Generating high quality ultrarelativistic electron beams using an evolving electron beam driver

T. N. Dalichaouch^{1,*} X. L. Xu,^{1,2,†} F. Li¹ A. Tableman,¹ F. S. Tsung,¹
W. An,¹ and W. B. Mori^{1,3}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

²SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA

³Department of Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

(Received 5 September 2019; accepted 28 January 2020; published 28 February 2020)

A new method of controllable injection to generate high quality electron bunches in the nonlinear blowout regime driven by electron beams is proposed and demonstrated using particle-in-cell simulations. Injection is facilitated by decreasing the wake phase velocity through varying the spot size of the drive beam and can be tuned through the Courant-Snyder (CS) parameters. Two regimes are examined. In the first, the spot size is focused according to the vacuum CS beta function, while in the second, it is focused by the plasma ion column. The effects of the driver intensity and vacuum CS parameters on the wake velocity and injected beam parameters are examined via theory and simulations. For plasma densities of $\sim 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, particle-in-cell simulations demonstrate that peak normalized brightnesses $\gtrsim 10^{20} \text{ A/m}^2/\text{rad}^2$ can be obtained with projected energy spreads of $\lesssim 1\%$ within the middle section of the injected beam, and with normalized slice emittances as low as $\sim 10 \text{ nm}$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.021304](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.021304)

Over the past few decades, plasma-based acceleration (PBA), driven by either a laser pulse (LWFA) [1] or particle beam (PWFA) [2], has attracted significant interest in compact particle accelerator and x-ray free-electron-laser (XFEL) applications due to the high accelerating fields $\sim 10\text{--}100 \text{ GV/m}$ they generate [3–12]. While the generation of ultrarelativistic electron beams through self-injection in an evolving plasma wake has been observed in LWFA experiments [5–8] and demonstrated in simulations [13–16], the beams produced to date do not exhibit the sufficiently low energy spreads σ_γ and high normalized brightnesses $B_n = 2I/\epsilon_n^2$ required to drive XFEL devices [17] where I and ϵ_n represent the current and normalized emittance, respectively. In recent years, electron injection schemes involving field ionization [18–23] or the use of a plasma density down ramp (DDR) [24–28] have shown tremendous potential for high quality beam generation for XFEL applications.

In this paper, we propose and demonstrate a new method of controllable injection using an electron beam driver whose spot size is decreasing in the nonlinear blowout

regime to control the wake phase velocity and hence induce electron trapping. As when using a DDR, this proposed method relies on gradually elongating the ion column or cavity length as the drive beam propagates. In this scheme, injection can be achieved by focusing the electron beam driver over spot sizes, σ_r , ranging from the scale length of the blowout radius $\sim r_b$ to spot sizes much less than r_b . A schematic of this process is shown in Fig. 1(a). For spot sizes in this range, we will show that the ion column length and blowout radius slightly increase as σ_r decreases and become insensitive to variations in σ_r when $\sigma_r \ll r_b$. Therefore, self-injection can be induced by controlling the focusing of the drive beam. This new approach is also a physically simpler alternative to injection methods such as DDR and ionization, which require sharp density gradients or multiple drivers to produce high quality electron beams. Using OSIRIS simulations, we find for parameters expected to be achievable at FACET II that beams with $B_n \sim 10^{20} \text{ A/m}^2/\text{rad}^2$ could be produced.

The parameters that control this self-injection process are the peak normalized charge per unit length $\Lambda(\xi) \equiv 4\pi r_e \int_0^{r \gg \sigma_r} n_b(\xi) r dr$, where $\xi \equiv (ct - z)$ for a driver moving in the $\hat{z}$ direction, and the Courant-Snyder (CS) parameters, β , α , and γ [29], where $\beta = \langle x^2 \rangle / \epsilon$, $\alpha = -\langle x x' \rangle / \epsilon$, $\gamma = \langle x'^2 \rangle / \epsilon$, and $\epsilon = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle - \langle x x' \rangle^2}$ is the transverse geometric emittance. The diffraction length for a particle beam in vacuum is $\beta^* \equiv \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\epsilon}$, where σ_0 is the focal spot size.

*tdalichaouch@gmail.com

†xuxinlu04@gmail.com

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of self-injection in PWFA. Simulation results are shown in two regimes for electron drivers with $\Lambda = 6$ focused into a plasma. (b) The projected spot size σ_r of the driver is calculated from the simulation data (solid) and for vacuum propagation (dashed) for case A (black) and case B (red). The plasma density profile is shown in blue. The plasma wake is shown at propagation distances into the plasma of (c) $z = 45$ c/ ω_p , (d) $z = 100$ c/ ω_p , (e) $z = 155$ c/ ω_p for case A and (f) $z = 1630$ c/ ω_p for case B.

The proposed injection process relies on tuning how the spot size evolves. The initial evolution of the spot size, $\sqrt{\epsilon\beta}$, can be estimated from Taylor expanding about its initial value as it enters the plasma,

$$\sigma_r = \sqrt{\epsilon\beta} = \sigma_i + \sigma'_i \Delta z + \frac{\sigma''_i}{2} \Delta z^2 \dots, \quad (1)$$

where Δz is the distance of the beam from the entrance of the plasma, $\sigma'_i = \sqrt{\epsilon_i \gamma_i} \frac{-\alpha_i}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_i^2}}$ and σ''_i for the part of the beam that resides in the fully formed wake can be obtained from $\sigma''_r = \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{\gamma_b^2 \sigma_r^3} (1 - \frac{\gamma_b^2 k_\beta^2 \sigma_r^4}{\epsilon_n^2})$, where $k_\beta \equiv \frac{k_p}{\sqrt{2\gamma_b}}$, $k_p \equiv \frac{\omega_p}{c}$, $\omega_p^2 \equiv \frac{4\pi e^2 n_0}{m}$, $\epsilon_n \equiv \gamma_b \epsilon$ is the normalized emittance and γ_b

is used for the relativistic factor of the beam to differentiate it from the CS parameter, γ . Two distinct regimes exist depending on whether σ'_i or σ''_i terms dominate respectively. These regimes can also be defined as when $k_\beta \beta^*$ either $\ll$ or $\gg$ than unity.

These two regimes are illustrated in Fig. 1 where results from OSIRIS simulations are shown. Simulation results presented in this paper use the quasi-3D algorithm implemented in OSIRIS which expands the fields and currents into an arbitrary number of azimuthal modes m on an r - z grid [30,31]. For the results presented here, $m = 0$ and $m = 4$ were used for symmetric and asymmetric drivers, respectively. We also use a new customized finite-difference solver to reduce numerical effects induced by the rapid rise in current during injection [32,33]. The simulations used $\Delta z = \Delta r = \frac{1}{128} \frac{c}{\omega_p}$, $\Delta t = \frac{1}{512} \frac{1}{\omega_p}$ with 4 to 128 macroparticles per cell depending on the species and the number of azimuthal modes used.

In case A, a 10 GeV ($\gamma_b = 20000$) driver with a peak current of 51 kA ($\Lambda = 6$) is initialized with a centroid at $\xi = -2.1$ c/ ω_p , $\sigma_z = 0.7$ c/ ω_p , a normalized emittance $\epsilon_n \equiv \gamma_b \epsilon = 41.9$ c/ ω_p , and CS parameters at the plasma entrance of $\beta_i \approx 2115$ c/ ω_p and $\alpha_i \approx 10.5$. For these parameters $k_\beta \beta^* \approx 0.1$ and the evolution of the spot size is dominated by vacuum diffraction as seen by the agreement with spot size from the simulation with the vacuum evolution (dashed black) in Fig. 1(b). As shown in Fig. 1(c), the wake is being excited in the nonlinear blowout regime when the beam enters the plasma. As the driver spot size decreases, in Figs. 1(c)–1(e) the bubble length also increases, thereby reducing the wake velocity γ_ϕ allowing the highest energy sheath electrons with $\gamma_z \equiv (1 - \beta_z^2)^{-1/2} > \gamma_\phi$ to be trapped at the rear of the wake. At spot sizes much less than the maximum blowout radius $r_m \approx 2\sqrt{\Lambda} c/\omega_p$ [34], the wake wavelength and blowout radius approach their maximum values in Fig. 1(e) and further focusing does not produce any injection.

In case B, an electron drive bunch with the same length, centroid, and energy is focused to the entrance of the plasma with $\epsilon_n \equiv \gamma_b \epsilon = 24.5$ c/ ω_p , and CS parameters $\beta_i = \beta^* \approx 1225$ c/ ω_p and $\alpha_i \approx 0$. For these parameters $k_\beta \beta^* = 6.125$ and as seen in Fig. 1(b) the spot size evolution (solid red) is now dominated by the focusing force of the plasma ion column. It therefore deviates significantly from the vacuum curve (dashed red). In this case the wake elongates as the beam focuses from the ion channel forces leading the self-injection as seen in Fig. 1(f). This regime is more complicated because the ion channel is not fully formed along the entire driver and therefore only the rear of the beam is fully focused. Each slice of the front of the beam oscillates at different betatron frequencies leading to the scalloping [4] seen in Fig. 1(f). Each scallop corresponds to a full betatron oscillation within the ion channel.

FIG. 2. (a) Trajectories of the most energetic sheath electrons for $\sigma_r = \frac{1}{32}\sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$ and $\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$ when $\Lambda = 6$. The change in the bubble length ΔL_b is shown by the red dashed lines. (b) The initial position of the plasma sheath electrons r_i , (c) the change in bubble length ΔL_b , and (d) the maximum sheath electron forward velocity $\gamma_{z,m}$ as a function of the spot size σ_r for quasistatic plasma wakes. Lengths are normalized by $\sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$. Nonevolving electron drivers with energy $\gamma_b = 20000$ and $\sigma_z = 0.7 c/\omega_p$ were used. ΔL_b is also calculated from the evolving wake in case A [see Fig. 1] and plotted in (c) with dashed black lines.

In order to understand and quantify how the wake expansion depends on the evolution of the spot size, we performed numerous simulations using nonevolving drivers with varying σ_r and Λ . In each quasistatic simulation, a 10 GeV ($\gamma_b = 10000$) driver with $\sigma_z = 0.7 c/\omega_p$ was used. We tracked the trajectories of the sheath electrons to determine the blowout radius r_b , the ion column length L_b , and the highest forward velocity $\gamma_{z,m}$ of sheath electrons at the rear of the wake. In Fig. 2(a), we plot the trajectories of $r(\xi)$ for highest energy sheath electron for $\Lambda = 6$ and two different driver spot sizes $k_p\sigma_r = \frac{1}{32}\sqrt{\Lambda}$ and $\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\Lambda}$ respectively. These tracks clearly show that the ion channel size varies for these two spot sizes. In Figs. 2(b)–2(d), for each simulation we also plot the initial radius r_i , deviation from the maximum bubble length $\Delta L_b(\sigma_r) \equiv L_b(\sigma_r) - L_b(\sigma_r \ll \sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p})$, and maximum sheath electron forward velocity $\gamma_{z,m} \equiv (1 - \beta_{z,m}^2)^{-1/2}$ for the most energetic electron for a variety of spot sizes and Λ . The ΔL_b calculated from case A (dashed black) is also plotted in Fig. 2(c) which is in reasonable agreement with the nonevolving results. The deviation can be explained from beam loading effects from injected electrons.

When $\sigma_r \gtrsim \sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$, the driver produces a linear wake where the plasma electron perturbation is small, $\gamma_{z,m} \sim O(1)$, and the most energetic electrons originate near the axis $r_i \ll \sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$. The transverse force for a bi-Gaussian

beam with a density profile $n_b \sim e^{-r^2/(2\sigma_r^2)}e^{-\xi^2/(2\sigma_z^2)}$ is $F_d = mc\omega_p(1 - \beta_z)\frac{\Lambda(\xi, r)}{k_p r}$, where $\Lambda(\xi, r) \equiv \Lambda(\xi)[1 - \exp(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_r^2})]$. In the large spot size limit, the transverse force of the driver on the sheath electrons is small since most of the driver charge resides outside the initial sheath position $\Lambda(\xi, r_i) \ll \Lambda(\xi)$ and the driver density remains below the particle crossing threshold as $n_b < 1.792n_0$ [34].

As the spot size approaches the particle crossing condition, typically around $\sim 0.75\text{--}0.85\sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$ depending on Λ , the wake response transitions from the linear regime to the blowout regime. This transition is signaled by a local maximum in ΔL_b and a rapid rise in $\gamma_{z,m}$ and r_i due to formation of a thin plasma sheath. The most energetic sheath electrons now reside at initial positions $r_i \approx \sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$ [Fig. 2(b)]. As in the linear regime, the transverse force exerted on these electrons increases with the effective charge per unit length $\Lambda(\xi, r_i)$ as σ_r decreases, creating larger blowout radii and bubble lengths as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c). The sheath electrons also exhibit higher $\gamma_{z,m}$ [Fig. 2(d)] as more background electrons are blown out by the driver, increasing the density spike and magnitude of E_z at the rear of the wake. Although $\gamma_{z,m}$ increases significantly it is still orders of magnitude below γ_b so trapping cannot occur. We also note that both $|\frac{d(\Delta L_b)}{d\sigma_r}|$ and $\gamma_{z,m}$ increase with Λ as seen in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). When $\sigma_r \ll \sqrt{\Lambda c/\omega_p}$, the transverse driver force on the sheath electrons at r_i peaks as $\Lambda(\xi, r_i) \rightarrow \Lambda(\xi)$, producing complete electron cavitation within the wake. In this limit, the bubble length L_b , blowout radius r_m , and $\gamma_{z,m}$ saturate at their maximum values and are insensitive to $k_p\sigma_r$.

For an evolving relativistic drive bunch ($\gamma_b \gg 1$), the wake phase velocity is defined by

$$\beta_\phi \approx 1 - \frac{dL_b}{dz} = 1 - \frac{dL_b}{d\sigma_r} \frac{d\sigma_r}{dz}. \quad (2)$$

To calculate γ_ϕ , we need to determine $\frac{dL_b}{d\sigma_r}$ and $\frac{d\sigma_r}{dz}$. We assume that $\frac{dL_b}{d\sigma_r} = \frac{d(\Delta L_b)}{d\sigma_r}$ depends only on the instantaneous spot size σ_r and, therefore, can be calculated directly from Fig. 2(c). The second term σ_r' is straightforward to obtain for case A. However, for case B σ_r' is more complicated to determine because the spot size evolution varies along ξ . The rear evolves as in a fully formed wake while the slices in the front have betatron frequencies that depend on the amount of blowout which leads to a scalloping behavior described above.

For simplicity, we analyze case A in which σ_r evolves only as a function z and $\sigma_r(z)$ is well approximated by the vacuum CS parameters, $\sigma_r' = \frac{-\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\beta}}$. Using the beta function and the CS relation $\beta\gamma = 1 + \alpha^2$, we obtain an expression for the spot size evolution $\sigma_r' = -\sqrt{\epsilon\gamma} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}} \approx -\sqrt{\epsilon\gamma_i}$,

FIG. 3. (a) $\gamma_{z,m}$ (solid) and γ_ϕ (dashed) calculated from Eq. (2) as a function of σ_r for case A. The blue dashed lines enclose regions of injection where $\gamma_{z,m} > \gamma_\phi$. (b) The phase space of injected electron charge in the $\xi_f - z_i$ plane for case A (black) and case B (red). The dashed lines are calculated from Eq. (4). (c) The phase space $\gamma - \xi$ and (d) slice energy spread σ_r of injected electrons at position $z = 260(1630) c/\omega_p$ for case A (B). The injected beams are subdivided into 128 (64) slices for cases A (B), respectively, in (d).

since γ is constant in vacuum and $\alpha \gg 1$ during most of the injection.

We next approximate $\gamma_\phi \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(1-\beta_\phi)}}$ and use Eq. (2) to obtain

$$\gamma_\phi \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{dL_b}{d\sigma_r} \sigma_r}} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_b}{2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\frac{dL_b}{d\sigma_r} 2\epsilon_n}}. \quad (3)$$

From Fig. 2(c) we can see that $\frac{dL_b}{d\sigma_r} \approx -1.76$ for $k_p \sigma_r = 0.5\sqrt{\Lambda}$ and $\Lambda = 6$; therefore, γ_ϕ (≈ 5.2) can be significantly reduced from γ_b making injection possible. In Fig. 3(a), we plot γ_ϕ (dashed black) calculated from Eq. (3) for case A against $\gamma_{z,m}$ (solid black) when $\Lambda = 6$ from Fig. 2(d). The vertical blue dashed lines enclose the region where the injection condition is satisfied $\gamma_{z,m} > \gamma_\phi$. As the driver focuses, self-injection begins near $\sigma_r \approx 0.81\sqrt{\Lambda}c/\omega_p$ as $\gamma_{z,m}$ rapidly increases and crosses γ_ϕ . When $\sigma_r \approx 0.21\sqrt{\Lambda}c/\omega_p$, the bubble length saturates $\frac{dL_b}{d\sigma_r} \rightarrow 0$ and injection terminates as γ_ϕ increases above $\gamma_{z,m}$.

Since L_b is a monotonically decreasing function of σ_r in the blowout regime, there is a one-to-one mapping between the initial position z_i and final position ξ_f of injected electrons as seen in Fig. 3(b). This feature can lead to low absolute slice energy spreads as pointed out in [27]. The dependence of ξ_f on z_i is given by

$$\frac{d\xi_f}{dz_i} \approx \frac{dL_b}{dz_i} \approx \kappa \frac{d\sigma_r}{dz_i}, \quad (4)$$

where κ is the average of $\frac{d(\Delta L_b)}{d\sigma_r}$ over the range $0.3 - 0.7\sqrt{\Lambda}c/\omega_p$ when $\Lambda = 6$ in Fig. 2(c). Whereas $\sigma'_r \approx -\sqrt{\epsilon}\gamma_i$ is determined from the vacuum beta function in case A, an upper bound for σ'_r in case B can be estimated by integrating $\sigma''_r = \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{\gamma_b^2 \sigma_r^3} (1 - \frac{\gamma_b \sigma_r^4}{2\epsilon_n^2})$ and evaluating it at the matched condition $\sigma_r = \sigma_m = (\frac{2\epsilon_n^2}{\gamma_b})^{\frac{1}{4}}$. To account for axial variations in the betatron frequency in case B, we also assume a simple model in which half of the drive bunch undergoes betatron oscillations while the remaining half is approximately nonevolving during injection. In Fig. 3(b), excellent agreement is observed between the injected electron phase space (ξ_f, z_i) and Eq. (4) in both cases. After some optimal acceleration distances, flat phase space distributions in (γ_e, ξ) are achieved over some regions of the injected beams as shown in Fig. 3(c). Within the regions enclosed by dashed lines in Fig. 3(c), we find average energies of 169.7 MeV and 1.86 GeV and projected energy spreads of 1.1% and 0.7% for cases A and B, respectively. In both cases, slice energy spreads as low as ~ 0.5 MeV are

FIG. 4. The beam slice parameters of the injected electrons for case A and case B: (a) the current, in units of the Alfvén current I_A and (b) the normalized brightness B_n in units of $[(n_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}) \text{ A/m}^2/\text{rad}^2]$. The injected beams are subdivided into 128 (64) slices for cases A (B), respectively. (c) Slice current corresponding to the (d) peak normalized brightness of the injected electron beam as a function of the driver parameter Λ . Each marker corresponds to a unique simulation. Approximately 95% of injected electrons are used when calculating the beam parameters.

also observed along significant regions of the injected electron beams in Fig. 3(d).

The slice currents and normalized brightnesses of the final injected beams are calculated and plotted in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). While the injected beam duration in case A is nearly 3 times that of case B, larger slice currents of $I \gtrsim 17\text{--}34$ kA are observed across most of the injected beam in case B. The large variations in the current profile at the front of the injected beam in case B can be attributed to a more slowly evolving wake and beam loading effects from the initial electron injection as noted in [27]. In Fig. 4(b), peak normalized brightnesses of ~ 16 and $\sim 27[n_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}] \text{ A/m}^2/\text{rad}^2$ are found for cases A and B, respectively. In Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), peak slice brightnesses and corresponding slice currents are plotted for various simulations ranging from Λ of 2 to 6. In the results shown, peak brightnesses of at least $\gtrsim 10^{21} \text{ A/m}^2/\text{rad}^2$ are achieved across all values of Λ for plasma densities of $n_0 \sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with slice currents ranging from 6–26 kA.

At FACET II, it is anticipated that drive beams with currents in the range of 50–150 kA and durations of ~ 3 fs ($1 \mu\text{m}$) will be available [35]. These parameters match the simulations presented here for $n_0 \sim 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, indicating that peak brightnesses higher than $10^{20} \text{ A/m}^2/\text{rad}^2$ and normalized emittances $\epsilon_n = \sqrt{\frac{2I}{B_n}}$ as low as ~ 10 nm can be produced for I and B_n shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). We have also carried out simulations with spot size asymmetries ($1 - \sigma_x/\sigma_y$) of as high as 15% and the results indicate self-injection still occurs but with a reduction in brightness of less than an order of magnitude up to the maximum asymmetry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by U.S. NSF Grants No. 1500630 and No. 1806046 and U.S. DOE through Grant No. DE-SC0010064 and DE-AC02-76SF00515, and the SciDAC FNAL Subaward No. 644405 to UCLA. The simulations were performed on Blue Waters, the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), and Hoffman2 at UCLA.

[1] T. Tajima and J. M. Dawson, Laser Electron Accelerator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 267 (1979).
 [2] P. Chen, J. M. Dawson, R. W. Huff, and T. Katsouleas, Acceleration of Electrons by the Interaction of a Bunched Electron Beam with a Plasma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 693 (1985).
 [3] M. J. Hogan *et al.*, Multi-GeV Energy Gain in a Plasma-Wakefield Accelerator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 054802 (2005).
 [4] I. Blumenfeld, C. E. Clayton, F.-J. Decker, M. J. Hogan, C. Huang, R. Ischebeck, R. Iverson, C. Joshi, T. Katsouleas, N. Kirby, W. Lu, K. A. Marsh, W. B. Mori, P. Muggli, E. Oz, R. H. Siemann, D. Walz, and M. Zhou, Energy

doubling of 42 GeV electrons in a metre-scale plasma wakefield accelerator, *Nature (London)* **445**, 741 (2007).
 [5] W. P. Leemans *et al.*, Multi-GeV Electron Beams from Capillary-Discharge-Guided Subpetawatt Laser Pulses in the Self-Trapping Regime, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 245002 (2014).
 [6] W. P. Leemans, B. Nagler, A. J. Gonsalves, C. Tóth, K. Nakamura, C. G. R. Geddes, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, and S. M. Hooker, GeV electron beams from a centimetre-scale accelerator, *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 696 (2006).
 [7] X. Wang *et al.*, Quasi-monoenergetic laser-plasma acceleration of electrons to 2 GeV, *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1988 (2013).
 [8] N. A. M. Hafz *et al.*, Stable generation of GeV-class electron beams from self-guided laser-plasma channels, *Nat. Photonics* **2**, 571 (2008).
 [9] M. Litos, E. Adli, W. An, C. Clarke, C. Clayton, S. Corde, J. Delahaye, R. England, A. Fisher, J. Frederico *et al.*, High-efficiency acceleration of an electron beam in a plasma wakefield accelerator, *Nature (London)* **515**, 92 (2014).
 [10] E. Adli, A. Ahuja, O. Apsimon, R. Apsimon, A.-M. Bachmann, D. Barrientos, F. Batsch, J. Bauche, V. B. Olsen, M. Bernardini *et al.*, Acceleration of electrons in the plasma wakefield of a proton bunch, *Nature (London)* **561**, 363 (2018).
 [11] S. Steinke, J. Van Tilborg, C. Benedetti, C. Geddes, C. Schroeder, J. Daniels, K. Swanson, A. Gonsalves, K. Nakamura, N. Matlis *et al.*, Multistage coupling of independent laser-plasma accelerators, *Nature (London)* **530**, 190 (2016).
 [12] A. J. Gonsalves *et al.*, Petawatt Laser Guiding and Electron Beam Acceleration to 8 GeV in a Laser-Heated Capillary Discharge Waveguide, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 084801 (2019).
 [13] S. Y. Kalmykov, A. Beck, S. A. Yi, V. N. Khudik, M. C. Downer, E. Lefebvre, B. A. Shadwick, and D. P. Umstadter, Electron self-injection into an evolving plasma bubble: Quasi-monoenergetic laser-plasma acceleration in the blowout regime, *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 056704 (2011).
 [14] H. Xu, W. Yu, P. Lu, V. K. Senecha, F. He, B. Shen, L. Qian, R. Li, and Z. Xu, Electron self-injection and acceleration driven by a tightly focused intense laser beam in an underdense plasma, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 013105 (2005).
 [15] F. S. Tsung, R. Narang, W. B. Mori, C. Joshi, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, Near-GeV-Energy Laser-Wakefield Acceleration of Self-Injected Electrons in a Centimeter-Scale Plasma Channel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 185002 (2004).
 [16] W. Lu, M. Tzoufras, C. Joshi, F. S. Tsung, W. B. Mori, J. Vieira, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, Generating multi-GeV electron bunches using single stage laser wakefield acceleration in a 3D nonlinear regime, *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **10**, 061301 (2007).
 [17] W. Barletta *et al.*, Free electron lasers: Present status and future challenges, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **618**, 69 (2010).
 [18] E. Oz *et al.*, Ionization-Induced Electron Trapping in Ultrarelativistic Plasma Wakes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 084801 (2007).

- [19] A. Pak, K. A. Marsh, S. F. Martins, W. Lu, W. B. Mori, and C. Joshi, Injection and Trapping of Tunnel-Ionized Electrons into Laser-Produced Wakes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 025003 (2010).
- [20] X. L. Xu *et al.*, Phase-Space Dynamics of Ionization Injection in Plasma-Based Accelerators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 035003 (2014).
- [21] F. Li *et al.*, Generating High-Brightness Electron Beams via Ionization Injection by Transverse Colliding Lasers in a Plasma-Wakefield Accelerator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 015003 (2013).
- [22] L.-L. Yu, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, J.-L. Vay, C. Benedetti, C. G. R. Geddes, M. Chen, and W. P. Leemans, Two-Color Laser-Ionization Injection, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 125001 (2014).
- [23] B. Hidding, G. Pretzler, J. B. Rosenzweig, T. Königstein, D. Schiller, and D. L. Bruhwiler, Ultracold Electron Bunch Generation via Plasma Photocathode Emission and Acceleration in a Beam-Driven Plasma Blowout, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 035001 (2012).
- [24] T. Katsouleas, Physical mechanisms in the plasma wakefield accelerator, *Phys. Rev. A* **33**, 2056 (1986).
- [25] S. Bulanov, N. Naumova, F. Pegoraro, and J. Sakai, Particle injection into the wave acceleration phase due to nonlinear wake wave breaking, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, R5257 (1998).
- [26] H. Suk, N. Barov, J. B. Rosenzweig, and E. Esarey, Plasma Electron Trapping and Acceleration in a Plasma Wake Field Using a Density Transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 1011 (2001).
- [27] X. L. Xu, F. Li, W. An, T. N. Dalichaouch, P. Yu, W. Lu, C. Joshi, and W. B. Mori, High quality electron bunch generation using a longitudinal density-tailored plasma-based accelerator in the three-dimensional blowout regime, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **20**, 111303 (2017).
- [28] A. M. de la Ossa, Z. Hu, M. J. V. Streeter, T. J. Mehrling, O. Kononenko, B. Sheeran, and J. Osterhoff, Optimizing density down-ramp injection for beam-driven plasma wakefield accelerators, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **20**, 091301 (2017).
- [29] S. Y. Lee, *Accelerator Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).
- [30] A. Lifschitz, X. Davoine, E. Lefebvre, J. Faure, C. Rechatin, and V. Malka, Particle-in-Cell modelling of laser-plasma interaction using Fourier decomposition, *J. Comput. Phys.* **228**, 1803 (2009).
- [31] A. Davidson, A. Tableman, W. An, F. Tsung, W. Lu, J. Vieira, R. Fonseca, L. Silva, and W. Mori, Implementation of a hybrid particle code with a PIC description in r - z and a gridless description in ϕ into OSIRIS, *J. Comput. Phys.* **281**, 1063 (2015).
- [32] F. Li, P. Yu, X. Xu, F. Fiuza, V. K. Decyk, T. Dalichaouch, A. Davidson, A. Tableman, W. An, F. S. Tsung, R. A. Fonseca, W. Lu, and W. B. Mori, Controlling the numerical Cerenkov instability in PIC simulations using a customized finite difference Maxwell solver and a local FFT based current correction, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **214**, 6 (2017).
- [33] X. Xu, F. Li, F. S. Tsung, T. N. Dalichaouch, W. An, H. Wen, V. K. Decyk, R. A. Fonseca, M. J. Hogan, and W. B. Mori, [arXiv:1910.13529](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13529).
- [34] W. Lu, C. Huang, M. Zhou, M. Tzoufras, F. S. Tsung, W. B. Mori, and T. Katsouleas, A nonlinear theory for multidimensional relativistic plasma wave wakefields, *Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 056709 (2006).
- [35] FACET-II Technical Design Report No. SLAC-R-1072, 2016.