Erratum: Anisotropic thermodynamic and transport properties of single-crystalline CaFe_4As_4 [Phys. Rev. B **94, 064501 (2016)]**

W. R. Meier, T. Kong , U. S. Kaluarachchi, V. Taufour, N. H. Jo, G. Drachuck, A. E. Böhmer, S. M. Saunders, A. Sapkota, A. Kreyssig, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, A. I. Goldman, Fedor F. Balakirev, Alex Gurevich, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield

(Received 16 November 2020; published 30 November 2020)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.102.179904](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.179904)

This erratum concerns the elastoresistivity coefficients reported in Fig. 14 of the paper. The absolute value of the coefficients had been taken without proper indication. This does not affect the conclusions of the paper, nor indeed any of the points of the discussion. In fact, $2m_{66}$ is positive, whereas $m_{11}-m_{12}$ was found to be negative. The oversight was revealed by comparison with newly published results in Ref. [1] with which our results are consistent. The revised Fig. 14 of the paper and its revised caption are given here.

FIG. 14. Absolute value of the elastoresistivity coefficients $2m_{66}$ and $m_{11}-m_{12}$ of CaFe_4As_4 (open and filled circles) measured using crossed samples glued to a piezostack, shown schematically in the right inset. The coefficient $2m_{66}$ was found to be positive, whereas the coefficient $m_{11}-m_{12}$ was found to be negative. The $2m_{66}$ coefficient data of optimally doped K-doped BaFe_2As_2 (gray + 's, also positive) from Ref. [2] are plotted for comparison.

- [1] T. Terashima, Y. Matsushita, H. Yamase, N. Kikugawa, H. Abe, M. Imai, S. Uji, S. Ishida, H. Eisaki, A. Iyo, K. Kihou, C.-H. Lee, T. Wang, and G. Mu, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 054511 (2020).
 [2] H.-H. Kuo, J.-H. Chu, J. C. Palmstrom, S. A. Kivelson, and I. R. Fisher, *Science* **352**, 958 (2016).