Production of deuterium, tritium, and ³He in central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A, 30A, 40A, 80A, and 158A GeV at the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron

 T. Anticic,²¹ B. Baatar,⁸ J. Bartke,^{6,*} H. Beck,⁹ L. Betev,¹⁰ H. Białkowska,¹⁸ C. Blume,⁹ B. Boimska,¹⁸ J. Book,⁹ M. Botje,¹ P. Bunčić,¹⁰ P. Christakoglou,¹ P. Chung,¹⁷ O. Chvala,¹⁴ J. G. Cramer,¹⁵ V. Eckardt,¹³ Z. Fodor,⁴ P. Foka,⁷ V. Friese,⁷ M. Gaździcki,^{9,11} K. Grebieszkow,²⁰ C. Höhne,⁷ K. Kadija,²¹ A. Karev,¹⁰ V. I. Kolesnikov,⁸ M. Kowalski,⁶ D. Kresan,⁷ A. Laszlo,⁴ R. Lacey,¹⁷ M. van Leeuwen,¹ M. Maćkowiak-Pawłowska,²⁰ M. Makariev,¹⁶ A. I. Malakhov,⁸ G. L. Melkumov,⁸ M. Mitrovski,⁹ St. Mrówczyński,¹¹ G. Pálla,⁴ A. D. Panagiotou,² D. Prindle,¹⁵ F. Pühlhofer,¹² R. Renfordt,⁹ C. Roland,⁵ G. Roland,⁵ A. Rustamov,⁹ M. Rybczyński,¹¹ A. Rybicki,⁶ A. Sandoval,⁷ N. Schmitz,¹³ T. Schuster,⁹ P. Seyboth,¹³ F. Siklér,⁴ E. Skrzypczak,¹⁹ M. Slodkowski,²⁰ G. Stefanek,¹¹ R. Stock,⁹ H. Ströbele,⁹ T. Susa,²¹ M. Szuba,²⁰ D. Varga,³ M. Vassiliou,² G. I. Veres,⁴ G. Vesztergombi,⁴ D. Vranić,⁷ Z. Włodarczyk,¹¹ and A. Wojtaszek-Szwarc¹¹ (NA49 Collaboration) ¹NIKHEF, Amsterdam, Netherlands ²Department of Physics, University of Athens, Athens, Greece ³Eötvös Loránt University, Budapest, Hungary ⁴*KFKI* Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary ⁵MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA ⁶Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland ⁷Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI), Darmstadt, Germanv ⁸Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ⁹Fachbereich Physik der Universität, Frankfurt, Germany ¹⁰CERN, Geneva, Switzerland ¹¹Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland ¹²Fachbereich Physik der Universität, Marburg, Germany ¹³Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich, Germany ¹⁴Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic ¹⁵Nuclear Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA ¹⁶Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BAS, Sofia, Bulgaria ¹⁷Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University (SUNYSB), Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA ¹⁸Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland ¹⁹Institute for Experimental Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ²⁰Faculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland ²¹Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb, Croatia (Received 15 June 2016; published 13 October 2016) Production of d, t, and ³He nuclei in central Pb + Pb interactions was studied at five collision energies

 $(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.3, 7.6, 8.8, 12.3, and 17.3 \text{ GeV})$ with the NA49 detector at the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron. Transverse momentum spectra, rapidity distributions, and particle ratios were measured. Yields are compared to predictions of statistical models. Phase-space distributions of light nuclei are discussed and compared to those of protons in the context of a coalescence approach. The coalescence parameters B_2 and B_3 , as well as coalescence radii for d and ³He were determined as a function of transverse mass at all energies.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.94.044906

I. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of the heavy-ion program at the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) is the experimental investigation of the properties of nuclear matter under extreme conditions. In a head-on inelastic collision of lead nuclei, accelerated to an energy of several tens of GeV per nucleon, a hot and dense fireball of an extraordinary outward pressure gradient is formed. After explosionlike decompression, the fireball expands well beyond the volume defined by the geometric overlap region of the colliding nuclei, resulting in a multiparticle system with strong collective behavior. Experimentally, the overall dynamical evolution of the reaction can be probed by measuring particle composition, longitudinal and transverse momentum distributions of different particle species, as well as multiparticle correlations.

The study of light nuclei production is of importance for several reasons. First of all, the mechanism of cluster formation in the interior of the fireball of a heavy-ion collision is not well understood and requires further quantitative investigations. It is likely that a significant fraction of few-nucleon bound states

^{*}Deceased.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

registered near midrapidity are produced in a late stage of the reaction when the hadronic matter becomes diluted and most of the newly formed hydrogen and helium isotopes decouple from the source having no subsequent rescatterings. So, light nuclei may serve as probes of the fireball dynamics at the time of the freeze-out.

In the simplest coalescence model [1-3] the yields of light nuclei are well explained as being determined solely by the distributions of their constituents (protons and neutrons) and an empirical coalescence parameter (B_A) related to the size A of the cluster. Such an approach works very well in proton-induced reactions and in nuclear interactions at low energies where collective flow effects are smaller. However, for expanding nuclear matter, the simple coalescence model needs to be modified. In relativistic heavy-ion collisions the production of nucleon composites depends on the reaction "homogeneity volume" [4], whose characteristics are likely to be sensitive not only to the nucleon phase-space distributions at freeze-out, but also to the strength of momentum-space correlations induced by collective flow [5]. To get insight into the structure of the source and the characteristics of its density and flow velocity profile, the parameters of the rapidity and transverse momentum distributions of clusters of different sizes need to be obtained over a large phase-space region.

Another commonly employed approach to describe particle yields is based on statistical and thermal hadrochemical equilibrium models of particle production [6-9]. In a conventional thermal model, particle multiplicities are predicted dependent on the bulk thermal parameters of the system: the chemical freeze-out temperature T, baryochemical potential μ_B , and volume V. Though recent versions of statistical thermal models are capable to describe hadron abundances from heavy-ion reactions in the range of collision energies from about 1 to several 10^3 GeV per nucleon [10–12], the question was raised [12–14] as to whether this approach is justified when applied to the production of nucleon clusters. The present paper does not discuss this issue, but follows most previous publications on light nuclei production in applying the statistical model to the hadron composition at freeze-out and assuming that the yields remain unchanged during the further evolution of the fireball. Because thermal models basically consider particle yields integrated over the full phase space, the lack of results on total multiplicities of light nuclei has up to now prevented a straightforward and quantitative test of the applicability of the statistical model approach to light nuclei production in the GeV collision energy range. Thanks to the large acceptance of the NA49 experiment, ³He and dproduction can be measured and analyzed in a significant part of the final-state phase space, allowing an extrapolation of the yields to full (4π) phase space. This opens the possibility to examine the statistical approach by these little explored experimental probes.

The study of the production of light nuclei with different proton-to-neutron ratios in heavy-ion collisions can probe the behavior of the asymmetric dense nuclear matter equation of state (EOS) for a range of densities, temperatures, and proton fractions. The dense nuclear matter EOS is of fundamental importance for both nuclear physics and astrophysics. Several experimental observables which potentially reveal information

about the density dependence of the symmetry energy associated with the n-p asymmetry ("symmetry energy" term in the nuclear EOS) have been proposed at low and intermediate collision energies: multifragmentation [15–17], nucleon directed and elliptic flow [18], the charged-pion ratio π^{-}/π^{+} [19], and the isobaric yield ratios of light clusters [20]. Unfortunately, none of these probes is uniquely sensitive to the symmetry energy at all nuclear densities. For example, calculations within an isospin-dependent transport model demonstrated that the n/p ratio is most sensitive to the symmetry energy at subnormal densities (low collision energies), while with increasing collision energy (at supranormal densities) the sensitivity of the π^{-}/π^{+} ratio to the density dependence of the symmetry energy was found to be stronger [21]. Thus, in order to map out the entire density dependence of the symmetry energy, a combination of several complementary observables in a broad range of collision energies is necessary. NA49 is not capable of detecting and identifying neutrons. Under certain circumstances, however, one might expect that the yield ratio of tritons to ³He is a measure of the n/p ratio in the fireball, because the freeze-out nucleon isospin asymmetry leads to a sizable difference in the production rates for light nuclei of different nucleon composition. This paper presents the results of a study comparing the production rates of A = 3 nuclei in the SPS energy range.

It should be noted that when production of a composite of mass number A is analyzed in the framework of a coalescence approach, the most common assumption is that the yield of neutrons is equal to that of protons. This is only partially true, because in relativistic heavy-ion collisions relative abundances of nucleon species are expected to change considerably during the dynamical evolution of the reaction owing to multiple rescattering effects in dense hadronic matter. Indeed, at energies available at the BNL Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS) a midrapidity n/p ratio $R_{np} =$ 1.19 ± 0.08 was obtained for central Au + Pb collisions at 11.5A GeV [22], which differs from both the n/p ratio in the incident nuclei prior to the interaction (\approx 1.5) and $R_{np} = 1$ used in coalescence studies. The latter assumption introduces an extra systematic error into the results for the coalescence parameters B_A , which scales as R_{np}^{A-1} . There is no experimental data on R_{np} for heavy-ion collisions above energies available at AGS because usually experiments have not been capable of detecting neutrons far from beam rapidity. Thus, new experimental data on the energy dependence of the triton to ³He asymmetry in the participant region of a central Pb + Pb collision can shed some light on the degree of chemical equilibration attained at energies available at SPS.

Up to now, light (anti)nuclei production has been studied extensively only in the energy range below $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 20$ GeV at the AGS [23–26] and SPS [27–30]. In Ref. [31] the NA49 experiment reported midrapidity spectra of deuterons from central Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$, 12.3, and 17.3 GeV. This paper presents results for a wider range of cluster species, which includes also tritons and ³He nuclei, measured in central Pb + Pb interactions at center-of-mass energies from 6 to 17 GeV.

The paper is structured as follows. Section II describes the NA49 experiment and the studied data sets. Section III outlines

the details of the analysis procedure for light nuclei. The main results of the paper are presented and discussed in Sec. IV. Section V concludes the paper with a summary of the results.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The NA49 detector is a large acceptance magnetic spectrometer for the study of hadron production in heavy-ion collisions at the CERN SPS. The detector components are described briefly below and a complete description is given in Ref. [32]. The tracking system consists of four time-projection chambers (TPCs). Two vertex TPCs (VTPCs) are placed inside two superconducting dipole magnets and provide momentum analysis. Downstream of the magnets main TPCs (MTPCs) are positioned on each side of the beam trajectory. These record the tracks of charged particles providing up to 90 measurements of the position and specific energy loss dE/dxof charged particles. A resolution of $\sigma_{dE/dx} \approx 4\%$ is achieved for the MTPCs allowing identification of charged particles in the relativistic rise region by correlating their dE/dx and momentum. Time-of-flight (TOF) detectors, each composed of 891 fast plastic scintillator tiles, are placed behind each MTPC. The TOF walls have a timing resolution of 60 ps and are essential for the identification of deuterons and tritons up to momenta of 12 GeV/c. A zero-degree calorimeter (VCAL) located further downstream is employed to trigger on collision centrality. The trajectory of incident beam ions is measured with three proportional counters (BPD1, 2, 3). A set of scintillation and Cherenkov counters positioned upstream of the target is used for beam definition and provides the start of the timing of the experiment.

III. DATA ANALYSIS

A. Data sets

The data used in this analysis were collected in years 1996–2002. The experiment utilized a ²⁰⁸Pb beam at energies of 20*A*, 30*A*, 40*A*, 80*A* and 158*A* GeV impinging on a lead target of 224 mg/cm² thickness corresponding to a 1% interaction probability. The interaction trigger selected the 12% most central collisions at 158*A* GeV; at other beam energies the data were recorded with a 7% central trigger. To obtain similar acceptance at all beam energies the strength of the magnetic field in the VTPCs was changed in proportion to the beam energy. An overview of the data sets used in this analysis including collision energy, centrality, and total number of events is given in Table I. Data at 40*A* and 158*A* GeV were recorded for two opposite polarities of the

TABLE I. Summary of the data sets used in the analysis.

E_{beam} (A GeV)	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	Centrality (%)	$\langle N_W \rangle$	Nevents
20	6.3	0–7	349	350 000
30	7.6	0–7	349	400 000
40	8.8	0–7	349	700 000
80	12.3	0–7	349	250 000
158	17.3	0–12	335	1 200 000

magnetic field with approximately equal number of events for each setting.

B. Time-of-flight reconstruction

As described in detail in Ref. [30], straight line (MTPC) segments of reconstructed tracks were extrapolated to the TOF walls and matched with TOF hits. Corrections for the position of the hit inside the scintillator (tile) and the amplitude-dependent time-walk effect in the discriminator were applied tilewise. Values of mass squared were then calculated from the reconstructed momentum p, the flight path to the TOF detector l, and the measured time of flight t as

$$m^{2} = \frac{p^{2}}{c^{2}} \left(\frac{c^{2}t^{2}}{l^{2}} - 1 \right), \tag{1}$$

where c denotes the speed of light.

C. Event and track selection

This section describes the cuts applied to select events and tracks for further analysis. To reduce the background from nontarget interactions, only events for which the reconstructed primary vertex coordinate along the beam axis is within 1 cm from the nominal target position were retained. The fraction of events remaining after application of this cut varied slightly with bombarding energy and was about 99%.

To ensure optimal momentum resolution, tracks had to be reconstructed in a VTPC and a MTPC and were required to have more than ten space points in the VTPCs. Short tracks were eliminated by requiring that the track segment in the MTPC was longer than 1.5 m to obtain good dE/dxmeasurements and minimize the effect of track splitting. Additionally, tracks were required to have a good quality trajectory fit. To guarantee precise time measurements and reject tracks depositing too little energy in the tiles because of the edge effect, a cut on the energy deposited in a scintillator was imposed discarding the lowest 10% of the pulse height distribution in a tile. Moreover, if more than one MTPC track candidate was matched to the same scintillator tile, resulting in an ambiguous time-of-flight measurement, these tracks were removed from the analysis.

D. Identification of light nuclei

The identification of light nuclei $(d,t,^{3}\text{He})$ was based on momentum, dE/dx, and time-of-flight measurements. Deuteron and triton candidates were required to have a TOF hit matched to the MTPC track. Identification of deuterons and tritons was performed in momentum bins of 2 GeV/*c* width by selecting particles with measured values of dE/dxand m^{2} within three standard deviations of the expected values [see Fig. 1(a)]. The background contamination in both the deuteron and the triton samples was estimated by analyzing the projection of the dE/dx versus m^{2} histogram onto the m^{2} axis with an upper limit dE/dx cut applied: $dE/dx < (\langle dE/dx \rangle_{t} + 3\sigma_{t})$, where $\langle dE/dx \rangle_{t}$ is the predicted value for tritons and σ_{t} is the dE/dx resolution. The obtained distribution [see example in Fig. 1(b)] consisting of two signal peaks for *d* and *t* plus some background was then fitted to a



FIG. 1. (a) Energy loss dE/dx versus mass squared from Pb + Pb at 20*A* GeV for the momentum interval 6 GeV/*c* $. Deuteron and triton candidates are selected within the <math>3\sigma$ particle identification (PID) ellipses indicated by the dashed lines. (b) Mass-squared distribution after a dE/dx upper limit cut (see text). The solid line indicates the best fit with two Gaussians (the dotted lines) and the background (the dash-dotted line).

sum of two Gaussians superimposed on an exponential plus first-order polynomial function. The raw yield was calculated by counting the entries in mass windows of $2.5 < m^2 < 4.5$ and $6.9 < m^2 < 7.9 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ for *d* and *t*, respectively. The percentage of counts outside of the mass window was estimated from the Gaussian signal shape; the background contribution, not exceeding 10% within the studied momentum range, was subtracted from the data.

The identification of ³He candidates can rely completely on the specific energy loss measurement in the MTPC gas on account of their double charge. Because matching to TOF is not required, the kinematic acceptance is much larger than for deuterons and tritons. Owing to overlap of the dE/dxbands for ³He and ⁴He at momenta above 10 GeV/*c*, the latter species contaminates the ³He selection band. Based on



FIG. 2. (a) Energy loss dE/dx measured in the MTPC as a function of rigidity for charged tracks from central Pb + Pb collisions at 40*A* GeV. The dashed curves indicate the PID cut boundaries used for selection of ³He. (b) Distribution of dE/dxin the momentum interval 8 . A fit of a Gaussiansignal plus background is shown by the solid curve. Black verticallines indicate the selection window for ³He.

the scaling behavior of light nuclei yields with increasing mass number A [see Sec. IV B, Eq. (5)], the ⁴He contamination in the selected candidates was, however, estimated to be below 3% at all collision energies and was neglected. Helium nuclei were selected by a 3σ cut around the predicted dE/dx position, as indicated in the example shown in Fig. 2(a). To estimate the background of misidentified particles in the ³He samples, the distributions were projected onto the dE/dx axis in bins of momentum. The projected distributions were then fitted by a Gaussian for the signal plus a sum of a first-order polynomial and an exponential function for the background [see an example in Fig. 2(b)]. The background contribution, varying with beam energy between 2% at 20A GeV and 20% at 158A GeV, was subtracted from the data.

E. Corrections

After selecting the *d*, *t*, and ³He samples, all light nuclei candidates are binned in rapidity *y*, transverse momentum p_t , and transverse mass $m_t - m$ defined as

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E + p_z}{E - p_z}, \quad p_t = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}, \quad m_t = \sqrt{p_t^2 + m^2},$$

where *E* and p_z are the energy and longitudinal momentum component in the center-of-mass system, p_t , p_x , p_y the transverse momentum components, and *m* the rest mass of the candidate. The raw yields of clusters need to be corrected for geometrical acceptance, detector efficiency, and the losses owing to the applied cuts and PID selection criteria.

The correction for the limited geometrical acceptance was obtained from Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. To have similar statistics of simulated tracks in different phase-space bins, MC tracks were taken from a flat distribution over momentum p, polar angle θ , and azimuthal angle ϕ . The particles were propagated through the detector setup with the



FIG. 3. The NA49 phase-space coverage in terms of y and m_t at 20*A* GeV for deuterons (a), tritons (b), ³He (c) and at 158*A* GeV for deuterons (d), tritons (e), ³He (f).

GEANT3 package to determine the measurable track length and the potential number of space points. Tracks were then checked to satisfy all the geometrical fiducial cuts and number of space point cuts imposed on real data. Ionization energy loss and multiple scattering were taken into account by the GEANT3 tracking. Dead or inefficient TOF tiles not used in data analysis were removed from simulations. An acceptance map was generated for each cluster species and at each beam energy (i.e., magnetic field setting). Figure 3 shows the NA49 phase-space coverage for *d*, *t*, and ³He in terms of transverse mass and rapidity at the lowest and the highest beam energy.

The tracking efficiency was studied by embedding simulated tracks into real data; it was found to be close to 100%. All the corrections owing to quality cuts were evaluated from the data. The inefficiency owing to multiple tracks hitting the same TOF tile varies from 6% (20A GeV) to 11% (158A GeV); the corresponding corrections were obtained by counting rejected tracks. The correction for the TOF hit pulse height cut is typically 10% and is weakly dependent on the beam momentum and particle species. Corrections for absorption along the trajectory through the detector material were, however, not taken into account because nucleus-nucleus interactions are not well described in GEANT3. Nevertheless, a rough estimate of the losses owing to inelastic interactions of d, t, and ³He in the material can be made based on the simulated absorption of protons. The latter was obtained by switching on and off nuclear interactions in GEANT3 and then comparing the fraction of protons that survived when passing through the detector reaching the TOF wall. Because the momenta of protons registered in the TOF wall is above 1 GeV/c, the absorption loss for protons having a TOF hit was found to be weakly dependent on rapidity and p_t and did not exceed 3.5%. Making an assumption on how the inelastic cross section scales with atomic mass number A [33], the upper limit of the absorption loss was estimated to be of 5% and 7% for A = 2and A = 3 nuclei, respectively. The estimated uncertainty associated with the absorption losses enters as a contribution to the overall systematic error.

Because the secondary nuclei knocked out by hadronic interactions in the material have momenta considerably lower than the low-p cutoff of the TOF acceptance of 1 GeV/c, their contribution in the analyzed data samples is negligible.

F. Systematic uncertainties

The main sources of the overall systematic uncertainty originate from extraction of the raw yields (including background subtraction) and from efficiency correction factors. Each particular contribution to the systematic uncertainty associated with the extraction procedure as well as with corrections owing to the applied PID and quality cuts (described in the previous section) was estimated by varying one by one the respective selection criteria and repeating the analysis procedure. Thus, the uncertainty of background subtraction was estimated by varying the value of the dE/dx PID cut and changing the fit range and functional shapes for the background: The resulting raw yields were found to be consistent within 3% for d and ³He and 5% for t, respectively. The error related to the pulse height cut is estimated at 1%-2% (depending on the beam momentum). An estimate for the systematic uncertainty owing to the TOF multihit cut was obtained from the spread of the difference of individual tilewise multihit corrections with respect to the one averaged over all the scintillators. The spread was found to vary within 2% over the considered p_t range and slightly depended on the collision energy. The various contributions, including uncertainties associated with the absorption losses, were added quadratically, resulting in a total systematic uncertainty of 6% for deuterons and 9% for tritons and ³He.

To investigate the reliability of these estimates, the datasets at 40A and 158A GeV were divided into two parts that were taken with opposite directions of the magnetic field in the VTPCs (named as STD+ and STD- setting). At opposite polarities of the field the produced charged particles are registered in the opposite halves (relative to the beam line) of the detector. The ³He analysis was then performed in each data subset separately and the difference in the fiducial yields averaged over all rapidity bins was of order 8% and 10% at 40A and 158A GeV, respectively. As the final yields are the average for the STD+ and STD- data sets the results agree within the uncertainties estimated above.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Transverse momentum spectra and yields

The invariant p_t spectra of identified ³He nuclei at five collision energies in different rapidity intervals are shown in Fig. 4. The interval sizes range from 0.3 at 20*A*-40*A* GeV to 0.4 at 80*A* and 158*A* GeV. The experimental distributions were scaled down successively for clarity of presentation. The same scaling factors were used for the rapidity slices located symmetrically with respect to the center of mass. First a test was performed whether the yields in the rapidity bins symmetric relative to y = 0 are consistent. The test procedure includes the following steps. The distribution at a particular forward rapidity bin (source) was first fitted with an appropriate function: A sum of two exponential functions



FIG. 4. Invariant p_t spectra of ³He at 20*A* (a), 30*A* (b), 40*A* (c), 80*A* (d), and 158*A* GeV (e). Only statistical errors are shown. The distributions near midrapidity are drawn to scale; other spectra are scaled down by successive powers of 5 for clarity. The same scaling factor is used for two rapidity slices that are symmetric about midrapidity (y = 0).

was employed. Then the fit parameters defining the shape of the spectrum were fixed and the "mirrored" spectrum (target) at corresponding backward rapidity was fitted with only the normalization parameter allowed to vary. Finally, χ^2 per point for the target spectrum with respect to the shape of the source distribution was determined within the common p_t acceptance range. The procedure was then repeated, switching the source and target spectrum. The result was that for all colliding energies and rapidity intervals the χ^2/NDF values ranged from 0.4 to 1.9, with rapidity averaged values $\langle \chi^2/\text{NDF} \rangle$ of 1.3, 1.2, 1.6, 1.1, and 1.2 at 20*A*, 30*A*, 40*A*, 80*A*, and 158*A* GeV, respectively. Such a low value of the χ^2 per degree of freedom indicates that the results from forward rapidities are consistent within their uncertainties with those at backward rapidities.

The NA49 acceptance for deuterons is sufficient to examine p_t spectra of d in three rapidity intervals. Figure 5 presents the corresponding invariant p_t spectra of deuterons at five collision energies. The intervals are specified in the legends of the figure.

The statistics for tritons is, however, too low to obtain meaningful p_t spectra in several rapidity bins. Thus, for t the results for each p_t bin were integrated over the TOF rapidity acceptance. Figure 6 shows the invariant yield of tritons versus p_t at all bombarding energies.

To obtain dN/dy, the measured p_t distributions need to be extrapolated into unmeasured p_t regions exploiting information on the spectral shape. For this, ³He spectra were first tested with an exponential function,

$$\frac{d^2N}{dp_t dy} = \frac{dN/dy}{T(m+T)} p_t \exp\left(-\frac{m_t - m}{T}\right),$$
 (2)

where dN/dy and T are two fit parameters, $m_t = \sqrt{p_t^2 + m^2}$ is the transverse mass, and m is the ³He rest mass. Such a functional form reproduces most meson spectra from heavyion collisions quite well [34,35]. However, the description of the shapes of the midrapidity spectra of light nuclei by Eq. (2) is not satisfactory. As can be seen in Fig. 7, single-exponential fits (plotted with dotted lines) overestimate midrapidity p_t spectra of ³He at low and high transverse momenta. The degree of deviation is indicated by a typical χ^2 /NDF of about 7. A sum of two exponentials describes the midrapidity spectra much better (see dashed lines in Fig. 7). Thus, such a parametrization was used for extrapolation of the p_t spectra of light nuclei with respect to midrapidity. The observed difference between the two- and single-exponential fits, however, diminishes towards forward rapidities (see the results for blue down-pointing triangles and pink stars in Fig. 7). Because the single-exponential function of Eq. (2) produces much more stable fit results for spectra with limited p_t coverage at low transverse momenta, it was used for the extrapolation of spectra at very forward rapidities down to $p_t = 0$. The extrapolation amounts to 3%–7% of the dN/dyvalue for the ³He spectra near midrapidity and increases to almost 40% for the results at the most forward rapidity.

For the case of deuterons the spectra from two adjacent rapidity bins were combined to obtain the parameters defining the spectral shape from a fit to a sum of two exponentials. These parameters were then fixed for the extrapolation of each spectrum from the combination to the unmeasured region. The extrapolation for the not-covered p_t region is less than 10% at 158A GeV, the amount of extrapolation at lower energies varies from 5% to 25% at midrapidity and increases up to 70% for the most backward rapidity bin at 20A GeV.



FIG. 5. Invariant p_t spectra of d at 20A (a), 30A (b), 40A (c), 80A (d), and 158A GeV (e). Only statistical errors are shown.

The systematic uncertainty of dN/dy arises from the uncertainty of spectra normalization and of the extrapolation procedure. Regarding the first contribution, the overall systematic uncertainty for the yields of clusters was estimated to 6%-9% (see Sec. III F).

The systematic uncertainty of dN/dy for the data with a limited p_t coverage is largely determined by the extrapolation procedure. The uncertainty associated with the extrapolation was estimated by using different functions: single exponential, sum of two exponentials, and Boltzmann form. It was found that the difference in the results for the extrapolation using different fit functions for *t* is about 7%. For ³He the method gives a typical uncertainty of 1%–3% at midrapidity and

approximately 10% for the most forward rapidity bin. For deuterons this systematic uncertainty varies from 5% to 15%.

The results on dN/dy are tabulated in Table II for ³He and in Table III for deuterons. The quoted total uncertainties are the quadratic sums of the statistical and systematic uncertainties. Figures 8 and 9 present the yields of ³He and *d* at all beam momenta as a function of rapidity. Measurements are plotted by solid symbols and open points show reflections of measurements around midrapidity.



FIG. 6. Invariant p_t spectra of t at 20A–158A GeV. Only statistical errors are shown.



FIG. 7. p_t spectra of ³He in rapidity bins of $|\Delta y| = 0.3$ from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A GeV. Fits with a sum of two exponentials are plotted by the dashed curves; fits with a single exponential are plotted by dotted curves (see text for more detail).

TABLE II. The yield dN/dy of ³He in rapidity slices (y_1, y_2) .

(y_1, y_2)	$10^{-3} dN/dy$	(y_1, y_2)	$10^{-3} dN/dy$		
20 <i>A</i> GeV					
(-0.9, -0.6)	45.5 ± 5.7	(0.3,0.6)	41.2 ± 4.1		
(-0.6, -0.3)	41.9 ± 4.7	(0.6, 0.9)	$47.6 {\pm} 4.8$		
(-0.3, 0.0)	34.7 ± 3.6	(0.9, 1.2)	$55.9 {\pm} 5.6$		
(0.0,0.30)	36.0 ± 3.6	(1.2, 1.5)	$68.6 {\pm} 8.5$		
	30A G	eV			
(-0.9, -0.6)	27.2 ± 3.0	(0.3,0.6)	23.1 ± 2.3		
(-0.6, -0.3)	22.8 ± 2.4	(0.6, 0.9)	27.1 ± 2.7		
(-0.3, 0.0)	$19.4 {\pm} 2.0$	(0.9, 1.2)	36.1 ± 4.0		
(0.0,0.3)	$18.7 {\pm} 2.0$	(1.2, 1.5)	43.1 ± 5.7		
	40A G	eV			
(-1.2, -0.9)	19.2 ± 2.8	(0.3, 0.6)	13.3 ± 1.4		
(-0.9, -0.6)	16.8 ± 1.9	(0.6, 0.9)	17.0 ± 1.7		
(-0.6, -0.3)	14.3 ± 1.6	(0.9, 1.2)	22.3 ± 2.2		
(-0.3, 0.0)	13.4 ± 1.4	(1.2, 1.5)	29.9 ± 4.2		
(0.0,0.3)	13.4 ± 1.4				
	80A G	eV			
(-1.25, -0.85)	9.1 ± 1.3	(0.45, 0.85)	$5.4 {\pm} 0.6$		
(-0.85, -0.45)	$5.4 {\pm} 0.7$	(0.85, 1.25)	8.7 ± 1.0		
(-0.45, -0.05)	$3.8 {\pm} 0.5$	(1.25, 1.65)	9.4 ± 1.3		
(0.05, 0.45)	4.3 ± 0.5				
158 <i>A</i> GeV					
(-1.6, -1.2)	4.5 ± 0.7	(0.0, 0.4)	$1.6 {\pm} 0.2$		
(-1.2, -0.8)	$2.9 {\pm} 0.4$	(0.4, 0.8)	2.1 ± 0.3		
(-0.8, -0.4)	2.3 ± 0.4	(0.8, 1.2)	2.5 ± 0.3		
(-0.4,0.0)	1.5 ± 0.2	(1.2, 1.6)	$4.0 {\pm} 0.7$		

The NA44 experiment studied the production of deuterons and tritons in central Pb + Pb interactions at the top SPS energy. Their experimental data [28] on *d* in the 10% and *t* in the 20% most central Pb + Pb collisions are plotted along with the present measurements in Fig. 9(e) and Fig. 8(e), respectively. Although centrality selections differ slightly and the yield of tritons is somewhat higher than that of ³He

TABLE III. The yield dN/dy of d in rapidity slices (y_1, y_2) .

(y_1, y_2)	dN/dy	(y_1, y_2)	dN/dy
	20 <i>A</i>	GeV	
(-1.4, -0.9)	$2.79 {\pm} 0.44$	(-0.4, 0.0)	$2.10 {\pm} 0.22$
(-0.9, -0.4)	$2.38 {\pm} 0.22$		
	30A	GeV	
(-1.2, -0.8)	$1.57 {\pm} 0.26$	(-0.4, 0.0)	$1.35 {\pm} 0.18$
(-0.8, -0.4)	1.52 ± 0.20		
	40A	GeV	
(-1.2, -0.8)	1.31 ± 0.16	(-0.4, 0.0)	1.07 ± 0.11
(-0.8, -0.4)	$1.17 {\pm} 0.12$		
	80A	GeV	
(1.3, -1.0)	0.72 ± 0.13	(-0.6, -0.2)	$0.58 {\pm} 0.06$
(-1.0, -0.6)	$0.67 {\pm} 0.07$		
	158A	GeV	
(-1.0, -0.8)	$0.38 {\pm} 0.04$	(-0.6, -0.4)	$0.31 {\pm} 0.04$
(-0.8, -0.6)	$0.34 {\pm} 0.04$		

at energies available at SPS (see Sec. IV D), the agreement between the two experiments can be considered reasonable.

To extrapolate the integral of dN/dy to full phase space, two different parametrizations of the rapidity spectra were employed which provide lower and upper limits of the integral. For the lower limit the same parametrization was used as in Ref. [30]. There it was found that a sum of three Gaussians (one centered at midrapidity and two others displaced symmetrically relative to y = 0) describes the rapidity spectrum of deuterons from midcentral Pb + Pb collisions at 158A GeV quite well. This picture is based on the assumption that the observed particle emission pattern requires (at least) three sources: two located close to the (quasi)projectile/target rapidity and one at midrapidity. Fits using this function ("Fit A") are indicated in Figs. 8 and 9 by dot-dashed red lines. Extrapolations obtaining the upper limit ("Fit B") are based on the assumption that the longitudinal freeze-out distribution spans the entire rapidity range with a broad minimum at midrapidity. This behavior was parameterized by a parabolic function with a sharp drop at $\pm y_{\text{beam}}$ (see black dashed histograms in Figs. 8 and 9). The total yield was then obtained by summing the measured values with the integral of the corresponding extrapolation function over the unmeasured region. The extrapolation accounts from 30% to 63% and from 20% to 85% of the 4π yield for ³He and *d*, respectively, depending on the collision energy and type of extrapolation (Fit A or Fit B).

The resulting estimates for the total yields (multiplicities) of ³He and deuterons are tabulated in Table IV for the two extrapolation functions discussed above. The average between these two estimates is plotted versus $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ in Figs. 10 and 11 for ³He and d, respectively. The plotted overall uncertainty for the mean is a combination of the squares of the data-point uncertainties and the extrapolation uncertainty caused by the lack of knowledge about the true shapes of the dN/dy distributions near the beam (target) rapidity. The latter uncertainty was estimated as half of the difference between the Fit A and Fit B extrapolations over the uncovered portion of the rapidity spectra. As can be seen from Figs. 10 and 11, cluster multiplicities decrease very fast as collision energy increases. These results may indicate a decrease of the average nucleon phase-space density which determines the number of *pn* and *pnp* combinations for potential coalescence into d and ³He, respectively.

In the framework of a statistical thermal model the abundance N_C of a nucleon cluster of mass m, degeneracy factor g, charge q, and baryon number B is given by

$$N_C = \frac{gV}{\pi^2} m^2 T K_2(m/T) \exp\left(\frac{B\mu_B + q\mu_q}{T}\right), \quad (3)$$

where V, T, μ_B , μ_q , and K_2 are the source volume, temperature, baryochemical potential, charge potential, and Bessel function of the second kind, respectively. Such models have been able to reproduce the multiplicities of different types of particles in elementary and heavy-ion interactions. There are several parameterizations for the thermal fireball parameters T, μ_B , and V (or equivalently the fireball radius



FIG. 8. Rapidity distributions for ³He at 20*A* (a), 30*A* (b), 40*A* (c), 80*A* (d), and 158*A* GeV (e). The solid symbols show the measurements and the open symbols represent the data points reflected about midrapidity. The error bars correspond to the quadratic sum of statistical and systematic errors. Dashed and dot-dashed lines indicate the functional forms used to extrapolate to 4π yields (see text for more detail). The NA44 experimental data on *t* are taken from Ref. [28].

R) over a wide range of nuclear collision energies from AGS to LHC [8,36-38]. The overall average of these predictions at energies available at SPS is given in Table V. The listed

uncertainty is taken as half of the difference between the highest and lowest value for the fireball parameters provided by the various parametrizations. The μ_q/T values were obtained



FIG. 9. Rapidity distributions for d at 20A (a), 30A (b), 40A (c), 80A (d), and 158A GeV (e). The solid symbols show the measurements and the open symbols represent the data points reflected about midrapidity. The error bars correspond to the quadratic sum of statistical and systematic errors. Dashed and dot-dashed lines indicate the functional forms used to extrapolate to 4π yields (see text for more detail). The NA44 experimental data on d are taken from Ref. [28].

E _{beam} (A GeV)	Fit A $\langle d \rangle$	Fit B $\langle d \rangle$	Fit A ⟨³He⟩	Fit B (³ He)
20	8.42 ± 0.43	10.46 ± 0.54	0.199 ± 0.007	0.217 ± 0.008
30	5.67 ± 0.34	7.07 ± 0.42	0.117 ± 0.005	0.170 ± 0.007
40	4.92 ± 0.20	6.53 ± 0.27	0.079 ± 0.003	0.116 ± 0.005
80	2.74 ± 0.17	4.60 ± 0.28	0.035 ± 0.002	0.060 ± 0.003
158	1.95 ± 0.10	3.65 ± 0.18	0.018 ± 0.001	0.032 ± 0.002

TABLE IV. Total multiplicity of ³He and *d* in central Pb + Pb collisions extrapolated to full phase space using two alternative fit functions (see explanations for the Fits A,B in the text).

from NA49 measurements of the π^+/π^- ratio [35] as

$$\frac{\mu_q}{T} = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\pi^+}{\pi^-}\right). \tag{4}$$

Using these fireball parameters the mean multiplicities of d and ³He were computed at all five collision energies according to Eq. (3). The results are plotted in Figs. 10 and 11 with blue circles and are listed in the last two columns of Table V. The overall uncertainties of the total yields were estimated by standard error propagation.

As can be seen, thermal model calculations are capable of reproducing the energy dependence of the cluster multiplicities not only qualitatively but also quantitatively. The deviation of the calculations from the measured abundances does not exceed 2 standard deviations (see insets in Figs. 10 and 11). It seems that there might be a systematic underprediction for the yield of d, which, however, cannot be claimed to be significant owing to the correlated systematic uncertainty of the extrapolation to full phase space.

To inspect how the shape of the rapidity spectra for light nuclei varies with collision energy and atomic mass number, cluster yields are plotted in Fig. 12 as a function of the normalized rapidity y/y_{beam} . All the rapidity distributions are concave. To quantify the changes, the data were fitted with a parabola $a + b (y/y_{\text{heam}})^2$ (the fits are shown by dashed lines). The ratio of the fit parameters b/a (*relative concavity*) for ³He and d is plotted in Fig. 13 as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$. One observes that the relative concavity of the rapidity distributions for light nuclei tends to increase with increasing beam momentum and cluster mass. Such a behavior of the invariant yields versus rapidity was earlier observed at energies available at AGS [24], where the relative concavity of the yield of clusters with atomic mass number from A = 2 to 4 progressively increases with A in the range of transverse momentum $0.1 < p_t/A <$ $0.2 \,\mathrm{GeV}/c.$

Assuming that coalescence is the dominant process of cluster formation close to mid-rapidity, one expects the relative concavity of the rapidity spectra for ³He to increase by the



FIG. 10. 4π yield of ³He in central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A–158A GeV. The NA49 data (red squares) are the average of the results for the Fit A and Fit B extrapolations (see text for detail). Thermal model calculations (see text) are shown by blue circles; the inset shows the ratio of the experimental data to the thermal model predictions. Symbols for experimental data and model predictions have been displaced for clarity in presentation.



FIG. 11. 4π yield of deuterons in central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A–158A GeV. The NA49 data (red squares) are the average of the results for the Fit A and Fit B extrapolations (see text for detail). Thermal model calculations (see text) are shown by blue circles; the inset shows the ratio of the experimental data to the thermal model predictions. Symbols for experimental data and model predictions have been displaced for clarity in presentation.

E _{beam} (A GeV)	T (MeV)	μ_B (MeV)	R (fm)	μ_q/T	$\langle N_d angle$	$\langle N_{ m He} angle$
20	133 ± 2	472 ± 8	8.2 ± 0.2	-0.075 ± 0.008	6.56 ± 0.78	0.228 ± 0.040
30	140 ± 2	417 ± 7	8.3 ± 0.1	-0.064 ± 0.006	5.10 ± 0.51	0.146 ± 0.022
40	145 ± 2	377 ± 8	8.6 ± 0.1	-0.053 ± 0.005	4.41 ± 0.49	0.109 ± 0.018
80	153 ± 3	294 ± 9	9.3 ± 0.2	-0.047 ± 0.005	3.11 ± 0.41	0.054 ± 0.011
158	158 ± 4	$224\pm\!10$	10.1 ± 0.7	-0.036 ± 0.004	2.00 ± 0.28	0.026 ± 0.005

TABLE V. The fireball thermodynamical parameters (the average of parametrizations given in Refs. [8,36–38]) used in thermal model calculations, and the predicted total multiplicities of d and ³He in central Pb + Pb collisions.

power of 3/2 relative to that for d (2/3 in case of the reverse order). In Fig. 13, the shaded area shows the b/a ratio for the ³He spectra to the power of 2/3. One indeed observes that the measured shapes are consistent with this expectation.

B. The mass number dependence of light nuclei production

Typically, cluster production yields change drastically with the atomic mass number A and can be characterized by a parameter P, the "penalty factor" for adding an extra nucleon to the system. Figure 14 presents the A dependence of the midrapidity yield dN/dy for p, d, and ³He. The NA49 measurements for protons are taken from Refs. [39,40]. The data points for d and ³He are the numerical values of the parabolic fits to the rapidity spectra in Figs. 8 and 9 at midrapidity (y = 0). In a statistical approach the particle production rate is proportional to its spin degeneracy factor (2J + 1), so it is reasonable to divide the deuteron rates by the factor 3/2 as it was done in Ref. [41]. The penalty factor P was then obtained from a fit to the atomic mass number dependence of dN/dy at midrapidity with an exponential function of the



FIG. 12. Rapidity distributions for ³He (a) and deuterons (b) from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A–158A GeV versus normalized rapidity y/y_{beam} . The solid symbols show the measurements and the open symbols represent the data points reflected around midrapidity. The error bars correspond to the quadratic sum of statistical and systematic errors. Dashed lines indicate parabolic fits to the rapidity spectra (see text for more detail).

form:

$$\operatorname{const}/P^{A-1}$$
. (5)

The fit results are drawn in Fig. 14 as dashed lines and the fitted values of the parameter *P* are shown in Fig. 15 as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$.

The same analysis can be performed on the total yields of nucleon clusters. For protons the rapidity spectra at 40A and 158A GeV from [40] were extrapolated to the full phase space employing a parametrization by the sum of three Gaussian distributions (as described above). At other energies, however, proton measurements over a phase-space region sufficient for extrapolation to 4π are not available. Thus, at these energies the penalty factors and their uncertainties had to be calculated from the integrated yields for ³He and d only. The results are plotted in Fig. 15 as open symbols supplementing the data points obtained at energies available at AGS, SPS, and LHC derived from dN/dy at midrapidity. The measurement at the lowest energy is from the experiment E864 [24] (10% most central Au + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4.6$ GeV); the LHC results are from the ALICE Collaboration [42] (20% most central Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV).

The excitation function for the penalty factor rises rapidly at small collision energies (the slope for the points based on midrapidity dN/dy is greater than for the 4π multiplicity data) and appears to level off at higher energies. Such a saturation behavior can be explained within thermal statistical models where the penalty factor *P* for cluster yields is determined by the Boltzmann factor exp[$(m - \mu_B)/T$] (see, e.g., Ref. [44]), with μ_B , *T*, and *m* being the baryochemical potential,



FIG. 13. The ratio b/a of the fit parameters obtained for the rapidity spectra of ³He (circles) and *d* (squares) from central Pb + Pb collisions as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$.



FIG. 14. Values of dN/dy at midrapidity (see text) as a function of mass number A (p, d, ³He) from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A–158A GeV. The dashed lines represent the fit to an exponential dependence [see text Eq. (5)]. Values of dN/dy for d were divided by the spin factor 3/2 (see text for more detail).

freeze-out temperature, and nucleon mass, respectively. Employing the parametrizations for the energy dependence of T and μ_B established in Refs. [8,36–38], the Boltzmann factor was computed over the region of collision energies from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4$ GeV to 3 TeV. The calculated excitation functions are drawn in Fig. 15 with lines of different types. As can be seen, thermal model predictions are in qualitative agreement with the measured penalty factors.

For a complete picture, one should bear in mind that there exist more data on the penalty factor for nucleon clusters detected in more restricted phase-space regions. For example,



FIG. 15. Penalty factor from the cluster yields at mid-rapidity (solid symbols) and 4π multiplicities (open circles) in central A + A collisions. Red circles represent the NA49 data, the AGS measurement (blue triangle) is from [24], and the green star indicates the result from the ALICE experiment [42]. The thermal statistical model estimates are from [8,36–38] (see text for detail).

analyzing the yields of light nuclei at $p_t/A < 300 \text{ MeV}/c$, a penalty factor of 48 ± 3 was found by the E864 experiment in the 10% most central Au + Pb interactions at beam energy of 11.5A GeV [41]. A value of about 223 ± 38 was obtained near zero p_t by the NA52 experiment from minimum bias Pb + Pb collisions at 158A GeV [45]. Reference [43] reports a penalty factor of about 625 (at $p_t \sim 0.8 \text{ GeV}/c$ per nucleon) that was deduced using measurements by the STAR experiment in the 12% most central Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV [46]. All the above-mentioned values of P were obtained for small regions of the final-state phase space; thus, they cannot be directly compared to those extracted from the integrated data. This is simply attributable to the strong radial flow of baryons in heavy-ion collisions resulting in a nontrivial pattern of space-momentum correlations at freeze-out. This affects the probability of cluster formation differently in different phase-space cells. To illustrate this, light nuclei production yields were studied more differentially in bins of p_t/A . The results are presented in Fig. 16. As an example, panel (a) shows invariant p_t spectra of p, d, and ³He near midrapidity from central Pb + Pb collisions at 30A GeV (data for protons were taken from Ref. [39]). The distributions were fitted to a sum of two exponentials [shown by lines in Fig. 16)] with proper error estimates assigned to each data point of the p_t spectrum. The invariant yields (with uncertainties) for p, d, and ³He were calculated from the integrals of the fit functions at several values of p_t per nucleon in the range p_t/A from 0 to 1.0 GeV/c. Each triple is shown in Fig. 16(b) and was fitted to Eq. (5). The resulting values of the penalty factor P for each energy are plotted in Fig. 16(c) as a function of p_t/A . An interesting regularity is observed for the p_t dependence of the penalty factor: (1) the dependence on p_t/A is similar for all energies, but the magnitude increases with increasing collision energy; (2) the penalties vary slowly at low p_t/A and begin to rise faster above $p_t/A \sim 0.5 \text{ GeV}/c$. The excitation functions of the penalty factor for zero p_t and $p_t/A = 0.8 \text{ GeV}/c$ are plotted in Fig. 16(d). As can be seen, the measurements at energies available at AGS and RHIC are consistent with the trend shown by the data from the SPS.

In the framework of both the thermal and coalescence approaches the penalty factor is related to the average phase-space density of single nucleons $\langle f_N(x,p) \rangle$. From a microscopic point of view, $\langle f_N \rangle$ results from an interplay of the stopping power and the strength of flow in the reaction. As collision energy increases, nucleon stopping becomes weaker, while the collective transverse motion gets stronger, thus explaining the observed trend of the penalty factor to increase with $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$.

It was also found experimentally that the ratio of deuterons to protons at midrapidity is nearly constant over the whole collision centrality range in Pb + Pb interactions at the top SPS energy [31]. This finding (taking into account that the d/p ratio can be related to $\langle f_N \rangle$) implies a small variation of the average baryon phase-space density with collision centrality and thus offers an explanation for the good agreement between the NA49 measurement of the penalty factor near zero p_t from central Pb + Pb collisions at 158A GeV and the one obtained by the NA52 Collaboration from a minimum bias data set [see Fig. 16(d)].



FIG. 16. (a) Invariant midrapidity p_t spectra of p, d, and ³He from central Pb + Pb collisions at 30*A* GeV. (b) Invariant yield of clusters at several values of p_t/A from central Pb + Pb collisions at 30*A* GeV(values for d were divided by the spin factor 3/2). (c) Penalty factor P from the cluster yields at several values of p_t/A from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20*A*-158*A* GeV. (d) Excitation function for the penalty factor at p_t/A near zero and $p_t/A = 0.8$ GeV/c. AGS data are from [24]; the result from the STAR Collaboration was reported in Ref. [43].

C. Analysis of transverse mass spectra

This section discusses systematic dependencies of transverse mass spectra of clusters on the collision energy, rapidity, and particle mass. Commonly, m_t distributions are examined either individually in terms of the characteristic inverse slope parameter T_{eff} (effective temperature) or simultaneously in the framework of a blast-wave (BW) model.

The first method was applied to extract both $T_{\rm eff}$ and the mean transverse kinetic energy $\langle m_t \rangle - m$. Figure 17 shows the fully corrected m_t spectra of ³He nuclei in rapidity slices at five bombarding energies. The rapidity binning, indicated in the figure, was the same as in the previous section and data from the bins symmetric relative to midrapidity y = 0were combined to decrease statistical fluctuations. The average transverse energy $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ was deduced from the measured data points combined with the integral over the unmeasured m_t range. The latter was computed by fitting the spectra with a sum of two exponential functions. The results for $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ of ³He are presented in Fig. 18 as a function of normalized rapidity y/y_{beam} . As can be seen, the rapidity dependence at all energies follows a bell-like shape. Thus, Gaussian fits were applied keeping the position of the maximum fixed at midrapidity y = 0. The two other parameters of the Gaussians $(\langle m_t \rangle - m)$ at midrapidity and width σ_v) are plotted in Fig. 19 as a function of center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$. The drawn uncertainties are the fit errors. The overall systematic uncertainty for $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ at midrapidity was estimated to amount to less than 5%. As can be seen from Fig. 19(a), where the present results are shown along with the measurements at energies available at AGS [24,26] and RHIC [47], the mean transverse mass for ³He as a function of the collision energy qualitatively follows the trend observed for hadrons in central A + A collisions [35]: rising at low energies and leveling off in the SPS energy region. The shape of the transverse mass spectra is mainly determined by the parameters characterizing the source (temperature, pressure and collective velocity profile). Consequently, the results suggest that at energies available at SPS the variations in these basic fireball parameters are small.

For d and t the acceptance (defined by requiring TOF information) is more restricted in rapidity and transverse mass (see Fig. 3). Therefore, to get coverage in $m_t - m$ sufficient for examination of the spectra shapes, the rapidity interval was enlarged to $\Delta y \approx 0.6$ and $\Delta y > 1.0$ in case of d and t, respectively. Figure 20 presents the fully corrected transverse mass spectra for d and t in these rapidity intervals from central Pb + Pb collisions at five bombarding energies.

The numerical values of $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ for d and ³He at midrapidity are given in Table VI. For ³He the numbers for $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ along with their uncertainties are taken from the Gaussian fits in Fig. 18. The values for deuterons represent



FIG. 17. m_t spectra of ³He in rapidity slices from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A (a), 30A (b), 40A (c), 80A (d), and 158A GeV (d). The spectra are scaled down by a factor of 3 successively. Only statistical errors are plotted. Dashed curves indicate the fits to the spectra with a sum of two exponential functions.

extrapolations to midrapidity from the measured rapidity range (the average rapidity $\langle y \rangle$ is -0.3, -0.35, -0.4, -0.7, and -0.7at 20*A*, 30*A*, 40*A*, 80*A*, and 158*A* GeV, respectively) under the explicit assumption of a Gaussian rapidity dependence of $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ with the width parameter σ_y taken from the results for ³He.

Because transverse mass spectra of clusters are not well described by an exponential function (see Fig. 17), they cannot be characterized by a single slope parameter in most cases.



FIG. 18. Mean transverse mass for ³He as a function of y/y_{beam} from central Pb + Pb at 20A–158A GeV. Results for the forward and backward hemispheres were averaged (see text). Dashed lines indicate fits to a Gaussian.

The estimates for T_{eff} were therefore obtained by fitting the spectra with exponential functions excluding the region of $m_t - m < 0.5$ GeV. The results are listed in Table VI.

For the case of tritons, however, extraction of the slope parameter of the spectra becomes problematic. At low m_t the yields are measured far away from the central rapidity, while at larger m_t the acceptance for tritons is near midrapidity. Therefore, the shape of the triton spectra is strongly modified (becoming steeper) owing to the rapidity dependence of cluster yields (see Fig. 12), thus making a reliable estimate of $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ and its uncertainty impossible.

In central heavy-ion collisions the pressure gradient in the system generates strong transverse radial flow. Particles inside a collective velocity field acquire additional momentum proportional to the particle's mass. This implies that the average transverse kinetic energy $\langle E_t \rangle_{\rm kin}$ depends on both the strength of radial flow and random thermal motion as

$$\langle m_t \rangle - m = \langle E_t \rangle_{\text{kin}} \approx \langle E \rangle_{\text{therm}} + \langle E \rangle_{\text{flow}}$$

= $\frac{3}{2}T + (\gamma - 1)m$, (6)

where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \langle \beta \rangle^2}$ and $\langle \beta \rangle$ is the average radial collective velocity and *T* the temperature. Figure 21 shows the NA49 results for the midrapidity value of $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ for protons and light nuclei from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20*A*-158*A* GeV. The data points for protons were taken from Refs. [39,40]; the values for *d* and ³He were obtained in this study. Evidently, $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ rises approximately linearly with mass at all collision energies. These results may look surprising because it seems unlikely that objects of a few MeV binding energy per nucleon are participating in multiple thermalization collisions which generate the common velocity field inside



FIG. 19. (a) $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ at midrapidity vs $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ for A = 3 clusters from central A + A collisions at energies available at SPS, AGS, and RHIC. (b) The width $\sigma_{y/y_{\text{beam}}}$ of the Gaussian fitted to the rapidity dependence of $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ (see Fig. 18) for ³He versus $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$.

fireballs of about 120–140 MeV temperature. However, it was demonstrated in Ref. [48] that in the framework of the coalescence approach the choice of a suitable parametrization for the spatial dependence of the single nucleon density can

TABLE VI. The mean transverse kinetic energy and effective slope parameter for d and ³He at midrapidity.

E _{beam} (A GeV)	$\langle m_t \rangle - m$ (MeV)	$T_{\rm eff}$ (MeV)	$\langle m_t \rangle - m$ (MeV)	T _{eff} (MeV)
	C	1	³ H	łe
20	463 ± 28	317 ± 18	581 ± 29	406 ± 20
30	468 ± 28	320 ± 20	573 ± 30	424 ± 22
40	453 ± 27	328 ± 21	600 ± 35	425 ± 25
80	476 ± 28	368 ± 41	612 ± 44	525 ± 60
158	517 ± 38	390 ± 55	610 ± 46	512 ± 50



FIG. 20. Invariant m_t spectra from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A-158A GeV for tritons (a) and deuterons (b).

reproduce the observed mass dependence of the inverse slope parameter T_{eff} (or $\langle m_t \rangle - m$) of composites. For example, an interplay between a linear collective flow profile and a uniform density distribution gives an effective temperature rising linearly with mass.

To separate the contributions from random thermal and radial collective motion, the data on $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ at each collision energy in Fig. 21 were tested against Eq. (6) with two fit parameters: T and $\langle \beta \rangle$. However, as noted in Ref. [49], the extrapolation of linear fits to zero mass (i.e., the temperature parameter T) cannot be directly related to the source temperature because the apparent temperature in expanding fireballs is blue shifted as

$$T^* = T \sqrt{\frac{1 + \langle \beta \rangle}{1 - \langle \beta \rangle}}.$$
(7)



FIG. 21. Mass dependence of $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ in central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A–158A GeV. Linear fits to the data points are indicated by dashed lines.

TABLE VII. Fireball temperature *T* and mean radial velocity $\langle \beta \rangle$ in central Pb + Pb collisions at 20*A*-158*A* GeV for two different analysis (see text for detail).

$E_{\text{beam}} (A \text{ GeV})$	T (MeV)	$\left< \beta \right>$
	$\langle m_t \rangle - m$ versus mass analysis	
20	95 ± 13	0.46 ± 0.03
30	95 ± 13	0.45 ± 0.03
40	92 ± 15	0.46 ± 0.03
80	97 ± 14	0.46 ± 0.03
158	107 ± 17	0.46 ± 0.04
	Blast-wave (hadrons) analysis	
20	99 ± 1	0.46 ± 0.02
30	110 ± 1	0.45 ± 0.02
40	102 ± 1	0.47 ± 0.01
80	105 ± 1	0.47 ± 0.01
158	98 ± 2	0.49 ± 0.02

Thus, to obtain the *true temperature*, the first fit parameter was corrected by the blueshift factor according to Eq. (7). The average transverse velocity $\langle \beta \rangle$ and source temperature at the kinetic freeze-out extracted from these fits are given in Table VII and plotted in Fig. 22 with green circles.

The discussed source parameters T and $\langle \beta \rangle$ can also be estimated in the framework of a hydrodynamically inspired BW model [49] by fitting the transverse mass spectra of



FIG. 22. Energy dependence of the source temperature *T* (a) and average collective transverse velocity $\langle \beta \rangle$ (b) at the kinetic freeze-out in central *A* + *A* collisions. The NA49 data from the *m_t* versus mass analysis (see text for detail) are indicated by green circles; those from blast-wave (BW) fits of *m_t* spectra of hadrons from NA49 are depicted by blue squares; red stars are the STAR-BES results from a BW analysis of hadron spectra reported in Ref. [50].



FIG. 23. Blast-wave (BW) motivated fits to midrapidity m_t spectra of π , K, p, and \bar{p} from central Pb + Pb collisions at 40*A* GeV. Data from Refs. [35,39,51].

particles of different masses simultaneously to the function

$$\frac{d^2 N_i}{m_t dm_t dy} = C_i \int_0^1 m_t f(\xi) K_1 \left[\frac{m_t \cosh\left(\rho\right)}{T} \right] I_0 \left[\frac{p_t \sinh\left(\rho\right)}{T} \right] \xi d\xi,$$
(8)

where C_i is the normalization for particle of type i and Tis the freeze-out temperature. The parameter ρ is defined as $\rho = \tanh^{-1}(\beta_t \xi^n)$, where β_t is the surface velocity and $\xi =$ r/R, with R the fireball radius. Furthermore, a boxlike spatial density distribution $[f(\xi) = 1]$ and a linear velocity profile (n = 1) were assumed and thus $\langle \beta \rangle = \frac{2}{3}\beta_t$. As an example, the results of a BW analysis of the NA49 experimental data on charged π and K mesons as well as protons and antiprotons [35,39,51] from central Pb + Pb collisions at 40A GeV are shown in Fig. 23. Blast-wave fits are drawn by solid curves and fit parameters (T, β_t) are listed in the inset. The systematic uncertainties of the fit parameters were estimated by varying the lower bound of the fitting interval for some species and by excluding different particles from the analysis. These uncertainties do not exceed 3%-4% in most cases. The results of the BW fits are tabulated in Table VII and plotted in Fig. 22 by blue squares. In addition, recent data from the STAR Beam Energy Scan (BES) program [50] are shown by red stars. As the results of different analyses consistently indicate, the freezeout kinetic parameters $(T_{kin}, \langle \beta \rangle)$ do not vary significantly within the energy range $6 < \sqrt{s_{NN}} < 20$ GeV.

D. $t/^{3}$ He ratio

Ratios of the yields of nuclear clusters with the same A but different nucleon content (such as the ratio $t/{}^{3}$ He) can serve as an indicator of the isospin asymmetry in the source. The initial n/p ratio of 1.54 in lead nuclei can vary dramatically in the course of Pb + Pb reactions. During the hadron phase, multiple



FIG. 24. Ratio of t to ³He yields as a function of p_t from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A (a), 30A (b), 40A (c), 80A (d), and 158A GeV (e). The dashed lines show the results of fits to a constant.

nucleon-nucleon and pion-nucleon inelastic collisions inside the interaction zone change this ratio. The value of n/p at freeze-out can be deduced from comparing the yield of tritons (a composite of two neutrons and one proton) to that of ³He clusters (two protons and one neutron) because the yield of each species is proportional to different combinations of the phase-space densities of the isospin partners.

For extracting information on the n/p ratio the shapes of the transverse momentum distributions for t and ³He are studied first. Figure 24 presents the ratio of yields of t to ³He as function of p_t . For this particular study, the data for ³He at each beam energy were averaged over the rapidity range of the measurements for tritons. Because of the TOF acceptance (see Fig. 3) the average rapidity for tritons depends on p_t for small transverse momenta ("banana"-like acceptance) and the dependence is stronger at low beam energies. To avoid extra complications owing to the change of yields with rapidity, the ratio was computed above $p_t \approx 0.5$ GeV/c and 0.3 GeV/c at 20A and 30A-40A GeV, respectively. The uncertainties shown in Fig. 24 are mainly associated with the triton statistics and within these uncertainties there is no evident trend with p_t in the ratio. For each beam energy the dependence of the $t/{}^{3}$ He ratio was fitted to a constant indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 24. The ratio of triton to 3 He yields averaged over the transverse momentum interval 0.3(0.5) < $p_t < 2.5 \,\text{GeV}/c$ was found to be $1.22 \pm 0.10, 1.18 \pm 0.11,$ 1.16 ± 0.15 , 1.15 ± 0.19 , and 1.05 ± 0.15 at 20A, 30A, 40A, 80A, and 158A GeV, respectively. The $t/{}^{3}$ He ratio is plotted in Fig. 25 (red circles) as a function of the center-of-mass energy. The decreasing trend with $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ suggests that a complete isospin equilibration may eventually be achieved at an energy above the SPS range. The data points from the E864 [22,24] and E878 [52] experiments give an impression of how close the $t/{}^{3}$ He and n/p ratios are at energies available at AGS.

It is also expected that in heavy-ion collisions the n/p ratio and the π^-/π^+ ratio should resemble each other because all these species are involved in the process of dynamical evolution of the overall isospin balance. Figure 25 also shows



FIG. 25. n/p, $t/{}^{3}$ He, and π^{-}/π^{+} ratios in central A + A collisions.

the NA49 data on the π^-/π^+ ratio at midrapidity [35,51] (green stars) together with the measurement at lower energies from the E895 experiment [53]. The measurements indicate that, indeed, both ratios remain coupled over the AGS and SPS energy ranges.

E. Coalescence

In a coalescence approach [1,2] the invariant yield N_A of clusters with charge Z and atomic mass number A is related to the product of the yields of protons N_{pr} and neutrons N_n through the coefficient B_A , the so-called coalescence parameter,

$$E_A \frac{d^3 N_A}{d^3 P_A} = B_A \left(E_{pr} \frac{d^3 N_{pr}}{d^3 p} \right)^Z \left(E_n \frac{d^3 N_n}{d^3 p} \right)^{A-Z}, \quad (9)$$

where $p = P_A/A$. Assuming that the ratio of neutrons to protons is unity, B_A is then calculated by dividing the cluster yield at a given momentum P_A by the Ath power of the proton yields at P_A/A . Results of such a combined analysis of clusters from this study and the proton spectra measured in Ref. [39] are presented in Figs. 26 and 27, which show B_2 and B_3 as functions of transverse mass at five collision energies. It should be noted that in a coalescence analysis the data used for clusters and protons need to be measured in the same rapidity interval because there is, in general, a non-negligible rapidity dependence of the particle yields at a given m_t . The available NA49 spectrometer acceptance, however, allows a common m_t coverage only in the region of cluster $m_t - m > 0.25$ GeV.

It is seen that for all collision energies the coalescence parameters are rising with transverse mass in accordance with the expectation that strong position-momentum correlations are present in the expanding source, leading to a higher coalescence probability at larger values of m_t [5].

When calculating the systematic uncertainty of the presented values of $B_{2,3}$, an uncertainty associated with the



FIG. 26. Coalescence parameter B_2 as a function of $m_t - m$ for deuterons from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A (a), 30A (b), 40A (c), 80A (d), and 158A GeV (e). The dashed lines represent fits with an exponential in m_t .

proton yields needs to be included. This was estimated by comparing the NA49 results on proton yields obtained with two different analysis methods. The dN/dy values for protons from an analysis using dE/dx measurements reported in Ref. [40] differ from those based on the combined dE/dx +TOF analysis published in Ref. [39] by 5% and 6% at 40 and 158A GeV, respectively. Based on these differences, a systematic uncertainty of 6% was assigned to the proton yields and was further assumed not to vary with energy. Standard error propagation then led to an estimated uncertainty of 12% and 18% for B_2 and B_3 , respectively.

Published results on coalescence factors in heavy-ion experiments have been measured in different phase-space regions because the experiments differed in their rapidity and p_t coverage. To compare the present measurements for B_2



FIG. 27. Coalescence parameter B_3 as a function of $m_t - m$ for ³He nuclei from central Pb + Pb collisions at 20*A* (a), 30*A* (b), 40*A* (c), 80*A* (d), and 158*A* GeV (e). The dashed lines represent fits with an exponential in m_t .

TABLE VIII. Coalescence parameters $B_{2,3}$ at $p_t = 0$ from central Pb + Pb collisions at beam momenta 20A-158A GeV/*c*. The numbers in parentheses are corrected for the n/p ratio by the factor $R_{np} \approx t/^3$ He (see text).

E _{beam} (A GeV)	B_2 (10 ⁻⁴ GeV ² /c ³)	B_3 (10 ⁻⁷ GeV ⁴ /c ⁶)
20	$10.7(8.8) \pm 0.4$	$6.1(5.0) \pm 1.1$
30	$9.7(8.2) \pm 0.5$	$6.1(5.2) \pm 0.7$
40	$7.9(6.8) \pm 0.4$	$5.7(4.9) \pm 0.7$
80	$6.4(5.6) \pm 0.5$	$2.8(2.4) \pm 0.3$
158	$5.6(5.3) \pm 0.4$	$2.0(1.9) \pm 0.4$

and B_3 with previously obtained results, the dependencies on $m_t - m$ shown in Figs. 26 and 27 were extrapolated down to $m_t - m = 0$ ($p_t = 0$). For this purpose, a functional form of $a_0 \exp[a_1(m_t - m)]$ was fitted to the results obtained at each energy and is plotted by dashed lines. The fit parameter a_0 equals the coalescence parameter at $p_t = 0$, while the value of the parameter a_1 depends on the difference between the slope parameters of the spectra for clusters and protons [i.e., $a_1 \approx (1/T_{\text{prot}} - 1/T_A)$]. The results on B_A at $p_t = 0$ are listed in Table VIII and plotted in Fig. 28.

As was pointed out in the Introduction, the lack of knowledge about the production of neutrons in heavy-ion reactions introduces a bias in the determination of the coalescence parameters when employing only the proton yield. Using the results on the $t/{}^{3}$ He ratio from Sec. IV D, one can correct the values for $B_{2,3}$ obtained under the assumption of equal yields for nucleons of both types. The results in parentheses in Table VIII are the coalescence parameters for d and 3 He corrected by the ratio $R_{np} \approx t/{}^{3}$ He.



FIG. 28. Coalescence parameters B_2 and B_3 from central A + A collisions.



FIG. 29. Coalescence radii R_{coal} for A = 2 (squares) and A = 3 (circles) nuclei from central A + A collisions.

Within the SPS energy range the variation of the coalescence parameter is less than 40% and 60% for B_2 and B_3 , respectively. Figure 28 compares the results for B_2 and B_3 at $p_t = 0$ (not corrected by R_{np}) obtained here to experimental data from the Bevalac [23], AGS [24,25], SPS [27,28], and RHIC [47,54,55]. One concludes from this compilation that the coalescence parameters decrease only slowly with $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ over a broad range of collision energies.

In the framework of thermal models of cluster production [6,7] the coalescence parameter is a measure of the source size: $B_A \approx (1/V)^{A-1}$. Thus, the observed energy dependence of B_A implies that the transverse size of the emitting source does not change much in this energy domain. This behavior is consistent with that found in two-pion interferometry (Hanbury Brown and Twiss - HBT) measurements [56].

In Ref. [5], calculations implementing collective expansion of the reaction zone within the density matrix formalism demonstrated a close relation of the HBT radii to those obtained from the coalescence analysis. Using the prescription given in Ref. [5] the coalescence radii (R_{coal}) for deuterons and ³He were calculated at all collisions energies. The results are shown in Fig. 29 along with the data from the AGS and RHIC. One observes that the values of R_{coal} for *d* and ³He agree within their uncertainties and increase gradually with the collision energy. The latter may indicate a small increase of the freeze-out volume in this energy domain.

V. SUMMARY

This paper presents results on the production of d, t, and ³He nuclei in central Pb + Pb collisions at 20A-158A GeV recorded with the NA49 detector at the CERN SPS. The results for ³He cover a wide range of rapidity and transverse momentum, while the measurements for *d* and *t* were possible only in regions closer to midrapidity and more restricted in transverse momentum. Cluster yields were determined and exhibit a concave shape as a function of rapidity with an increase of the degree of concavity for heavier systems. The yields of d and ³He integrated over the full phase space agree with thermal model predictions at all collision energies. The transverse mass spectra of clusters were measured and the average values $\langle m_t \rangle - m$ were found to increase linearly with the mass. This behavior favors a combination of a box density profile with a linear velocity profile in the source of the clusters. The evolution of the isospin asymmetry in the fireball was studied using the triton to ³He ratio. It was found to change gradually with collision energy following the trend observed in the ratio of π^- to π^+ yields. The coalescence parameters $B_{2,3}$ were derived showing a weak energy dependence. This observation suggests only a small increase of the freeze-out volume from energies available at AGS to RHIC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG03-97ER41020/A000, the Bundesministerium fur Bildung und Forschung, Germany (Grant No. 06F 137), the Virtual Institute VI-146 of Helmholtz Gemeinschaft, Germany, the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Grants No. 1 P03B 006 30, No. 1 P03B 127 30, No. 0297/B/H03/2007/33, and No. N N202 078738), National Science Centre, Poland (Grant No. 2014/14/E/ST2/00018), the Hungarian Scientific Research Foundation (Grants No. T032648, No. T032293, and No. T043514), the Hungarian National Science Foundation, OTKA (Grant No. F034707), the Bulgarian National Science Fund (Grant No. Ph-09/05), the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport (Project No. 098-0982887-2878), Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM), and the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands.

- [1] S. T. Butler and C. A. Pearson, Phys. Rev. 129, 836 (1963).
- [2] A. Schwarzschild and C. Zupancic, Phys. Rev. 129, 854 (1963).
- [3] S. Mrowczynski, Phys. Lett. B 277, 43 (1992).
- [4] S. V. Akkelin and Yu. M. Sinyukov, Phys. Lett. B 356, 525 (1995).
- [5] R. Scheibl and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 59, 1585 (1999).
- [6] J. I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. C 21, 1301 (1980).

- [7] A. Z. Mekjian, Phys. Rev. C 17, 1051 (1978).
- [8] F. Becattini, M. Gaździcki, A. Keränen, J. Manninen, and R. Stock, Phys. Rev. C 69, 024905 (2004).
- [9] P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, J. Wessels, and N. Xu, Phys. Lett. B 365, 1 (1999).
- [10] G. D. Yen and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C 59, 2788 (1999).

- [11] F. Becattini, J. Cleymans, A. Keränen, E. Suhonen, and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C 64, 024901 (2001).
- [12] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A 772, 167 (2006).
- [13] P. J. Siemens and J. I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1486 (1979).
- [14] D. Hahn and H. Stöcker, Nucl. Phys. A 476, 718 (1988).
- [15] M. B. Tsang, W. A. Friedman, C. K. Gelbke, W. G. Lynch, G. Verde, and H. S. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5023 (2001).
- [16] A. Ono, P. Danielewicz, W. A. Friedman, W. G. Lynch, and M. B. Tsang, Phys. Rev. C 68, 051601(R) (2003).
- [17] C. B. Das, S. Das Gupta, W. G. Lynch, A. Z. Mekjian, and M. B. Tsang, Phys. Rep. 406, 1 (2005).
- [18] P. Danielewicz, R. Lacey, and W. G. Lynch, Science 298, 1592 (2002).
- [19] Z.-Q. Feng and G.-M. Jin, Phys. Rev. C 82, 044615 (2010).
- [20] K. Hagel, R. Wada, J. Cibor, M. Lunardon, N. Marie, R. Alfaro, W. Shen, B. Xiao, Y. Zhao, Z. Majka, P. Li, P. Staszel, B. A. Li, M. Murray, T. Keutgen, A. Bonasera, and J. B. Natowitz, Phys. Rev. C 62, 034607 (2000).
- [21] B.-A. Li, Phys. Rev. C 69, 034614 (2004).
- [22] T. A. Armstrong *et al.* (E864 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 60, 064903 (1999).
- [23] L. Ahle *et al.* (E802 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 60, 064901 (1999).
- [24] T. A. Armstrong *et al.* (E864 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 61, 064908 (2000).
- [25] J. Barrette *et al.* (E877 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 61, 044906 (2000).
- [26] S. Albergo *et al.* (E896 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 65, 034907 (2002).
- [27] G. Ambrosini *et al.* (NA52 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 417, 202 (1998).
- [28] I. G. Bearden *et al.* (NA44 Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 23, 237 (2002).
- [29] S. V. Afanasiev *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 486, 22 (2000).
- [30] T. Anticic *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 85, 044913 (2012).
- [31] T. Anticic *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **69**, 024902 (2004).
- [32] S. V. Afanasiev *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 430, 210 (1999).
- [33] T. F. Hoang, B. Cork, and H. J. Crawford, Z. Phys. C 29, 611 (1985).
- [34] L. Ahle *et al.* (E802 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 58, 3523 (1998).

- [35] C. Alt *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 77, 024903 (2008).
- [36] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B 673, 142 (2009).
- [37] J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, and S. Wheaton, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034905 (2006).
- [38] V. Vovchenko, V. V. Begun, and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C 93, 064906 (2016).
- [39] C. Alt *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 73, 044910 (2006).
- [40] T. Anticic *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 83, 014901 (2011).
- [41] T. A. Armstrong *et al.* (E864 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5431 (1999).
- [42] J. Adam *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 93, 024917 (2016).
- [43] J. Steinheimer et al., J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 389, 012022 (2012).
- [44] P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, J. Phys. G 21, L17 (1995).
- [45] R. Arsenescu et al. New J. Phys. 5, 1 (2003).
- [46] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 94, 034908 (2016).
- [47] C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 262301 (2001).
- [48] A. Polleri, J. P. Bondorf, and I. N. Mishustin, Phys. Lett. B 419, 19 (1998).
- [49] E. Schnedermann, J. Sollfrank, and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 48, 2462 (1993).
- [50] Lokesh Kumar (STAR Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 931, 1114 (2014).
- [51] S. V. Afanasiev *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 66, 054902 (2002).
- [52] M. J. Bennett, J. K. Pope, D. Beavis, J. B. Carroll, J. Chiba, A. Chikanian, H. J. Crawford, M. Cronqvist, Y. Dardenne, R. Debbe, T. Doke, J. Engelage, L. Greiner, R. S. Hayano, T. J. Hallman, H. H. Heckman, T. Kashiwagi, J. Kikuchi, B. S. Kumar, C. Kuo, P. J. Lindstrom, J. W. Mitchell, S. Nagamiya, J. L. Nagle, P. Stankus, K. H. Tanaka, R. C. Welsh, and W. Zhan (E878 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 58, 1155 (1998).
- [53] J. L. Klay *et al.* (E895 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 68, 054905 (2003).
- [54] S. S. Adler *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 122302 (2005).
- [55] I. Arsene et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 044906 (2011).
- [56] C. Alt *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 77, 064908 (2008).