Bunch length and energy measurements in the bunch compressor of a free-electron laser

G. L. Orlandi,^{*} R. Xue, H. Brands, F. Frei, Z. Geng, V. Thominet, and S. Bettoni

Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

(Received 20 May 2019; published 17 July 2019)

At SwissFEL, a fully noninvasive characterization of the beam energy distribution can be performed by means of a synchrotron radiation monitor (SRM) imaging in the visible the transverse profile of the electron beam in a magnetic chicane. Under conditions of off-crest acceleration in the SwissFEL injector, according to a first order Taylor series expansion, the distribution of the relative energy of the electron beam can be linearly expressed as a function of the distribution of the electron longitudinal coordinates via the coefficient of the rf induced beam energy chirp. It is hence possible to express the distribution of the electron longitudinal coordinates at the entrance of the magnetic chicane of SwissFEL as a function of the distribution of the dispersed electron trajectories in the horizontal plane of the magnetic chicane. Machine parameters and instrument data at SwissFEL can be beam-synchronously acquired. A shot-by-shot correlation between the analysis results of the SRM camera images and the linear coefficient of the beam energy chirp resulting from the rf parameters of the injector can be hence established. Finally, taking into account the compression factor of the magnetic chicane, the electron bunch length at the exit of the magnetic chicane can be expressed as a function of the horizontal size of the electron beam imaged by the SRM. Bunch length measurements performed by means of the SRM in the first magnetic chicane of SwissFEL will be presented.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.22.072803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.22.072803)

I. INTRODUCTION

The lasing performance of a linac driven x-ray free electron laser (FEL) strongly depends on the beam quality and capability to preserve it all along the entire acceleration and compression of the electron beam. In order to generate electron beams with a small emittance and a smooth longitudinal profile, photocathode guns are the typical solution for a FEL. In order to counteract effects of beam emittance dilution due to space charge at the early stage of the acceleration, relatively long bunch lengths are generated at the cathode. Hence the necessity to longitudinally compress the electron beam at higher beam energies by means of magnetic chicanes. The longitudinal compression of the electron beam is as uniform as the energy chirping of the electron bunch is linear. A linear chirping of the beam energy can be obtained by means of an off-crest rf acceleration in the injector and an anticrest acceleration in a higher-harmonic rf structure to compensate the second order contribution of the compression process. Slice

emittance and bunch length measurements play a crucial role in the optimization of the electron beam dynamics in a FEL. A rf transverse deflecting structure (TDS) combined with a view screen at the end of a quadrupole section is the ideal instrument to perform such a kind of measurements [1]. The main drawback of a TDS based measurement of the bunch length is the fully beam invasivity. In the present work, as a complementary solution, a shot-by-shot and fully nondestructive method to estimate the electron bunch length is presented. Such a method can be implemented in any dispersive section of a FEL linac equipped with a noninvasive diagnostics of the beam transverse profile such as a synchrotron radiation monitor (SRM) in a magnetic chicane. This noninvasive method to determine shot-by-shot the electron bunch length was developed and experimentally tested at SwissFEL. The implementation of the method will be in following described with reference to the SwissFEL case. In general, synchrotron radiation monitors being noninvasive are particularly indicated and appreciated diagnostic tools for measuring the beam transverse profile and/or the bunch duration of an electron beam in a particle accelerator [2–7].

SwissFEL is a x-ray free electron laser (FEL) facility [8,9] in operation at Paul Scherrer Institut (www.psi.ch). Driven by a rf linac—a S-band injector and a C-band booster—in the beam energy range 2.1–5.8 GeV, SwissFEL will produce tunable and coherent light pulses in the wavelength region 7 – 0.7 and 0.7 – 0.1 nm at a

^{*}gianluca.orlandi@psi.ch

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the *Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license*. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

repetition rate of 100 Hz. The longitudinal compression of the electron beam can be fully accomplished at SwissFEL by means of two magnetic chicanes. In the first magnetic chicane, the compression is performed by means of an off-crest rf acceleration of the beam in the S-band injector and a X-band linearization of the beam energy chirp. For the two nominal operation modes of SwissFEL (200 and 10 pC), a longitudinal compression of the electron beam by a factor 150 and 300 up to 20 and 3 fs (rms) can be achieved, respectively. After each compression stage, the electron bunch length and the horizontal slice emittance can be characterized by means of two rf transverse deflecting structures (TDS): a S-band and a C-band structure, respectively. In the dispersive region of the two magnetic chicanes of SwissFEL, a fully nondestructive measurement of the beam transverse profile can be obtained by means of the synchrotron radiation monitors (SRM). Given the dispersion function of the magnetic chicane, the analysis of the horizontal distribution of the synchrotron radiation light spot imaged by the SRM permits to characterize the energy distribution of the electron beam. All the measured data and machine parameters of SwissFEL can be beam-synchronously tagged with an ID number and time stamped. The beam-synchronous reading also includes the settings (phase and amplitude) of the rf structures (S-band and X-band) operating in the SwissFEL injector as well as the SRM camera images. The analysis results of the beam energy distribution measured by the SRM can be hence shot-by-shot and synchronously correlated to the rf induced coefficient of the beam energy chirp. Thanks to a first order Taylor series expansion, the energy gained by the electron beam in the injector can be linearly expressed as a function of the electron longitudinal coordinate. The linear coefficient of the beam energy chirp can be hence numerically and shot-by-shot calculated from the beam-synchronous readout of the rf parameters of the SwissFEL injector (voltage amplitude and phase). The distribution of the longitudinal coordinate of the electron beam and the measured horizontal distribution of the SRM light spot can be hence beam synchronously correlated. Given the compression factor R_{56} and the dispersion function η of the magnetic chicane, a shot-by-shot and fully noninvasive estimate of the electron bunch length can be finally obtained from the measurement of the horizontal profile of the SRM light spot.

In Sec. II, the method for determining the bunch length from the measured SRM images of the transverse profile of the electron beam under a regime of linearized energy chirping is described and numerically checked. In subsection III A, the compression scheme of SwissFEL is described as well as the related instrumentation. In subsection III B, experimental results are presented. For different bunch-compression settings of SwissFEL, SRM based measurements of the electron-bunch length are presented and compared with related TDS measurements.

II. METHOD FOR BUNCH LENGTH RECONSTRUCTION

The energy gained by the electron beam in the SwissFEL injector can be expressed by means of a Taylor series expansion around the working phases of the rf accelerating structures. As a result, a relation of proportionality between the distributions of the beam energy and the longitudinal coordinate can be established via the linear coefficient of the rf induced energy chirp of the electron beam.

Given the reference particle of the bunch with longitudinal phase space coordinates ($\Delta E = E - E_0 = 0$, $\Delta z = z - z_0 = 0$), the energy gained by the single electron of the bunch ($\Delta E \neq 0$, $\Delta z \neq 0$) across the rf structures of the SwissFEL injector reads:

$$E(\Delta z) = E_0 + \Delta E = \sum_{j=1}^6 A_j \sin(\phi_j + k_j \Delta z) \quad (1)$$

where $k_j = \frac{2\pi\nu_j}{c}$, $A_j = eV_j$ and ϕ_j ($j = 1, \dots, 6$) are, respectively, the wave numbers, the nominal field amplitudes and phases in radians of the rf structures as specified in Table I: S-band gun ($j = 1$), four S-band accelerating structures ($j = 2, \dots, 5$) and X-band structure ($j = 6$). At a phase of 90.0° the beam is on-crest of the rf field.

At the first order in Δz , the Taylor series approximation of the single electron energy reads

$$E(\Delta z) = E_0 + \Delta E = \sum_{j=1}^6 A_j [\sin(\phi_j) + \cos(\phi_j)(k_j \Delta z)] \quad (2)$$

and the relative beam energy with respect to the reference particle can be thus expressed as

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E_0} = \sum_{j=1}^6 \left[\frac{A_j k_j}{E_0} \cos(\phi_j) \right] \Delta z = T_1 \Delta z, \quad (3)$$

where

TABLE I. Naming convention, rf specifications and nominal settings (phase and amplitude) of the rf structures of the SwissFEL injector under bunch-compression operations. At a phase of 90.0° the beam is on-crest of the rf field.

rf structure (j)	rf frequency (ν_j , GHz)	rf voltage (V_j , MV)	rf phase (deg)
SINEG01 (1)	2.998	7.1	92.3
SINSB01 (2)	2.998	65.5	90.0
SINSB02 (3)	2.998	68.5	90.0
SINSB03 (4)	2.998	96.2	69.7
SINSB04 (5)	2.998	96.2	69.7
SINXB01 (6)	11.992	19.3	269.7

$$T_1 = \sum_{j=1}^6 \left[\frac{A_j k_j}{E_0} \cos(\phi_j) \right] \quad (4)$$

is the linear coefficient of the rf induced energy chirp of the electron beam. The linear equation (3) correlates the distribution of the relative energy spread of the beam with the distribution of the electron longitudinal coordinates Δz at the exit of the SwissFEL injector. Similarly, in a magnetic chicane, a linear equation expresses the distribution of the electron trajectories dispersed along the horizontal axis as a function of the distribution of the beam relative energy:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E_0} = \frac{\Delta x}{\eta}, \quad (5)$$

where η is the dispersion coefficient of the magnetic chicane. Since the energy distribution of the electron beam remains invariant from the exit of the SwissFEL injector through all along the first magnetic chicane, the two equations (3), (5) can be equalized. The distribution of the electron longitudinal coordinates (Δz) at the exit of the SwissFEL injector can be thus linearly expressed as a function of the distribution of the electron trajectories horizontally dispersed in the magnetic chicane (Δx). In terms of the rms statistical parameters of the distribution functions of Δz and Δx , such a linear relation reads

$$\sigma_z = \frac{1}{T_1} \frac{\sigma_x}{\eta}. \quad (6)$$

In Eq. (6) as well as in the following analysis of the experimental data—see subsection III B—the natural beam size of the electron beam—i.e., the beam horizontal size measured by the SRM on-crest of the S-band—is negligible being more than a factor 10 smaller than the off-crest value. The contribution of the slice energy spread to the beam horizontal size measured by the SRM can be estimated from a few μm up to a few tens of μm at the maximal compression which was set during the measurements described in this work. The slice energy spread contribution to the SRM beam size is hence negligible as well. As described in Sec. III A, the analysis of the SRM camera images permits us to determine the rms size σ_x of the horizontal distribution of the electron beam in the two magnetic chicanes of SwissFEL. At SwissFEL, the SRM camera images and the rf parameters of the injector are beam-synchronously acquired. According to the first order approximation described by Eq. (6), a SRM measurement of the transverse profile of the electron beam can determine shot-by-shot not only the beam energy distribution but also the electron bunch length at the exit of the SwissFEL injector. The distribution of the beam longitudinal coordinate at the exit of the magnetic chicane $\Delta z'$ depends on the initial one Δz and on the rf induced relative energy spread of the beam $\delta = \frac{\Delta E}{E_0}$ via the following equation:

FIG. 1. Numerical simulation of the beam energy at the SwissFEL injector as a function of arrival time jitter by varying the phase of the X-band in an extended (a) and a restricted (b) time window.

$$\Delta z' = \Delta z + R_{56} \delta, \quad (7)$$

where R_{56} is the relative-energy-time correlation coefficient of the magnetic-chicane transfer matrix. With reference to Eq. (7), as a function of the linear coefficient T_1 of the beam energy chirp—Eqs. (3), (4)—the bunch length distribution before (Δz) and after ($\Delta z'$) the magnetic chicane can be expressed as

$$\Delta z' = (1 + T_1 R_{56}) \Delta z. \quad (8)$$

Finally, thanks to Eq. (6), the rms measurement of the electron bunch length at the end of the first compression stage reads in the time domain as:

$$\sigma_t = \frac{1}{c} |1 + T_1 R_{56}| \frac{1}{T_1} \frac{\sigma_x}{\eta}, \quad (9)$$

where c is the speed of the light.

Provided that the compression (R_{56}) and dispersion (η) parameters of the magnetic chicane are known, according

FIG. 2. Simulated energy gain as a function of arrival time jitter by varying the amplitude of the X-band in an extended (a) and a restricted (b) time window.

to Eq. (9) a shot-by-shot measurement of the electron bunch length can be obtained from the beam-synchronous determination of the linear coefficient of the beam energy chirp (T_1) and of the horizontal size (σ_x) of the electron beam as performed by the SRM camera in the magnetic chicane.

The study of the numerical matching between the analytical expression of the energy gain and its linear approximation—Eqs. (1), (2)—was carried out by varying phase and voltage amplitude of the S-band as well as the

X-band. The result of this numerical check confirmed the validity of the linear approximation of the beam energy at the given rf working point of the SwissFEL injector within an equivalent phase interval corresponding to ± 4 ps. The electron bunch length at the SwissFEL injector largely fits into such a time interval. As an example, the numerical check of the linear approximation of the beam energy at the SwissFEL injector—Eqs. (1), (2)—is shown in Figs. 1(a), 1(b) and Figs. 2(a), 2(b) by varying the phase and the voltage amplitude of the X-band working point, respectively.

III. EXPERIMENT AT SWISSFEL

In previous sections, a method to determine shot-by-shot the electron bunch length from the correlated analysis of the SRM camera images and the rf parameters of the SwissFEL injector was described. This method was tested at SwissFEL in two experimental sessions. Absolute measurements of the electron bunch length by the S-band TDS downstream the first bunch compressor have been acquired for different compression settings of the machine and compared with analogous experimental results obtained from the SRM based method.

In subsection III A, the SwissFEL compression scheme, the relevant machine settings, the beam parameters, the instrumentation used for the beam characterization as well as the general concept of the beam-synchronous-acquisition of the data will be described. In subsection III B, the analysis results of the measurements will be presented and discussed.

A. Experimental setup

At SwissFEL, a scheme of uniform longitudinal compression of the electron bunch is applied. At the first stage, this is implemented by means of a magnetic chicane and an off-crest acceleration of the electron beam in the last two S-band rf structures of the injector, see Fig. 3. The linearization of the beam energy chirp induced by the off-crest acceleration is ensured by the X-band rf structure of the injector. In Table I, the compression settings (phase and voltage amplitude) of the rf structures of the SwissFEL injector are shown. The working phase of the last two S-band structures of the injector is about 30 deg off-crest.

FIG. 3. Sketch of the SwissFEL injector: rf structures, magnetic chicane and main beam instrumentation.

The X-band linearizer is operated at an anticrest phase and a suitable voltage amplitude. The two magnetic chicanes of SwissFEL can provide a tunable horizontal dispersion. This is possible thanks to the flexible structure of the chicane vacuum chamber consisting of a motorized stage sustaining the two central dipoles and vacuum bellows coupling the bending arms of the chicane with the rest of the vacuum chamber.

In the magnetic chicane, the characterization of the beam energy can be performed by means of two cavity beam-position-monitor (BPM) [10] and a SRM [11,12]. Two cavity BPM symmetrically placed after the first dipole and before the fourth dipole can indeed monitor the beam charge and centroid position as well as provide a measurement of the mean energy of the electron beam as a function of the dispersion [10]. In the region of maximal dispersion, the characterization of the projected transverse distribution of the dispersed electron trajectories is provided by a SRM that images in the visible (400–700 nm) the synchrotron radiation light emitted by the electron beam entering the edge field of the third dipole of the chicane. Thanks to an in-vacuum mirror and a periscope optics, the sCMOS camera of the SRM in the first magnetic chicane can resolve the transverse profile of the electron beam with a projected pixel size resolution of $53\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ at a repetition rate of 100 Hz. According to a point-spread function calculation, a conservative estimate of the SRM resolution is about $64\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. At the nominal value of the dispersion of the first magnetic chicane (447.53 mm at a bending angle of 4.09°), the SRM is hence able to measure the mean energy as well as the relative energy spread of the electron beam with a resolution of 1.18×10^{-4} [11,12].

Downstream the first magnetic chicane, the electron bunch length can be measured by means of a S-band TDS. With an integrated deflecting voltage of 4.89 MV, the TDS permits to resolve bunch length duration of about 15 and 10 fs (rms) for a beam energy of 300 MeV in the two operation modes of SwissFEL (200 and 10 pC) [13].

At the fourth dipole of each magnetic chicane, a non-invasive monitoring of the electron bunch length can be achieved by means of the bunch compressor monitor (BCM) [14,15]. In the first magnetic chicane of SwissFEL, the BCM is equipped with a Schottky diode which detects in the THz frequency band the temporal coherent part of the synchrotron radiation emitted by the electron beam entering the fourth dipole of the magnetic chicane. During normal machine operations, the BCM signal is processed to compensate for drifts of the rf phase of the injector while a feedback based on the BPM charge readout stabilizes the charge.

At SwissFEL, machine diagnostic signals and instrument data are beam-synchronously read out: in particular, rf parameters (phase and amplitude voltage) of the accelerating structures as well as SRM camera images. All the beam-synchronous data are tagged with a time stamp and archived with an ID number incrementing with the counting rate of

the machine master clock. Machine diagnostic signals and measured parameters of the electron beam can be hence synchronously correlated. In particular, it is possible to calculate shot-by-shot the linear coefficient of the beam energy chirp T_1 —defined in Eq. (4)—from the readout of the rf parameters of the injector and to correlate this coefficient with the rms size σ_x of the horizontal beam distribution measured by the SRM in the first magnetic chicane. According to the model presented in Sec. II, from the knowledge of the compression factor R_{56} and the dispersion η of the magnetic chicane a shot-by-shot and fully noninvasive measurement of the electron bunch length downstream the first magnetic chicane can be obtained by means of the beam-synchronous correlation of T_1 and σ_x .

B. Experimental results

Two measurement sessions at SwissFEL have been dedicated to the experimental test of the SRM based method to determine the electron-bunch length for different compression settings of the machine. In the following, SRM based measurements of the electron bunch length will be compared with analogous results from the S-band TDS.

As a function of the off-crest phase setting of the S-band structure of the SwissFEL injector, the centroid μ ($\mu = \langle x \rangle$, first moment of the distribution) and the rms size ($\sigma_x = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle - \mu^2}$) of the beam horizontal distribution measured by the SRM in the first magnetic chicane is shown in Figs. 4(a), 4(b), respectively. A measurement of the relative variation of the beam mean energy and the relative energy spread $\frac{\Delta E}{E}$ of the electron beam can be obtained from the data plotted in Figs. 4(a), 4(b) by means of Eq. (5).

Finally, experimental results of bunch length measurements by the TDS and the SRM based method are shown in Fig. 5. Experimental data plotted in Fig. 5 were obtained in two different machine shifts. In both machine shifts, the beam-synchronous correlation of TDS and SRM based measurements of the electron bunch length was studied by varying the machine settings over a large compression range. In the first measurement session, the bunch length interval from 2.0 down to 0.5 ps was scanned. In addition, the bunch length response to a variation of the voltage amplitude of the X-band linearizer was measured at minimal compression. In the second measurement session, the scanning of the electron bunch length as a function of the machine compression setting was repeated in the range 2 – 0.19 ps. The comparative analysis of the experimental results plotted in Fig. 5 shows that TDS and SRM based measurements of the electron bunch length are in a very good agreement. The SRM based method systematically underestimates of about 0.1 ps the electron bunch length with respect to the TDS. Many can be the reasons of this mismatch between TDS and SRM: the calibration factors of both SRM and TDS can be affected by a systematic error as well as the numerical coefficients to be implemented in

FIG. 4. SRM measurements of (a) the centroid and (b) the rms size of the horizontal beam distribution as a function of off-crest phase of the S-band. A measurement of the relative variation of the beam mean energy and the relative energy spread $\frac{\Delta E}{E}$ of the electron beam can be obtained by normalizing the plotted data by the nominal dispersion of the first magnetic chicane [$\eta = 447.53$ mm at a bending angle of 4.09° , see also Eq. (5)].

Eq. (9). The TDS calibration is performed by correlating the centroid position of the beam at the reference screen with the TDS phase around the zero crossing. The possible origin of the systematic error affecting the SRM based measurements of the electron bunch length will be further investigated. Nevertheless, the consistency and reliability of the SRM based method to perform a shot-by-shot and fully noninvasive measurement of the electron bunch length are confirmed by the comparison with TDS results. In order to routinely use the SRM as an on-line monitor not only of the beam mean energy and energy spread but also of the electron bunch length, a preliminary calibration check of the SRM results with respect to the TDS is needed to estimate the possible off-set between the two monitors. It should be also noted that, in a long term run, the SRM

FIG. 5. Two independent batches of electron bunch length measurements by TDS and by SRM based method.

based estimates of the electron bunch length can be affected by a drift of the working phase of the rf structures. The linear approximation of the beam energy chirp is indeed calculated by means of a first order Taylor series expansion around the nominal rf compression working points of the SwissFEL injector, see Table I. A regular check of the effective rf working points of the SwissFEL injector and the consequent correction of the numerical coefficients resulting from the Taylor series expansion of the beam energy chirp can be a simple and straightforward solution to this possible problem. For controlling the rf setting of the SwissFEL injector, the SRM itself can be fruitfully used. The centroid and rms size of the horizontal distribution of the electron beam—as measured by the SRM, see Figs. 4(a), 4(b)—can be indeed used for an on-line and noninvasive monitoring of the rf parameters of the SwissFEL injector.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Performance and experimental results of the synchrotron radiation monitor (SRM) in operation at the first magnetic chicane of SwissFEL injector are reported in the present work. Equipped with a periscope optics and a sCMOS camera, the SRM can image in the visible (400–700 nm) the transverse profile of the light spot emitted by the electron beam entering the third dipole of the magnetic chicane to finally provide a measurement of the beam mean energy and energy spread from the knowledge of the chicane dispersion. On top of that, the potentiality of the SRM to provide a shot-by-shot and fully noninvasive estimate of the electron bunch length is presented. The SRM based method to measure the electron bunch length is based on a mathematical model approximating at the first order the beam energy chirp. The linear approximation of the beam energy has been numerically verified to be valid within an equivalent phase interval of ± 4 ps around the rf

working point of the SwissFEL injector under a compression setting of the machine. The applicability of this new method to measure the electron bunch length relies on the beam-synchronous correlation between the SRM camera images and the rf parameters defining the compression settings of the machine. The physical consistency of the SRM based method for measuring the electron bunch length has been crosschecked in comparison with absolute measurements of bunch length by a transverse deflector structure (TDS) over a range of about 0.2–2.0 ps. A systematic off-set of about 0.1 ps affects the SRM based measurements with respect to TDS ones. This systematic off-set is probably originated by a systematic error in the calibration factors of the instruments and/or in the calculation of the numerical coefficients of the linear model for the beam energy chirp. A precalibration of the SRM based method with respect to the TDS permits us to correct the measurements by this systematic offset and to use the SRM as a shot-by-shot and fully noninvasive monitor of the electron bunch length as well as of the energy distribution of the electron beam.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Paul Scherrer Institut expert groups, the SwissFEL commissioning and operation team for the support during the measurements. In particular, the authors are grateful to Thomas Schietinger for the careful proofreading and the precious comments and observations.

-
- [1] P. Emma, J. Frisch, and P. Krejcik, A transverse rf deflecting structure for bunch length and phase space diagnostics, Report No. LCLS-TN-00-12, 2000.
 - [2] Å. Andersson, M. Böge, A. Lüdeke, V. Schlott, and A. Streun, Determination of a small vertical electron beam profile and emittance at the Swiss Light Source, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **591**, 437 (2008).
 - [3] R. Akre *et al.*, Commissioning of the LCLS linac and bunch compressors, in *Proceedings of FEL08, Gyeongju, Korea* (2008), pp. 548–551, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2008/papers/fraau04.pdf>.
 - [4] T. Schiffer, P. Hänisch, M. Switka, and W. Hillert, Set up of a synchrotron light monitor at the 2.5 GeV booster synchrotron at ELSA, in *IPAC2014, Dresden, Germany* (2014), pp. 3468–3470, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IPAC2014/papers/thpme098.pdf>.
 - [5] M. M. Ali and M. G. Tiefenback, Bunch length measurements using Synchrotron Light Monitor, in *IPAC2015, Richmond, VA, USA* (2015), pp. 1143–1145, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IPAC2015/papers/mopwi002.pdf>.
 - [6] L. Torino, N. Carmignani, and A. Franchi, Momentum compaction measurement using synchrotron radiation, *IBIC2018, Shanghai, China* (2018), pp. 66–70, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/ibic2018/papers/mopa17.pdf>.
 - [7] C. Thomas, G. Rehm, I. Martin, and R. Bartolini, X-ray pinhole camera resolution and emittance measurement, *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **13**, 022805 (2010).
 - [8] SwissFEL Conceptual Design Report, PSI Bericht Nr., 2012.
 - [9] T. Schietinger *et al.*, Commissioning experience and beam physics measurements at the SwissFEL Injector Test Facility, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **19**, 100702 (2016).
 - [10] B. Keil, R. Baldinger, R. Ditter, D. Engeler, W. Koprek, R. Kramert, A. Malatesta, F. Marcellini, G. Marinkovic, M. Roggli, M. Rohrer, and M. Stadler, Status of the SwissFEL BPM system, in *Proceedings of IBIC2015, Melbourne, Australia, September 2015*, TUPB065, pp. 497–501, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IBIC2015/papers/tupb065.pdf>.
 - [11] G. L. Orlandi, M. Aiba, S. Bettoni, B. Beutner, H. Brands, R. Ischebeck, E. Prat, P. Peier, T. Schietinger, V. Schlott, and V. Thominet, Bunch-compressor transverse profile monitors of the SwissFEL Injector Test Facility, in *Proceedings of IBIC2012, Tsukuba, Japan* (2012), MOPB82, pp. 271–275, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IBIC2012/papers/mopb82.pdf>.
 - [12] G. L. Orlandi, M. Aiba, F. Baerenbold, S. Bettoni, B. Beutner, H. Brands, P. Craievich, F. Frei, R. Ischebeck, M. Pedrozzi, E. Prat, T. Schietinger, and V. Schlott, Characterization of compressed bunches in the SwissFEL Injector Test Facility, in *Proceedings of IBIC2013, Oxford, UK* (2013), TUPF08, pp. 515–518, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IBIC2013/papers/tupf08.pdf>.
 - [13] P. Craievich, R. Ischebeck, F. Löhl, G. L. Orlandi, and E. Prat, Transverse deflecting structures for bunch length and slice emittance measurements on SwissFEL, in *Proceedings of FEL2013, New York, NY, USA* (2013), TUPSO14, pp. 236–241, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2013/papers/tupso14.pdf>.
 - [14] F. Frei, I. Gorgisyan, B. Smit, G. L. Orlandi, B. Beutner, E. Prat, R. Ischebeck, and V. Schlott, Development of electron bunch compression monitors for SwissFEL, in *Proceedings of IBIC2013, Oxford, UK* (2013), WEPC36, pp. 769–771, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IBIC2013/papers/wepc36.pdf>.
 - [15] F. Frei, V. Arsov, H. Brands, A. Saa Hernandez, R. Ischebeck, F. Löhl, B. Kalantari, R. Kalt, B. Keil, W. Koprek, G. L. Orlandi, T. Schilcher, and V. Schlott, Experimental results of diagnostics response for longitudinal phase space, in *Proceedings of FEL2014, Basel, Switzerland* (2014), THB02, pp. 657–662, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/FEL2014/papers/thb02.pdf>.