Lett. 35, 1740 (1975). In any case, we must expect the $\mathcal{O}_{10}$ to be very small, smaller than $\mathcal{O}_{00}$.

¹⁷A recent detailed discussion of the WKB approximation is given in A. B. Migdal and V. P. Krainov, *Approximation Methods of Quantum Mechanics* (Neo Press, Ann Arbor, 1968), Chap. III.

¹⁸For the square-well potential ($\gamma_s \rightarrow \infty$), the quantization rule is altered: $\frac{3}{4} \rightarrow 1$ on the right-hand side of Eq.

(2.13). This is so because the potential can no longer be considered slowly varying at the turning point.

¹⁹ $E_{\text{exact}} > E_{\text{WKB}}$.

²⁰We thank L. Madansky for making this point.

²¹H. Harari, Phys. Lett. 64B, 469 (1976).

²²W. Celmaster, Harvard Univ. report, 1976 (unpublished).

²³G. Feldman and T. Fulton, Phys. Lett. 77B, 89 (1978).

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 19, NUMBER 1

1 JANUARY 1979

Erratum

Erratum: Flavor and baryon quantum numbers and their nondiffractive renormalizations of the Pomeron

[Phys. Rev. D 18, 303 (1978)]

Jan W. Dash, S. T. Jones, and E. K. Manesis

The following should be added to the Acknowledgments section of this paper: "S.T.J. wishes to acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY77-05300."