Searching for muonic forces with the ATLAS detector
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The LHC copiously produces muons via different processes, and the muon sample will be large at the high-luminosity LHC (HL-LHC). In this work we propose to leverage this large muon sample and utilize the HL-LHC as a muon fixed-target experiment, with the ATLAS calorimeter as the target. We consider a novel analysis for the ATLAS detector, which takes advantage of the two independent muon momentum measurements by the inner detector and the muon system. We show that a comparison of the two measurements, before and after the calorimeters, can probe new force carriers that are coupled to muons and escape detection. The proposed analysis, based on muon samples from W and Z decays only, has a comparable reach to other proposals. In particular, it can explore the part of parameter space that could explain the muon $g-2$ anomaly.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics has been successful in describing the known elementary particles and their interactions and is directly tested by experiments up to the TeV scale. Nevertheless, the SM is not a complete description of nature, and should be augmented by new physics (NP) degrees of freedom which account for neutrino oscillations, dark matter (DM), and the matter/antimatter asymmetry of the Universe.

One possible manifestation of NP are new particles with masses in the MeV-to-GeV range and suppressed couplings to the SM. Such new particles could be part or all of DM, or act as mediators to a dark sector. Muonic force carrier (MFC) mediators, $X$, are particularly interesting. These mediators have flavor-specific couplings [1–4], couple to the SM only through muons and may decay predominantly to DM. MFCs potentially explain inconsistencies in low-energy observations such as the anomalous magnetic dipole moment of the muon [5,6], and the possible anomaly in the measurement of the proton radius in muonic hydrogen [7,8].

Existing constraints on the existence of dark sector mediators are predominantly derived from beam-dump, fixed-target, or collider experiments [9–11]. The constraints are weaker for models where the mediator couplings to electrons or protons are suppressed. Specifically, MFC mediators are only weakly constrained [2]. Models where $m_X > 2m_\mu$ and $X$ dominantly decays back to $\mu^+\mu^-$ are constrained by the BABAR analysis [12]. Data from rare $B$ decays also constrain MFC mediators [13], but with larger model dependency.

Recent studies suggest that MFCs could be searched for in muon-fixed-target experiments [2,14–16], in kaon decays at the NA62 experiment [17] or in Belle II [18]. MFC production in muon-target interactions would register as a momentum difference between the incoming and outgoing muons which is not accounted for by the energy deposition in the (instrumented) target. Such dedicated apparatuses may be available at CERN by running the NA64 experiment with a muon beam [2,19], and at FermiLab, by leveraging the muon beam line of the Muon ($g-2$) experiment [20], and constructing the M$^3$ apparatus [15].

In this article, we propose to utilize the ATLAS detector as a muon fixed-target experiment, which is sensitive to the missing muon momentum signature and therefore probes MFCs. The calorimeters serve as an instrumented target, and the inner detector (ID), and muon system (MS) provide independent muon momentum measurements before and after the target, as illustrated in Fig. 1. It is important that in ATLAS there is no uninstrumented material between the calorimeter and the MS. This ensures that an accurate measurement of the missing muon momentum signature is possible.
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IV. SENSITIVITY ESTIMATION

Next, we estimate the sensitivity of the proposed analysis to probe MFCs, which are described by the interactions in Eq. (1). Throughout we will normalize our projections to the expected integrated luminosity of the HL-LHC, $L_{\text{LHC}}^\text{int} = 3 \, \text{ab}^{-1}$.

A. Muon-target luminosity and MFC production rate

For minimally ionizing particles such as muons, the fixed-target effective luminosity is given by

$$L_{\text{FT}}^\text{int} = N_p \frac{p_T}{A m_0} \Delta x = \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{FT}}^\text{int}}{3 \, \text{ab}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{prod}}^\text{fid}}{\text{nb}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{63}{A} \right) \times \left( \frac{\rho_T}{8.96 \, \text{g/cm}^2} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta x}{253 \, \text{cm}} \right) 65 \, \text{nb}^{-1},$$  

where we have treated the ATLAS calorimeter as a thin target, and assumed a single material composition, with density $\rho_T$, mass number $A$, length $\Delta x$ and $N_p = L_{\text{FT}}^\text{int} \sigma_{\text{prod}}^\text{fid}$ incoming muons. Here, $m_0 = 1.661 \times 10^{-24} \, \text{g}$, and $\sigma_{\text{prod}}^\text{fid}$ is the (process dependent) cross section for muon production at the LHC, within the ATLAS fiducial volume. In this work, we assume a $^{63}_{29}$Cu target, which corresponds to $A = 63$ and $\rho_T = 8.96 \, \text{g/cm}^2$ in Eq. (4). For this analysis, the dominant calorimeter characteristic is the total radiation lengths. Other effects due to the specific detector material are small, and would be taken into account correctly by a full-detector simulation.

We estimate the MFC signal production rate following the schematics in Fig. 1. A muon originating from the IP with momentum $p_{\text{in}}$ and direction $\eta$ interacts at a point $x$ in the material target of length $l_T(\eta)$, and produces a MFC, and an outgoing muon of momentum $p_{\text{out}}$ which travels in an angle $\theta$ relative to the incoming muon direction. The expected number of produced MFCs within the detector acceptance, $A$ (which includes the MFC target interaction) is

$$N_X = L_{\text{FT}}^\text{int} \sigma_f \int d p_{\text{in}} \int d \eta A \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}(p_{\text{in}}, \eta).$$

In addition, below we will be interested in $dN_X / dp$, which is straightforward to derive from Eq. (5). For further details on the $N_X$ estimation see the Supplemental Material [26].

B. Signal yields

We use Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations to estimate the signal yield and its $p_T$ distribution. We consider muons from $Z$ and $W$ decays because they provide high-purity muon samples. In the MC sample, events are selected following the criteria in Ref. [27]. In the selected events, only muons in the barrel (0.1 < $|\eta|$ < 1.05) are used, since the ID momentum resolution in the barrel is better, and the depth of the calorimeter is approximately constant. In addition, we require a weak ID to MS angular matching requirement of $\theta < 0.1$ to account for the loose IP matching of ME muons.

The incoming muon momentum spectrum, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}(p_{\text{in}}) \equiv \int d\eta \mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}(p_{\text{in}}, \eta)$, is obtained from a MadGraph5 v2.6.1 simulation [28,29] of the hard process, with up to two additional jets, and interfaced with Pythia 8.230 [30] for showering and hadronization. We apply the MLM jet-matching scheme [31] to combine the different samples, and we use the Delphes 3.4.1 [32] detector simulation with the standard ATLAS card. The momentum spectrum of the selected muons is taken at the truth level of MC generation and smeared based on the published ATLAS muon momentum resolutions ($\sigma_{\text{in}} = 0.015 \, \text{MeV} + 3 \times 10^{-7} p_{\text{in}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{out}} = 0.05 p_{\text{out}}$, see [25]).

We normalize the muon production rate to match the ATLAS result of $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ [27,33,34], and find

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}}^{Z} \sigma_{\text{ATLAS}}^{Z \rightarrow \mu\mu} = 0.39 \, \text{nb},$$

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}}^{W} \sigma_{\text{ATLAS}}^{W \rightarrow \mu\nu} = 3.5 \, \text{nb},$$

where $\sigma_{\text{ATLAS}}^{Z \rightarrow \mu\mu} (\sigma_{\text{ATLAS}}^{W \rightarrow \mu\nu}) = 0.78 (8.0) \, \text{nb}$ [27], and $\epsilon_{\text{eff}}^{Z}$ ($\epsilon_{\text{eff}}^{W}$) = 0.50 (0.44) is the efficiency factor relating the ATLAS cuts in Ref. [27] with our selection of the barrel as the fiducial volume. The resulting momentum spectra are shown in Fig. 2.

We note that signal muons may not trigger the combined muon high level trigger. However, $Z$ events can be triggered with high efficiency due to the second muon in the event. In the case of $W$ events with a large energy loss to the mediator particle, it may be challenging to trigger with the

---

1The ATLAS calorimeter ranges up to $\Delta x = 144 X_0$, where $X_0 = 1.25$ cm is the radiation length of electrons in iron.

2While the calorimeter targets are comprised of various materials, the variation in the event yield is small, and the effect on the experimental sensitivity is negligible.

3Note that we have assumed that the detector is cylindrically symmetric, however, $\phi$ integration can be straightforwardly incorporated to account for possible inhomogeneities.
single muon trigger by itself or the missing transverse energy (MET) trigger by itself, since the MET at L1 does not take into account the muon momentum. ATLAS has in place trigger tools that allow the collection efficiency to be recovered; in particular, at L1, ATLAS has the L1Topo trigger, where it is possible to lower the $p_T$ thresholds on the MET and the muon in the case of a muon-MET feature. Additionally, in the L1Topo trigger, more complex triggers like an $m_T$ threshold on the MET and the muon in the case of a muon-MET feature. 
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FIG. 2. The differential momentum distributions of muons in our fiducial region, $P_\text{fid}(p_\mu)$, from $Z$ (blue) and $W$ (red) decays. The event selection criteria follow those in [27]. The plots are normalized to 1.

FIG. 3. The $\rho$ distribution, $(1/N_\rho) dN_\rho/d\rho$, for the SM (background) and MFC, i.e., $Y = \text{SM}, S, V$, at different MFC masses.

where $\sigma_1 = 0.035$, $\sigma_2 = 0.057$, $\sigma_3 = 0.15$, $c_1 = 0.75$ and $c_2 = 0.25$. The relative fraction of the third Gaussian is hard to model with the currently available public data. We therefore consider two representative cases

\begin{equation}
    c_3^A = 5 \times 10^{-3}, \quad c_3^B = 0.
\end{equation}

This resolution function includes hard photon radiation and possible subsequent hadronization effects mentioned in [15] as well as catastrophic energy losses. These effects are well modeled in the full-detector simulation used by the ATLAS based on GEANT [42], as validated by dedicated test-beam campaigns, see e.g., [43,44] and in situ [25]. The expected background $\rho$ distributions, $R$, for each of the above cases are plotted in Fig. 3.

In addition to true muons with mismeasured momenta, there can also be backgrounds from charged pion and kaon decays in-flight. If these decays happen in or near the calorimeter, they can mimic the MFC signal. From publicly available information, we expect that the requirement of dimuon invariant mass to be within 5 GeV of the $Z$ mass reduces these backgrounds to $5\times10^5$ of the $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ sample. These backgrounds can be further rejected using an analysis of kink-in-tracks, and calorimeter information. Additionally, since muons from pions decaying in-flight have a minimal momentum fraction of 0.57 of the pion momentum, their contribution at $\rho$ close to −1 is small. We estimate that the in-flight decay background can be rejected to a level between $10^{-7}$ and $10^{-8}$, and it is expected to be subdominant. The $\rho$ distributions and overall normalization for pion and kaon decays can be extracted from a control sample with a same-charge requirement on the tag and probe particles.

D. Reach for MFC

We estimate the sensitivity of the proposed analysis to probe MFCs by a $dN_X/d\rho$ line shape analysis.
From the binned $\rho$ distribution, we construct a likelihood function, $\mathcal{L}(\rho\mid g_X,m_X)$, and assume that the number of observed events is equal to the expected background events per bin. For a given $m_X$, we estimate the expected 95% confidence level upper bound on $g_X$ for each of the above background scenarios and for two cases: (i) muons only from $Z$ decays; and (ii) muons from both $Z$ and $W$ decays.

The projections are plotted in Fig. 4 and are compared to the present bounds from $(g-2)_{\mu}$ [5, 6] and CHARM-II [22, 23] as well as to the projection of $M^3(1)/(M^3(2))$ [15] with $10^{10}(10^{13})\mu$ on-Target, NA62 [17] with $10^{13} K^+$, NA64$_{\mu}$ [16, 19] with $5 \times 10^{12} \mu$ on-Target and Belle II [18] with 50 ab$^{-1}$. Left: vector mediator; right: scalar mediator.

FIG. 4. The projections of the proposed ATLAS fixed-target-like analysis to probe MFC at the HL-LHC comparing to current constraints from $(g-2)_{\mu}$ [5,6] and CHARM-II [22,23] as well as to the projection of $M^3(1)/(M^3(2))$ [15] with $10^{10}(10^{13})\mu$ on-Target, NA62 [17] with $10^{13} K^+$, NA64$_{\mu}$ [16,19] with $5 \times 10^{12} \mu$ on-Target and Belle II [18] with 50 ab$^{-1}$. Left: vector mediator; right: scalar mediator.

From the binned $\rho$ distribution, we construct a likelihood function, $\mathcal{L}(g_X,m_X)$, and assume that the number of observed events is equal to the expected background events per bin. For a given $m_X$, we estimate the expected 95% confidence level upper bound on $g_X$ for each of the above background scenarios and for two cases: (i) muons only from $Z$ decays; and (ii) muons from both $Z$ and $W$ decays.

The projections are plotted in Fig. 4 and are compared to the present bounds from $(g-2)_{\mu}$ [5, 6] and CHARM-II [22, 23] as well as to the projections of $M^3$ [15], NA62 [17], NA64$_{\mu}$ [16, 19] and Belle II [18]. We can see that the reach for $m_X \to 0$ can be at the level of $g_X \sim 10^{-3}$–few $\times 10^{-4}$ (depending on the background model) by using only muons from $Z$. For the case of a combined analysis of $Z$ and $W$ muons, the sensitivity can reach the $g_X \sim 10^{-4}$ level. This part of the parameter space is relevant for the $(g-2)_{\mu}$ anomaly, to thermal freeze-out dark matter scenarios, see e.g., [15], and comparable to other proposals such as $M^3$ phase I. Moreover, in the case that $X$ is a $L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}$ gauge boson, our proposal probes a $m_X-g_X$ parameter space which may contribute to $N_{\text{eff}}$ and possibly reduce the Hubble parameter tension [45]. Finally, it is worth noting that while our sensitivity projections are for the ultimate HL-LHC dataset of 3 ab$^{-1}$, even with the current dataset ($\sim 150$ fb$^{-1}$) or the expected Run-3 dataset ($\sim 300$ fb$^{-1}$), it should already be possible to probe at least a portion of these interesting regions of parameter space.

V. SUMMARY

We propose a search for NP using the large sample of muons produced at LHC collisions, and ATLAS detector as a fixed-target experiment sensitive to missing muon momentum signatures. In the proposed analysis, the calorimeter serves as a target for muons, and the muon momentum measurements before and after it are compared. In principle, this strategy, of utilizing high energy colliders as fixed target in the second production, can be adopted in future experiments.

We focus on the possibility that a muonic force carrier is produced in the muon-target interaction and subsequently escapes the detector or decays invisibly. The detector signature corresponding to this scenario is of an unaccounted loss of muon momentum in the calorimeter. The expected sensitivity of the ATLAS experiment to this signature using muons from $Z$ and $W$ decays is comparable to other proposed experiments, and overlaps the parameter space that can explain the observations in the anomalous magnetic dipole moment of the muon.
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