\nu$ after integrating out F and L . Although S must get a nonzero VEV in order to break lepton number and generate neutrino masses, we note that our choice $q_S = -4$ guarantees that the remnant $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry that stabilizes the DM particle χ is preserved.

IV. DARK MATTER PHENOMENOLOGY

At high temperatures χ attains thermal equilibrium in the heat bath through its reactions with the different degrees of freedom to which it couples. At decoupling, the dominant processes determining the DM yield are the following $2 \leftrightarrow 2$ reactions²:

²Note that since $m_{Z'} > m_\chi$, $Z' \rightarrow \chi \chi^*$ plays a subdominant role.

- (i) $HH^\dagger \leftrightarrow \chi\chi^*$ (Higgs portal): processes enabled by the $\lambda_{H\chi}|H|^2|\chi|^2$ coupling.
- (ii) $\bar{F}F \leftrightarrow \chi\chi^*$, with F standing for the SM and the new vectorlike quarks and leptons (Z' portal): the former enabled by $F_{\text{SM}} - F$ mixing and $U(1)_X$ coupling, while the latter solely by g_X .

For very heavy DM with $m_\chi > m_{Z'}$, also $Z'Z' \leftrightarrow \chi\chi^*$ can take place. Depending on the relative size of these processes (pure scalar and Z' -mediated) one can then distinguish several scenarios. Among them those solely involving Z' -mediated processes, are—arguably—expected to be dominant (they are driven by a gauge coupling). Interestingly enough, in that case a clear correlation with flavor physics must exist. Note that these processes match those in Fig. 1 if one trades one of the fermion pairs for $\chi\chi^*$. Therefore, under the fairly reasonable assumption that the Z' -mediated processes play a dominant role, an interplay between flavor and DM physics is possible establishing. Thus, in turn, further constraining the flavor-transition parameters through the restrictions imposed by the condition of generating the correct DM relic density (see the useful Ref. [43]).³

Although our results rely on MICROMEGAS [46], a simple analytical discussion is worth doing. The cross section for the $\bar{F}F \leftrightarrow \chi\chi^*$ processes can be estimated to be

$$\sigma(s) \sim |\Delta_{L}^{f_i f_j}|^2 g_X^2 \frac{1}{s} \frac{m_{Z'}^4}{(m_{Z'}^2 - s)^2 - m_{Z'}^2 \Gamma_{Z'}^2} f(x_f, x_s), \quad (23)$$

where the $x_i = m_i^2/s$ and $f(x_f, x_s)$ is a kinematic function. Depending on the relative size of m_χ and $m_{Q,L}$ ($r = m_\chi/m_{Q,L}$), one can distinguish two regimes. For $r < 1$, DM annihilation processes involve dominantly SM quarks and leptons (b_L, s_L and μ_L). In that case, however, the corresponding cross sections are suppressed by chiral/vectorlike mixing [see Eq. (21)], annihilation is rather inefficient and therefore leads to an overpopulation of χ scalars. For $r > 1$, processes involving the vectorlike fields are also possible, and since they are not suppressed by mixing parameters they can lead to the appropriate DM relic abundance. Note that if the DM and vectorlike fermion mass splitting is small, then the cross section will be phase space suppressed: $f \rightarrow 0$. In this case, resonant annihilation is needed to efficiently deplete the scalar χ population (see Fig. 3). For large mass splittings, in contrast, annihilation is very efficient, and so the correct relic density can be readily obtained. However, reconciling this “scenario” with flavor constraints turns out to be tricky. Avoiding the resonance requires $m_{Z'} > m_\chi$, which means $m_{Z'} \gg m_{L,Q}$, and a large g_X close to 1. In that limit,

³Further constraints such as direct/indirect detection and collider searches might be also relevant, see [44,45].

FIG. 3 (color online). Contours for constant $C_9^{\text{NP}}/C_9^{\text{SM}}$ and $\log(\Omega_{\text{DM}} h^2)$ (dashed black) in the $(g_X, m_{Z'})$ plane. For $C_9^{\text{NP}}/C_9^{\text{SM}}$ we show the full 1-loop results via the black lines, while the dotted grey lines give the values using the tree-level approximation only.

$$C_9^{\text{NP}} \sim -\frac{\Lambda_v^2}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \frac{\lambda_Q^b \lambda_Q^s}{2m_Q^2}, \quad (24)$$

which for typical vectorlike quark masses, $m_Q \sim \text{TeV}$, implies large chiral/vectorlike quark mixing ($\lambda_Q^{b,s} \sim 0.05$ couplings) in order to be compatible with the LHCb observations. This, however, is in clear tension with quark flavor constraints (see next section).

In summary, the correct DM relic density can be easily produced within our setup provided $r \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and DM annihilation proceeds resonantly. Resonant annihilation can be avoided for $r \gg 1$, but finding spots in parameter space consistent with both DM and flavor constraints seems challenging. The right relic density might as well be produced when $r < 1$, but probably this would require being sharply at the Z' resonance.

V. CONSTRAINTS

There are constraints on the mass of additional gauge bosons coupled to SM states. The ballpark of these limits is about 2.5–3.0 TeV for $U(1)$ extensions which predict a coupling of the Z' to light quarks of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ [47]. However, in our model these couplings are suppressed by the small mixing between the SM quarks and the vectorlike states. Thus, we nearly get any constraint on the mass of the Z' from LHC searches. In addition, we are going to assume in the lepton sector only a sizable mixing for muons with the new states. Therefore, we get also hardly any limit on $m_{Z'}$ from LEP searches.

Thus, we can safely assume in the following that the Z' couplings to the first generation of SM fermions can be neglected and evade all LEP and LHC limits. Similarly, Z' contributions to flavor observables related to the first generation can be safely neglected. Let us now review several relevant constraints in our model.

A. Collider constraints

The masses of the vectorlike quarks have strong bounds from the LHC. Being colored particles, vectorlike quarks can be efficiently produced in pp collisions, which typically pushes their masses towards the TeV scale, see [48] and references therein. Since our setup works with vectorlike quarks with masses at or above the TeV scale, these bounds are easily satisfied.

Vectorlike leptons can be searched for at the LHC in the standard multilepton channels. Using the CMS analysis in [49], based on searches for final states including 3 or more leptons with an integrated luminosity of about 19.5 fb^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$, Ref. [50] obtained a lower limit on the mass of doublet vectorlike leptons of about 460 GeV, in case they decay to electrons or muons, and about 280 GeV, in case they decay to tau leptons. More recently, Ref. [51] considered the analogous ATLAS multilepton search [52], with 20.3 fb^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$, also looking for final states with 3 or more leptons. The results were similar to the ones derived from the CMS analysis, with lower limits on the mass of doublet vectorlike leptons of about $\sim 500 \text{ GeV}$.

Finally, one can also derive limits from $Z \rightarrow 4\ell$ searches at the LHC. However, according to [33], these are rather mild, only relevant for Z' masses below 100 GeV.

B. Quark flavor constraints

Contrary to the model in [33], where the muonic current contributing to the $b \rightarrow s$ observables is purely vectorial ($\bar{\ell}\gamma_\alpha\ell$), in our model the current is left handed and thus contains an axial-vector contribution as well ($\bar{\ell}\gamma_\alpha\gamma_5\ell$). This is relevant for the $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay, especially sensitive to axial-vector currents. Currently, there is a little tension between the SM prediction for the average time-integrated branching ratio, $\bar{\text{BR}}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$, and the CMS and LHCb measurements. While the SM prediction is found to be $\bar{\text{BR}}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{SM}} = (3.65 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}$ [53], the combination of the CMS and LHCb measurements leads to $\bar{\text{BR}}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{exp}} = (2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$ [54]. In view of this little deficit, the new Z' mediated contribution might be potentially welcome.

The $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay is known for its reach in probing new physics scenarios (see for example the recent papers [55–59]). In the SM, the amplitude is dominated by the axial-vector leptonic current, and thus by the C_{10}^μ Wilson coefficient ($C_{10}^{\mu,\text{SM}}$). Similarly, in the model under consideration, the main NP contribution is given by a modification of C_{10}^μ . We note that vector contributions vanish for

lepton flavor conserving channels. Therefore, our prediction for the average time-integrated branching ratio is simply given by

$$\bar{\text{BR}}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = \left| \frac{C_{10}^{\mu,\text{SM}} + C_{10}^{\mu,\text{NP}}}{C_{10}^{\mu,\text{SM}}} \right|^2 \bar{\text{BR}}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{SM}}. \quad (25)$$

Nevertheless, the large experimental error in $\bar{\text{BR}}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ precludes us from obtaining useful bounds. Using the SM and the experimental average time-integrated branching ratios given above, one finds $-0.25 < C_{10}^{\mu,\text{NP}}/C_{10}^{\mu,\text{SM}} < 0.03$ (at the 1σ level). By combining $C_{10}^{\mu,\text{NP}} = -C_9^{\mu,\text{NP}}$ with the SM values for C_9^μ and C_{10}^μ , one finds that in our setup $C_{10}^{\mu,\text{NP}}/C_{10}^{\mu,\text{SM}} \sim 0.06$, which is slightly above the 1σ limit, and thus perfectly compatible with the experimental measurement of $\bar{\text{BR}}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ at the 2σ level.

Another relevant flavor constraint comes from $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing, induced at tree level by Z' exchange as soon as a nonzero Δ_L^{bs} coupling is considered. Allowing for a 10% deviation from the SM expectation in the mixing amplitude, $|M_{12}/M_{12}^{\text{SM}} - 1| < 0.1$, one finds [17]

$$\frac{m_{Z'}}{|\Delta_L^{bs}|} \gtrsim 244 \text{ TeV}. \quad (26)$$

C. Lepton flavor constraints

In principle, the mixings with the vectorlike leptons can induce lepton flavor violating (LFV) processes of the type $\ell_\alpha \rightarrow \ell_\beta \ell_\gamma$, mediated by the Z' boson. However, one can suppress them with a proper parameter choice. Since all $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies can be simultaneously explained with (only) new contributions to $\mathcal{O}_9^\mu$, $\lambda_L^\mu \neq 0$ is required, but one can choose $\lambda_L^{e,\tau} = 0$. This would eliminate the coupling of the Z' boson to electrons and tau leptons, and thus all LFV processes mediated by the Z' boson. This includes LFV in B decays, recently suggested in [60] and further studied in [31,61–63].

Besides the anomalies in $b \rightarrow s$ transitions, the LHC might have found additional hints for new physics. Recently, the CMS Collaboration found a 2.4σ excess in the $h \rightarrow \tau\mu$ channel which translates into $\text{BR}(h \rightarrow \tau\mu) = (0.84_{-0.37}^{+0.39})\%$ [64]. For this result, the collaboration made use of the 2012 data set taken at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with an integrated luminosity of 19.7 fb^{-1} . This large Higgs LFV branching ratio cannot be accommodated in our setup, since vectorlike leptons are known to be unable to reach such LFV rates in Higgs decays due to limits from the radiative $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ decay [50]. However, as suggested in [65,66] and recently confirmed in several works [34,67–69], a simple extension with a second Higgs doublet suffices to explain the CMS hint.

Finally, we comment on limits from the (former) non-observation of lepton universality violation. Indeed, very strong bounds have been derived in many scenarios due to the nonobservation of lepton universality violating effects in, for example, pion and kaon decays (see for example [70–72]). However, these are absent in our model due to the suppression of the vectorlike and first generation SM quark mixing.

D. Precision measurements

There are several precision measurements in the lepton sector which might be potentially sensitive to the Z' interactions considered in this model.

First of all, there is the so-called *neutrino trident production* [73]. This is the production of a muon antimuon pair by scattering of muon neutrinos in the Coulomb field of a target nucleus. The cross section gets additional contributions in the presence of a new Z' boson that couples to the muons, and these can be used to constrain $\Delta_L^{\mu\mu}$ and $m_{Z'}$. The impact of this bound on Z' models designed to solve the $b \rightarrow s$ transitions was studied in [33,34]. Using the CCRF measurement of the trident cross section [74], one can derive bounds on the mass of the Z' boson and its coupling to muons. For scenarios with left-handed Z' coupling to muons, one finds [17]

$$\frac{m_{Z'}}{|\Delta_L^{\mu\mu}|} \gtrsim 470 \text{ GeV}. \quad (27)$$

Finally, there are two other constraints related to precision measurements in leptonic observables: $(g-2)_\mu$ and the Z boson couplings to the muon (which are modified at the 1-loop level due to the Z' interactions). However, in [33] these are found to be relatively mild. For this reason we will not consider them in our numerical analysis.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For the numerical analysis we have implemented the model into the Mathematica package SARAH [75–79] and generated Fortran code to link this model to SPHENO [80,81]. The big advantage of this setup is that we can cross-check our tree-level approximations with a full numerical calculation. Moreover, based on the FLAVORKIT interface [82], the generated SPHENO version does not only calculate the tree-level contributions to the Wilson coefficients but also all 1-loop corrections. This way, we can see in what parameter range the tree-level approximation works well and where it breaks down. In general, one can expect loop effects to become important when the mixing between SM quarks and the vectorlike states is sizable: this leads to significant changes in the SM-like box and penguin contributions to C_9 and C_{10} . This alone would not be necessarily a problem. However, the same mixing influences also loop contributions to the other Wilson coefficients, in particular C_7 and C_8 . These effects have to be small in order to satisfy the results of global fits

FIG. 4 (color online). Contours for constant $C_9^{\text{NP}}/C_9^{\text{SM}}$ (full black lines) and $C_7^{\text{NP}}/C_7^{\text{SM}}$ (dotted grey lines) in the $(g_X, m_{Z'})$ plane.

to $b \rightarrow s$ data. As second step, we used the CALCHEP [83,84] output of SARAH to implement the model in MICRMEGAS to calculate the relic density. Interfacing the parameter values between SPHENO and MICRMEGAS is done via the SLHA+ functionality of CALCHEP [85].

Our main numerical results are summarized in Figs. 3 and 4. In Fig. 3 we show the dark matter relic density in the $(g_X, m_{Z'})$ plane, together with the ratio $C_9^{\text{NP}}/C_9^{\text{SM}}$. The other important parameters entering this calculation have been fixed to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_Q^b = \lambda_Q^s = 0.025, \quad \lambda_L^\mu = 0.5 \\ m_Q = m_L = 1 \text{ TeV}, \quad m_\chi^2 = 1 \text{ TeV}^2. \end{aligned}$$

First of all, we see that with these parameters the model is perfectly compatible with the constraints discussed in Sec. V. Furthermore, there is a region for moderately large $g_X \approx 0.3$ where the DM constraint can be satisfied and $C_9^{\text{NP}} = -12\% \times C_9^{\text{SM}}$ holds. To reduce the relic density to $\Omega h^2 \approx 0.1$ one has to be rather close the Z' resonance around 2 TeV. In the same figure we show also C_9^{NP} when using the tree-level approximation only. In the interesting region where the flavor anomalies can be explained, this approximation is working quite well. However, for decreasing g_X one would expect smaller values for C_9^{NP} at tree level, while we find a large increment. The reason is that for constant $m_{Z'}$ and smaller g_X , v_ϕ is increasing, leading to a larger mixing in the quark sector with the vectorlike states. Thus, loop effects become important and quickly dominate the behavior. These effects are not only expected to show up in C_9^{NP} but also in the other Wilson coefficients as stated above. To demonstrate this, we plot in Fig. 4 the contours for $C_9^{\text{NP}}/C_9^{\text{SM}}$ and $C_7^{\text{NP}}/C_7^{\text{SM}}$ in the same plane. Obviously, we find a similar enhancement for small g_X for both

FIG. 5 (color online). Running of λ_Q^1 for several parameter choices: $g_X = 0.2$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ (blue), $g_X = 0.1$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ (red dashed), $g_X = 0.2$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ (black dotted) and $g_X = 0.2$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 10^{-3}$ (dash-dotted purple). λ_Q^1 has been set to zero at $m_{\text{high}} = 10^{16}$ GeV.

coefficients. However, for the most interesting region where tree-level contributions to C_9^{NP} dominate and can explain the anomalies, the change in C_7 is very moderate: $C_7^{\text{NP}}/C_7^{\text{SM}} < 1\%$.

Before concluding, let us comment on the stability of our parameter choice. In order to simplify our analysis we have assumed that the Z' couplings to the first generation of SM quarks vanish. This can be accomplished by setting $\lambda_Q^1 = 0$. However, this parameter choice is not protected by any symmetry and thus is not stable under radiative corrections. In fact, even if the equality $\lambda_Q^1 = 0$ is assumed to hold at some arbitrary high-energy scale, the CKM mixing in the quark sector induces a nonzero value at low energies due to renormalization group equation running. This is illustrated in Fig. 5, where we show λ_Q^1 as a function of the energy scale, μ , for several parameter choices: (i) $g_X = 0.2$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 3 \times 10^{-3}$, (ii) $g_X = 0.1$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 3 \times 10^{-3}$, (iii) $g_X = 0.2$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$, and (iv) $g_X = 0.2$ and $\lambda_Q^2 = \lambda_Q^3 = 10^{-3}$. These are shown in blue, dashed red, dotted black and dash-dotted purple lines, respectively. Moreover, λ_Q^1 has been assumed to vanish at $m_{\text{high}} = 10^{16}$ GeV. We observe that nonzero λ_Q^1 's are indeed obtained at $\mu = 1$ TeV, with values up to a few $\times 10^{-6}$. These small values are compatible with all constraints derived from observables associated with the first generation of quarks. We have checked explicitly that similar results are obtained for other parameter configurations. This leads us to the conclusion that radiative corrections to λ_Q^1 are harmless.

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have presented a DM model that successfully addresses the anomalies in $b \rightarrow s$ transitions recently found

by the LHCb Collaboration. In our setup, B mesons decay through the Z' into the dark sector, which mixes with the SM via Yukawa couplings. An interesting connection between DM and flavor physics emerges, leading to a very constrained scenario where the NP masses and parameters are restricted to lie in thin regions of the full parameter space.

The model offers several interesting phenomenological possibilities. Due to the mixing between the SM muons and the vectorlike lepton doublet, our model predicts a reduction of the $h - \mu - \mu$ coupling, $g_{h\mu\mu}$, with respect to the SM prediction. The most direct probe of this coupling is, of course, the Higgs boson decay into a pair of muons, $h \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, experimentally very challenging in a hadron collider due to the expected low branching ratio ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ in the SM). In 2013, the ATLAS Collaboration presented results based on an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb^{-1} in collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, without any evidence of a signal [86]. For $m_h = 125$ GeV, they obtained an upper limit on the $\mu\mu$ signal strength, $\mu_{\mu\mu} < 9.8$ (at 95% C.L.). Assuming a SM-like Higgs boson production, this limit translates into $g_{h\mu\mu} \lesssim 3.1 g_{h\mu\mu}^{\text{SM}}$. Obviously, our model is well within the limit, since it predicts a reduction of the coupling. Nevertheless, it is worth pointing out that a precise determination of this coupling in a future linear collider would be a strong test of the model.⁴

Let us also comment on the mixings between the vectorlike fermions and the SM ones. These are determined by free Yukawa parameters which, in principle, are naturally expected to be of the same order for all SM generations. However, in order to avoid conflict with existing experimental data, one is forced to strongly suppress the mixings with the first generation, implying $\lambda_Q^d, \lambda_L^e \ll \lambda_Q^{s,b}, \lambda_L^{\mu,\tau}$. This might seem like an *ad hoc* assumption. However, it just reflects the *constant* need for a theory of flavor, also required to understand the Yukawa structure of the SM.⁵

Finally, one can envisage several phenomenological directions in which this model can be further explored. By allowing for nonzero couplings to first generation quarks and leptons, the impact of the model gets extended to many additional observables of interest. In particular, the LHC would be able to probe the parameter space of the model via the production of the Z' boson. Furthermore, since the scalar DM carries, at early times, a conserved $U(1)_X$ charge it will develop an asymmetry, provided chemical equilibrium with the thermal bath is guaranteed. In such a case (asymmetric DM scenario [88]), a link

⁴According to [87], CLIC would be able to measure the $h - \mu - \mu$ coupling with an uncertainty of about 19%.

⁵We note that although the lepton sector of [33] naturally explains the required hierarchy for the lepton couplings, in the quark sector the situation is exactly the same as in our model.

between the baryon and DM asymmetries is something worth exploring.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Javier Virto and Jorge Martin Camalich for enlightening discussions. D. A. S. wants to thank Diego Restrepo and Carlos Yaguna for very useful conversations on dark matter. D. A. S. is supported by a “Chargé de

Recherches” contract funded by the Belgian FNRS agency. A. V. acknowledges partial support from the EXPL/FIS-NUC/0460/2013 project financed by the Portuguese FCT.

Note added.—An update of the global fit [17] was recently presented in [89]. While this would change slightly the numerical output of our analysis, our conclusions would remain unchanged.

-
- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Measurement of Form-Factor-Independent Observables in the Decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 191801 (2013).
 - [2] J. Matias, F. Mescia, M. Ramon, and J. Virto, Complete anatomy of $\bar{B}_d \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} (\rightarrow K \pi) l^+ l^-$ and its angular distribution, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2012) 104.
 - [3] S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias, M. Ramon, and J. Virto, Implications from clean observables for the binned analysis of $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ at large recoil, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2013) 048.
 - [4] S. Descotes-Genon, T. Hurth, J. Matias, and J. Virto, Optimizing the basis of $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ observables in the full kinematic range, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2013) 137.
 - [5] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Differential branching fractions and isospin asymmetries of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2014) 133.
 - [6] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Differential branching fraction and angular analysis of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2013) 084.
 - [7] S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias, and J. Virto, Understanding the $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomaly, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 074002 (2013).
 - [8] W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, New physics in $B \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$?, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2646 (2013).
 - [9] F. Beaujean, C. Bobeth, and D. van Dyk, Comprehensive Bayesian analysis of rare (semi)leptonic and radiative B decays, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2897 (2014); **74**, 3179(E) (2014).
 - [10] T. Hurth and F. Mahmoudi, On the LHCb anomaly in $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2014) 097.
 - [11] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Test of Lepton Universality Using $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 151601 (2014).
 - [12] G. Hiller and F. Kruger, More model independent analysis of $b \rightarrow s$ processes, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 074020 (2004).
 - [13] R. Alonso, B. Grinstein, and J. Martin Camalich, $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Gauge Invariance and the Shape of New Physics in Rare B Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 241802 (2014).
 - [14] G. Hiller and M. Schmaltz, R_K and future $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell$ physics beyond the standard model opportunities, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 054014 (2014).
 - [15] D. Ghosh, M. Nardecchia, and S. A. Renner, Hint of lepton flavour non-universality in B meson decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2014) 131.
 - [16] T. Hurth, F. Mahmoudi, and S. Neshatpour, Global fits to $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell$ data and signs for lepton non-universality, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2014) 053.
 - [17] W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, State of new physics in $b \rightarrow s$ transitions, [arXiv:1411.3161](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.3161).
 - [18] C. Langenbruch, in 50th Rencontres de Moriond, March 20, 2015.
 - [19] D. Straub, in 50th Rencontres de Moriond, March 20, 2015.
 - [20] J. Matias, in 50th Rencontres de Moriond, March 20, 2015.
 - [21] S. Jäger and J. Martin Camalich, On $B \rightarrow V \ell \ell$ at small dilepton invariant mass, power corrections, and new physics, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2013) 043.
 - [22] S. Jäger and J. Martin Camalich, Reassessing the discovery potential of the $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays in the large-recoil region: SM challenges and BSM opportunities, [arXiv:1412.3183](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.3183).
 - [23] R. Gauld, F. Goertz, and U. Haisch, On minimal Z' explanations of the $B \rightarrow K \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomaly, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 015005 (2014).
 - [24] A. J. Buras and J. Girrbach, Left-handed Z' and Z FCNC quark couplings facing new $b \rightarrow s \mu^+ \mu^-$ data, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2013) 009.
 - [25] A. J. Buras, J. Girrbach-Noe, C. Niehoff, and D. M. Straub, $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays in the Standard Model and beyond, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2015) 184.
 - [26] R. Gauld, F. Goertz, and U. Haisch, An explicit Z' -boson explanation of the $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomaly, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2014) 069.
 - [27] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, 331 models facing new $b \rightarrow s \mu^+ \mu^-$ data, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2014) 112.
 - [28] A. Datta, M. Duraissamy, and D. Ghosh, Explaining the $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ data with scalar interactions, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 071501 (2014).
 - [29] S. Biswas, D. Chowdhury, S. Han, and S. J. Lee, Explaining the lepton non-universality at the LHCb and CMS within a unified framework, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2015) 142.
 - [30] B. Gripaios, M. Nardecchia, and S. A. Renner, Composite leptoquarks and anomalies in B -meson decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2015) 006.
 - [31] I. d. M. Varzielas and G. Hiller, Clues for flavor from rare lepton and quark decays, [arXiv:1503.01084](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.01084).

- [32] C. Niehoff, P. Stangl, and D. M. Straub, Violation of lepton flavour universality in composite Higgs models, *Phys. Lett. B* **747**, 182 (2015).
- [33] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, M. Pospelov, and I. Yavin, Quark flavor transitions in $L_\mu - L_\tau$ models, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 095033 (2014).
- [34] A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, and J. Heeck, Explaining $h \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$, $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B \rightarrow K \mu^+ \mu^- / B \rightarrow K e^+ e^-$ in a Two-Higgs-Doublet Model with Gauged $L_\mu - L_\tau$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 151801 (2015).
- [35] A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, and J. Heeck, Addressing the LHC flavor anomalies with horizontal gauge symmetries, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 075006 (2015).
- [36] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (Planck Collaboration), Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters, *Astron. Astrophys.* **571**, A16 (2014).
- [37] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, The anatomy of Z' and Z with flavour changing neutral currents in the flavour precision era, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2013) 116.
- [38] L. M. Krauss and F. Wilczek, Discrete Gauge Symmetry in Continuum Theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1221 (1989).
- [39] B. Petersen, M. Ratz, and R. Schieren, Patterns of remnant discrete symmetries, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2009) 111.
- [40] D. A. Sierra, M. Dhen, C. S. Fong, and A. Vicente, Dynamical flavor origin of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetries, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 096004 (2015).
- [41] B. Holdom, Two $U(1)$'s and ϵ charge shifts, *Phys. Lett.* **166B**, 196 (1986).
- [42] R. M. Fonseca, M. Malinský, and F. Staub, Renormalization group equations and matching in a general quantum field theory with kinetic mixing, *Phys. Lett. B* **726**, 882 (2013).
- [43] X. Chu, Y. Mambrini, J. Quevillon, and B. Zaldivar, Thermal and non-thermal production of dark matter via Z' -portal(s), *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **01** (2014) 034.
- [44] A. Alves, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, The dark Z' portal: direct, indirect and collider searches, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2014) 063.
- [45] A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, Dark matter complementarity and the Z' portal, *arXiv:1501.03490*.
- [46] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, micrOMEGAs_3: A program for calculating dark matter observables, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 960 (2014).
- [47] E. Accomando, A. Belyaev, J. Fiaschi, K. Mimasu, S. Moretti, and C. Shepherd-Themistocleous, Forward-backward asymmetry as a discovery tool for Z' bosons at the LHC, *arXiv:1503.02672*.
- [48] D. Barducci, A. Belyaev, M. Buchkremer, G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea, S. De Curtis, J. Marrouche, S. Moretti, and L. Panizzi, Framework for model independent analyses of multiple extra quark scenarios, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2014) 080.
- [49] CMS Collaboration, Technical Report No. CMS-PAS-SUS-13-002, CERN, Geneva, 2013.
- [50] A. Falkowski, D. M. Straub, and A. Vicente, Vectorlike leptons: Higgs decays and collider phenomenology, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2014) 092.
- [51] R. Dermišek, J. P. Hall, E. Lunghi, and S. Shin, Limits on vectorlike leptons from searches for anomalous production of multi-lepton events, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2014) 013.
- [52] ATLAS Collaboration, Report No. ATLAS-CONF-2013-070, CERN, Geneva, 2013.
- [53] C. Bobeth, M. Gorbahn, T. Hermann, M. Misiak, E. Stamou, and M. Steinhauser, $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ in the Standard Model with Reduced Theoretical Uncertainty, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 101801 (2014).
- [54] CMS and LHCb Collaborations, Conference Reports No. CMS-PAS-BPH-13-007 and No. LHCb-CONF-2013-012, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1564324>.
- [55] K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer, R. Kneijens, P. Koppenburg, M. Merk, A. Pellegrino, and N. Tuning, Probing New Physics via the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ Effective Lifetime, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 041801 (2012).
- [56] A. J. Buras, R. Fleischer, J. Girrbach, and R. Kneijens, Probing new physics with the $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ time-dependent rate, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2013) 77.
- [57] G. Yeghiyan, New physics contribution to $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ within R-parity violating supersymmetric models, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 034020 (2013).
- [58] D. Becirevic, N. Kosnik, F. Mescia, and E. Schneider, Complementarity of the constraints on new physics from $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and from $B \rightarrow K \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 034034 (2012).
- [59] H. K. Dreiner, K. Nickel, and F. Staub, $B_{s,d}^0 \rightarrow \mu \bar{\mu}$ and $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ in the R-parity violating MSSM, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 115001 (2013).
- [60] S. L. Glashow, D. Guadagnoli, and K. Lane, Lepton Flavor Violation in B Decays?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 091801 (2015).
- [61] B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, D. London, and S. Shivashankara, Simultaneous explanation of the R_K and $R(D^{(*)})$ puzzles, *Phys. Lett. B* **742**, 370 (2015).
- [62] S. Sahoo and R. Mohanta, Scalar leptoquarks and the rare B meson decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 094019 (2015).
- [63] S. M. Boucenna, J. W. F. Valle, and A. Vicente, Are the B decay anomalies related to neutrino oscillations?, *arXiv:1503.07099*.
- [64] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for lepton-flavour-violating decays of the Higgs boson, *arXiv:1502.07400*.
- [65] S. Davidson and G. J. Grenier, Lepton flavour violating Higgs and $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 095016 (2010).
- [66] J. Kopp and M. Nardecchia, Flavor and CP violation in Higgs decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2014) 156.
- [67] D. Aristizabal Sierra and A. Vicente, Explaining the CMS Higgs flavor violating decay excess, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 115004 (2014).
- [68] J. Heeck, M. Holthausen, W. Rodejohann, and Y. Shimizu, Higgs $\rightarrow \mu \tau$ in Abelian and non-Abelian flavor symmetry models, *Nucl. Phys. B* **896**, 281 (2015).
- [69] I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, A. Greljo, J. F. Kamenik, N. Kosnik, and I. Nisandžić, New physics models facing lepton flavor violating Higgs decays at the percent level, *arXiv:1502.07784*.
- [70] A. Abada, D. Das, A. M. Teixeira, A. Vicente, and C. Weiland, Tree-level lepton universality violation in the presence of sterile neutrinos: Impact for R_K and R_π , *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2013) 048.

- [71] A. Abada, A. M. Teixeira, A. Vicente, and C. Weiland, Sterile neutrinos in leptonic and semileptonic decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2014) 091.
- [72] T. Asaka, S. Eijima, and K. Takeda, Lepton Universality in the ν MSM, *Phys. Lett. B* **742**, 303 (2015).
- [73] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, M. Pospelov, and I. Yavin, Neutrino Trident Production: A Powerful Probe of New Physics with Neutrino Beams, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 091801 (2014).
- [74] S. R. Mishra *et al.* (CCFR Collaboration), Neutrino Tridents and $W - Z$ Interference, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 3117 (1991).
- [75] F. Staub, SARAH, [arXiv:0806.0538](#).
- [76] F. Staub, From superpotential to model files for FeynArts and CalcHep/CompHep, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181**, 1077 (2010).
- [77] F. Staub, Automatic calculation of supersymmetric renormalization group equations and self energies, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182**, 808 (2011).
- [78] F. Staub, SARAH 3.2: Dirac gauginos, UFO output, and more, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 1792 (2013).
- [79] F. Staub, SARAH 4: A tool for (not only SUSY) model builders, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 1773 (2014).
- [80] W. Porod, SPheno, a program for calculating supersymmetric spectra, SUSY particle decays and SUSY particle production at $e + e -$ colliders, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **153**, 275 (2003).
- [81] W. Porod and F. Staub, SPheno 3.1: Extensions including flavour, CP -phases and models beyond the MSSM, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183**, 2458 (2012).
- [82] W. Porod, F. Staub, and A. Vicente, A flavor kit for BSM models, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2992 (2014).
- [83] E. E. Boos, M. N. Dubinin, V. A. Ilyin, A. E. Pukhov, and V. I. Savrin, CompHEP: Specialized package for automatic calculations of elementary particle decays and collisions, [arXiv:hep-ph/9503280](#).
- [84] A. Pukhov, CalcHEP 2.3: MSSM, structure functions, event generation, batchs, and generation of matrix elements for other packages, [arXiv:hep-ph/0412191](#).
- [85] G. Belanger, N. D. Christensen, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, SLHApplus: a library for implementing extensions of the standard model, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182**, 763 (2011).
- [86] ATLAS Collaboration, Reports No. ATLAS-CONF-2013-010 and No. ATLAS-COM-CONF-2013-003.
- [87] G. Milutinović-Dumbelović, I. Božović-Jelisavčić, C. Grefe, S. Lukić, M. Pandurović, and P. Roloff, Physics potential for the measurement of $\sigma(H\nu\nu) \times \text{BR}(H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ at a 1.4 TeV CLIC collider, [arXiv:1412.5791](#).
- [88] S. M. Boucenna and S. Morisi, Theories relating baryon asymmetry and dark matter: A mini review, *Front. Phys.* **1**, 33 (2014).
- [89] W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, Implications of $b \rightarrow s$ measurements, [arXiv:1503.06199](#).