Erratum: Investigating nuclear shell structure in the vicinity of ^{78}Ni : Low-lying excited states in the neutron-rich isotopes $^{80,82}\text{Zn}$ [Phys. Rev. C **93, 024320 (2016)]**

Y. Shiga, K. Yoneda, D. Steppenbeck, N. Aoi, P. Doornenbal, J. Lee, H. Liu, M. Matsushita, S. Takeuchi, H. Wang, H. Baba, P. Bednarczyk, Zs. Dombradi, Zs. Fulop, S. Go, T. Hashimoto, M. Honma, E. Ideguchi, K. Ieki, K. Kobayashi, Y. Kondo, R. Minakata, T. Motobayashi, D. Nishimura, T. Otsuka, H. Otsu, H. Sakurai, N. Shimizu, D. Sohler, Y. Sun, A. Tamii, R. Tanaka, Z. Tian, Y. Tsunoda, Zs. Vajta, T. Yamamoto, X. Yang, Z. Yang, Y. Ye, R. Yokoyama, and J. Zenihiro

(Received 28 March 2016; published 20 April 2016)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.93.049904](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.049904)

We have noticed that the conversion from the lifetime of the 482-keV transition to $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ in ^{80}Zn was done inappropriately. The correct value for $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ should be $160_{-65}^{+156} e^2 \text{fm}^4$ instead of $162_{-81}^{+110} e^2 \text{fm}^4$. Therefore, the $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)/B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{\text{g.s.}}^+)$ ratio is revised to be 1.11_{-51}^{+110} . We note that any conclusion drawn in the paper is not affected by this erratum.