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#### Abstract

We propose a new approach for description of orientational phase transitions that utilizes the specific features of the orientational energy and entropy. The approach is applied to build a model for nematic phases in materials with non-polar parallelepiped-type molecules with symmetry $D_{2 h}$. The model operates with complex order parameters, generalizes the Landau-deGennes theory and predicts the existence of a biaxial nematic phase for the forth order expansion of free energy.


PACS numbers: $64.70 . \mathrm{mf}$, $61.30 . \mathrm{Gd}$

Introduction. An orientational order of anisometric molecules provides a rich variety of phases and phase transitions in liquid crystals, polymers and other soft matter systems [13]. Recently, orientational transitions in materials with molecules of complex non-symmetric shape have attracted the strong interest because of the possibility of biaxial nematic phase, see e.g. review [4]. However there is no commonly accepted view on the existence and observation of the biaxial nematic phase in thermotropic liquid crystals yet. [5-11]. The theoretical studies of the liquid crystal phases are usually performed within three approaches: (1) the microscopic models where the specific intermolecular interaction is averaged over orientations of neighboring molecules to produce the mean potential [12-14], (2) the Landau phenomenological power expansion of the free energy in terms of the corresponding order parameters (OPs) [1, 2, 15-17], and (3) computer simulations [18]. Orientationally-ordered phases are often characterized by the multicomponent OPs, thus even the lowest fourth order Landau expansion contains many terms, e.g. 14 terms for nematic phases [19].

In this Rapid Communication, we propose a new approach for description of orientational phase transitions that utilizes the following specific features of the orientational energy $E$ and entropy $S$ : (a) $S$ possesses an additional symmetry in comparison with $E$, being invariant under rotation of the molecular frame; and (b) $E$ contributes only to the second order terms because the pair molecular interaction is dominant. The approach is based on minimization of the scaled orientational free energy $\bar{F}=F / T=E / T-S$ instead of $F$ because $\bar{F}$ obeys the standard assumption of the Landau theory that only the second order terms are temperature dependent. We apply the approach to build a model for nematic phases in materials with non-polar parallelepiped-type molecules with symmetry $D_{2 h}$. The presented model introduces complex OPs, generalizes the Landau-de Gennes (LdeG) theory and predicts the existence of a biaxial nematic phase for the fourth order expansion of $\bar{F}$.

General approach. We derive $\bar{F}$ per molecule considering $\bar{F}$ of the isotropic state as zero reference point and using the same units for energy and temperature with the Boltzmann constant $k_{B}=1$. The orientational order is described either by symmetric traceless tensor OPs $[1,15-17]$ or by the averaged Wigner D-functions $\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle[13,20-22]$. We chose the latter because $D_{m k}^{L}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ form a complete set of orthogonal functions of the Euler angles $\boldsymbol{\Omega}=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$ [23]; $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ define the molecular orientation through rotation $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \mathbb{M}$ from the laboratory frame $\mathbb{L}$ to the molecular frame $\mathbb{M}$. A set of $\operatorname{OPs}\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle$ obtained by averaging with the single molecule orientational distribution function $f(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ is complete and is
equivalent to $f(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\boldsymbol{\Omega})=\sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \frac{2 L+1}{8 \pi^{2}} \sum_{m, k=-L}^{L}\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle D_{m k}^{L *}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{m k}^{L *}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})=(-1)^{m-k} D_{-m-k}^{L}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$. OPs $\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle$ provide a unified description of orientationally ordered phases: polar, nematic, and tetrahedral phases correspond to $L=1,2,3$, respectively.

Being scalars, $E$ and $S$ are invariant under the rotation $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ from one laboratory frame $\mathbb{L}$ to another $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ with the Euler angles $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. If we neglect the intermolecular correlations, $E$ contains only the second order terms with the same $L$ [22]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m, k=-L}^{L} U_{L}\left(k_{1} k_{2}\right)\left\langle D_{m k_{1}}^{L}\right\rangle\left\langle D_{-m k_{2}}^{L}\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{L}\left(k_{1} k_{2}\right)$ are the parameters of intermolecular interactions.
We assume that $S$ possesses an additional symmetry: the expression for $S$ does not depend on the choice of the molecular frame and thus is invariant under the rotation $\mathbb{M} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{M}^{\prime}$ from one molecular frame $\mathbb{M}$ to another $\mathbb{M}^{\prime}$. The reason for this 'molecular isotropy' is that $S$ is expressed through the invariant integral of the orientational distribution function $f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\nu}\right)$ over the orientational space $\Omega^{\nu}$ of the $\nu$ molecules' system

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\nu^{-1} \int f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\nu}\right) \log \left[\left(8 \pi^{2}\right)^{\nu} f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\nu}\right)\right] \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\nu} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can directly prove this assumption if we neglect the orientational correlations and $f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\nu}\right)=\prod_{n=1}^{\nu} f\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{n}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{n}$ is a set of the Euler angles of the $n^{t h}$ molecule. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\int f(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \log \left[8 \pi^{2} f(\boldsymbol{\Omega})\right] \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we construct $S$ as an invariant power series in $\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle$ using the power expansion for $\log (1+x)$ in (4), where 1 stems from the term $L=0$ in (1); expressions (4) and (1) remain the same in different $\mathbb{M}$ and therefore the power series does not depend on $\mathbb{M}$ either.

In the standard models, the energy (2) usually contains OPs with one or few values of $L, L \in\left\{\bar{L}_{i}\right\}$. In this case, we can a priory maximize $S$ with respect to all $\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle$ with $L \notin\left\{\bar{L}_{i}\right\}$. Then the maximized entropy $\bar{S}$ remains invariant and contains only terms with $L \in\left\{\bar{L}_{i}\right\}$ that can be derived using their transformational properties.

Thus the proposed approach contains the following steps: (a) selection of the orientational OPs $\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle$ that describe the phases of interest and determine the expression for orientational energy $E$, (b) derivation of the invariant terms of $\bar{S}$ that contain $\left\langle D_{m k}^{L}\right\rangle$, (c) determination of the phase diagram by minimization of scaled free energy $\bar{F}=E / T-S$.

Free energy for nematics with molecular symmetry $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2 h}}$. To illustrate our approach and demonstrate how the invariant form of $\bar{S}$ is derived, we present a model for nematic phases in materials with molecular symmetry $D_{2 h}$. The nematic phases are described by the OPs $R_{m k}=\left\langle D_{m k}^{2}\right\rangle$ and by energy (2) with $L=2 . D_{2 h}$ molecules in nematic phases have four independent OPs, that have the simplest representation $R_{00}, R_{20}=R_{ \pm 20}, R_{02}=R_{0 \pm 2}$, $R_{22}=R_{ \pm 2 \pm 2}$ in the molecular frame defined by the symmetry axes and planes and in the laboratory frame along the directors [20, 21]. $R_{00}$ and $R_{20}$ describe, respectively, the uniaxial and biaxial orientational orderings of the long molecular axis $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{3}}$ and related to the traceless tensor order parameter $\mathbf{Q}=\left\langle\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{3}} \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{3}}\right\rangle-\boldsymbol{I} / 3[1,3] . \quad R_{02}$ and $R_{22}$ describe, respectively, the uniaxial and biaxial orderings of the short axes $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1,2}$ and are equivalent to the tensor $\mathbf{B}=\left\langle\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{1}}-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{2}}\right\rangle[14]$. The scaled orientational energy $\bar{E}=E / T$ is defined as [22]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}=-\frac{u}{2}\left[R_{00}^{2}+2 R_{20}^{2}+4 \gamma\left(R_{00} R_{02}+2 R_{20} R_{22}\right)+4 \lambda\left(R_{02}^{2}+2 R_{22}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=U_{2}(00) / T$ is proportional to the inverse temperature and $\gamma=U_{2}( \pm 20) / U_{2}(00)$ and $\lambda=U_{2}( \pm 2, \pm 2) / U_{2}(00)$ are dimensionless parameters that describe the anisotropy of intermolecular interaction [24].

The general expression of the power expansion of $\bar{S}$ in $R_{m k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}=-\sum_{N, m_{n}, k_{n}} H_{N}\left(m_{1}, k_{1}, \ldots m_{N}, k_{N}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{N} R_{m_{n} k_{n}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invariant with respect to the rotations of the laboratory $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ and molecular $\mathbb{M} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{M}^{\prime}$ frames. Because of the independence of the rotations with $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$, we present $H_{N}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N}\left(m_{1}, k_{1}, \ldots m_{N}, k_{N}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \beta} H_{N}^{\alpha \beta} h_{N}^{\alpha}\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{N}\right) h_{N}^{\beta *}\left(k_{1}, \ldots k_{N}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $H_{N}^{\alpha \beta}$ is an arbitrary numeric coefficient for an invariant term and $h_{N}^{\alpha}\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{n}, \ldots m_{N}\right)$ is the $\alpha^{\text {th }}$ solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m_{n}} h_{N}^{\alpha}\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{N}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{N} D_{m_{n} l_{n}}^{2}(\mathbf{\Phi})=h_{N}^{\alpha}\left(l_{1}, \ldots l_{N}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. Using the standard exressions for the products of D-functions [23], we obtain the solutions of (8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N}^{\alpha}\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{N}\right)=\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left\langle M_{n-1} \bar{m}_{n-1} 2 m_{n} \mid M_{n} \bar{m}_{n}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{m}_{n}=\bar{m}_{n-1}+m_{n}, M_{0}=\bar{m}_{0}=M_{N}=\bar{m}_{N}=0$, and $\alpha$ runs over $\alpha_{N}$ possible sets $\left\{M_{1} \ldots M_{N-1}\right\}$ that provide non-zero products of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $\left\langle M_{n-1} \bar{m}_{n-1} 2 m_{n} \mid M_{n} \bar{m}_{n}\right\rangle$ in (9), see Fig. 1. There is no solution for $N=1$, and there are single solutions for $N=2$ and for $N=3$. For higher orders $N \geq 4$, the number of solutions of (9) $\alpha_{N}$ drastically increases: $\alpha_{4}=5, \alpha_{5}=16, \alpha_{6}=65$, etc. Thus expressions (6), (7), and (9) contain $\alpha_{N}^{2}$ invariant $N^{t h}$ order terms, however these $\alpha_{N}^{2}$ terms may contain the same combinations of $R_{m k}$ and the actual number of independent invariants is substantially smaller.

We use Mathematica ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ to analyze terms in $\bar{S}$, (6), and have found that the fourth order entropy $\bar{S}_{4}$ has 4 independent invariants:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}_{4}=-\left(\frac{a}{2} I_{2}-\frac{b}{3} I_{3}+\frac{c_{1}}{4} I_{2}^{2}+\frac{c_{2}}{4} I_{4}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{2}=R_{00}^{2}+2\left(R_{20}^{2}+R_{02}^{2}\right)+4 R_{22}^{2}, I_{3}=R_{00}^{3}+24 R_{20} R_{02} R_{22}+6 R_{00}\left(2 R_{22}^{2}-R_{20}^{2}-R_{02}^{2}\right)$, and $I_{4}=J_{2}^{2} . J_{2}=4\left(R_{00} R_{22}-R_{20} R_{02}\right)$ is the second order so-called psuedoinvariant because it changes its sign under $\pi / 2$ rotation around the long molecular axis or the long director.

The sixth order expansion has additional 7 terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}_{6}=\bar{S}_{4}-\left(\frac{d_{1}}{5} I_{2} I_{3}+\frac{d_{2}}{5} I_{5}+\frac{e_{1}}{6} I_{2}^{3}+\frac{e_{2}}{6} I_{3}^{2}+\frac{e_{3}}{6} I_{2} I_{4}+\frac{e_{4}}{6} I_{6 s}+\frac{e_{5}}{6} I_{6 a}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{5}=J_{2} J_{3}, I_{s 6}=J_{3}^{2}, J_{3}=6 R_{00} R_{20} R_{02}+3 R_{22}\left(R_{00}^{2}-2 R_{20}^{2}-2 R_{02}^{2}\right)+4 R_{22}^{3}$ is the third order pseudoinvariant, and $I_{a 6}=4\left(R_{02}^{2}-R_{22}^{2}\right)^{3}+3\left[\left(R_{00}^{2}+2 R_{20}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(R_{02}^{2}+2 R_{22}^{2}\right)+\right.$ $\left.2\left(R_{00} R_{02}+2 R_{20} R_{22}\right)^{2}\left(R_{00}^{2}+2 R_{20}^{2}-2 R_{02}^{2}-4 R_{22}^{2}\right)\right]$. The obtained invariants are similar to the terms in Refs.[25, 26].

Expressions (6),(7), and (9) provide a general explicit form for the power expansion of the maximized entropy $\bar{S}$ for nematic OPs $(L=2)$. This form is further simplified by extracting independent combinations of OPs taking into account the molecular symmetry $D_{2 h}(10,11)$. The method is straightforward and can be easily applied for phases with other orientational OPs.

Complex order parameters. To simplify analysis of nematic phases we introduce uniaxial $\tilde{s}=R_{00}+i \sqrt{2} R_{02}=s \mathrm{e}^{i \alpha}$ and biaxial $\tilde{p}=\sqrt{2} R_{20}+2 i R_{22}=p \mathrm{e}^{i \beta}$ complex OPs, where their phases $\alpha$ and $\beta$ determine the ratios between the short and long molecular axis orderings. $\tilde{s}$ and $\tilde{p}$ are equivalent to any set of four order parameters, systematized in [27], e.g. $\tilde{s}=S+i U, \tilde{p}=P+i F$. They also allow us to build the complex tensor OP $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{Q}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \mathbf{B}$ with the diagonal form $\{-(\tilde{s}-\sqrt{3} \tilde{p}) / 3,-(\tilde{s}+\sqrt{3} \tilde{p}) / 3,2 \tilde{s} / 3\}$ in the laboratory frame along the directors.

Complex OPs provide several advantages. First, C determines any second-rank susceptibility tensor $\bar{\chi}$ that is an orientational average of molecular tensor $\chi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\chi}=\chi_{i s o} \mathbf{I}+\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{\chi} \mathbf{C}] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is a unit tensor and $\tilde{\chi}=\chi_{u}-i \sqrt{3} \chi_{b} / 2$. Here $\chi_{i s o}=\left(\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}+\chi_{3}\right) / 3$, $\chi_{u}=\chi_{3}-$ $\left(\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}\right) / 2$ and $\chi_{b}=\chi_{1}-\chi_{2}$ are, respectively, isotropic, uniaxial and biaxial combinations of the diagonal components $\chi_{i}$ of the molecular tensor that is diagonal in the molecular frame $\chi_{i j}=\chi_{i} \delta_{i j}$.

Second, energy (5) and invariants $I_{n}$ in $(10,11)$ are even functions of the amplitude $p$; e.g. $\bar{E}$ contains the defined above $I_{2}=\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{C C}^{*}\right)=s^{2}+p^{2}$ and the complex invariant $\tilde{I}_{2}=\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)=\tilde{s}^{2}+\tilde{p}^{2}:$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{E} & =-\frac{u}{2}\left[\left(1-\tilde{v}-\tilde{v}^{*}\right) I_{2}+\tilde{v} \tilde{I}_{2}+\tilde{v}^{*} \tilde{I}_{2}^{*}\right]  \tag{13}\\
& =-\frac{u}{2}\left\{s^{2}+p^{2}-2 v\left[s^{2} \sin \alpha \sin (\alpha-\theta)+p^{2} \sin \beta \sin (\beta-\theta)\right]\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{v}=\frac{1}{2}-\lambda-i \sqrt{2} \gamma=v \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta}$ is the complex parameter that describes the anisotropy of intermolecular interaction, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2$.

Third, the complex OP representation demonstrates that the presented model is a generalization of the LdeG theory [1, 15, 16], because the sixth order expansion $\bar{F}_{6}=\bar{E}-\bar{S}_{6}$ reduces to the LdeG theory under the assumption $\alpha=\beta=0$, that corresponds to the free molecular rotation around the long axis. However, this assumption is not valid (does not correspond to the absolute minimum) if $\gamma \neq 0$ or $\lambda \neq 0$, see below.

Phase diagram for uniaxial and biaxial nematics. The LdeG theory forbids $N_{b}$ phase in the fourth order expansion, allowing its existence only with the sixth order terms [1, 15, 16]. However, in our model $N_{b}$ phase already appears in the fourth order expansion $\bar{F}_{4}=\bar{E}-\bar{S}_{4}$, which we analyze using $\tilde{s}=s \mathrm{e}^{i \alpha}$ and $\tilde{p}=p \mathrm{e}^{i \beta}$ representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{4}=\bar{F}_{u n i}+\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{0}-g_{2} \cos (2 \beta-\psi)\right] p^{2}+\frac{c_{1}}{4} p^{4} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{u n i}=\frac{s^{2}}{12}\left[6(a-u+u v \cos \theta-u v \cos (2 \alpha-\theta))-4 b s \cos 3 \alpha+3 s^{2} c_{1}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g_{0}=a-u+u v \cos \theta+\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) s^{2} ; g_{2}$ and $\psi$ are, respectively, the amplitude and phase of the complex term $g_{2} \mathrm{e}^{i \psi}=u v \cos \theta+c_{2} s^{2}-2 b s \cos \alpha+i\left[u v \sin \theta+2 s\left(b+c_{1} s \cos \alpha\right) \sin \alpha\right]$.

The uniaxial phase is described by $s_{u}$ and $\alpha_{u}$, obtained by minimization of $F_{u n i}$, (15). The last two terms in $\bar{F}_{\text {uni }}$ create 2-fold and 3-fold radial valleys in polar coordinates ( $s, \alpha$ ) with minima at $\alpha=\pi n+\theta / 2$ and $\alpha=2 \pi n / 3$, respectively. Thus the absolute minimum corresponds either to calamitic phase, $0<\alpha<\theta / 2$, if $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 3$, or to discotic phase, $\pi+\theta / 2<\alpha<2 \pi / 3$ if $\pi / 3 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2$. The phase transition between calamitic and discotic phases is impossible because the balance between them is not affected by temperature factor $u$. Below we consider the case, when $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 3$ and the uniaxial phase is calamitic with $0<\alpha<\theta / 2 \leq \pi / 6$.

To simplify analysis of $(14,15)$, we set $a=c_{1}=1$ without losing generality, because $(14,15)$ transform into the normalized free energies $\left(\hat{F}_{4}, \hat{F}_{u n i}\right)=\left(\bar{F}, \bar{F}_{u n i}\right) a^{2} / c_{1}$, with normalized OP amplitudes $(\hat{s}, \hat{p})=(s, p) \sqrt{c_{1} / a}$ and parameters $\hat{b}=b / \sqrt{c_{1} a}, \hat{c}_{2}=c_{2} / c_{1}$, $\hat{u}=u / a=T^{*} / T$, where $T^{*}$ is the low temperature limit of the metastable isotropic phase; parameter $\tilde{v}=v \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta}$ and phases $\alpha, \beta, \psi$ remain unchanged. The normalization also allows us to analyze the nematic phases only when $\hat{u}<1.6$, because the temperature range of nematic phases rarely exceeds $150^{\circ}$.

For small $v$, both $N_{u}$ and $N_{b}$ phases occur; typical temperature dependencies of OPs are shown in Fig.2. The $N_{b}$ phase is enclosed by two $N_{u}$ phases with second order phase transitions between them, $N_{u} \stackrel{2}{\leftrightarrow} N_{b}$, Fig.2.

When $\theta<\pi / 4$, the effect of $\theta$ on the phase diagram is rather small, because $\alpha \lesssim \theta / 8$ and $\beta=\psi / 2 \approx \pi / 2$, Fig.2. Figure 3 presents phase diagrams in $\{v, \hat{u}\}$ coordinates for several sets of $\left\{\hat{b}, \hat{c}_{2}\right\}$ parameters, when $\theta=0$ and $\hat{F}_{4}$ reaches the minimum at $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=\pi / 2 . N_{b}$ phase occurs if $v<v_{b}=\left(\hat{b}^{2}-4 \hat{c}_{2}+2 \sqrt{\hat{b}^{2}-2 \hat{b}^{2} \hat{c}_{2}+4 \hat{c}_{2}}\right) /\left(8-2 \hat{b}^{2}\right) . N_{b}$ phase appears under the cooling ( $\hat{u}$ increasing) through $N_{u} \stackrel{2}{\leftrightarrow} N_{b}$ transition when $v_{b}>v>v_{t}=\hat{b}^{2}\left(3-2 \hat{c}_{2}\right) /\left(9-2 \hat{b}^{2}\right)$, or through $I \stackrel{1}{\leftrightarrow} N_{b}$ transition when $v<v_{t} ;\left\{v_{t}, \hat{u}_{t}=1-2 \hat{b}^{2} / 9\right\}$ is the triple point. If $\hat{c}_{2}>0$,
the further cooling can result in the second low-temperature $N_{u}$ phase either through the second order transition, $N_{b} \stackrel{2}{\leftrightarrow} N_{u}$ or through the first order transition, $N_{b} \stackrel{1}{\leftrightarrow} N_{u}$, Fig.3. The temperature range of $N_{b}$ phase is enhanced by $\hat{b}$ and is suppressed by $\hat{c}_{2}$ and $v$. The shape of the phase diagrams in Fig. 3 is similar to that observed in lyotropic materials [28]. However, this similarity should not be overstated as both calamitic and discotic uniaxial phases are present and may be caused by micelle shape transformation that effectively changes the interaction between micelles [28].

The obtained results clearly demonstrate why the LdeG theory shows no $N_{b}$ phase for the fourth order expansion. The LdeG theory corresponds to the assumption $\beta=0$ where as the minimum of $\bar{F}_{4}$ for $N_{b}$ phase occurs when $\beta=\psi / 2 \approx \pi / 2$.

Conclusions. We demonstrated a new approach for description of orienational phase transitions. The approach provides a straightforward method for minimization of scaled free energy $\bar{F}=E / T-S$ in the space of selected orientational OPs that describe the phases of interest. We applied this approach for nematic phases with molecular symmetry $D_{2 h}$ building a model that generalizes the LdeG theory and predicts the biaxial $N_{b}$ phase for the fourth order expansion of $\bar{F} . \bar{F}$ has only the second order temperature dependent term in other theoretical models, e.g. Bragg-Williams theory, and may be useful for description of various types of phase transitions.
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## Figure Captions

FIG. 1 Chains of arrows represent the solutions (9); each arrow $\left\{M_{n-1}, M_{n}\right\}$ corresponds
to $\left\langle M_{n-1} \tilde{m}_{n-1} 2 m_{n} \mid M_{n} \tilde{m}_{n}\right\rangle$.
FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependencies of normalized OP amplitudes $\hat{s}, \hat{p}$ (solid) and OP phases $\alpha, \beta$ (dashed) in $N_{u}$ (thin) and $N_{b}$ (thick) phases for $\hat{b}=0.5$, $\hat{c}_{2}=0.2, v=0.09$, and $\theta=\pi / 18$. The vertical line at $\hat{u}_{i u}=T^{*} / T_{i u}$, corresponds to the phase transition between isotropic and $N_{u}$ phases at the temperature $T_{i u}$. Note that $\hat{s}=0.37$ at $\hat{u}_{i u}$, thus the normalization factor for $\hat{s}$ and $\hat{p}$ is close to 1 .

FIG. 3 (Color online) Phase diagrams in $\{\hat{u}, v\}$ plane for $\theta=0$ and different sets of $\hat{b}$ and $\hat{c}_{2}:(1) \hat{b}=0.5, \hat{c}_{2}=0.0 ;(2) \hat{b}=0.5, \hat{c}_{2}=0.2$; (3) $\hat{b}=0.6, \hat{c}_{2}=0.2$. Curves correspond to phase transitions: $I \stackrel{1}{\leftrightarrow} N_{u}$ (thin solid), $I \stackrel{1}{\leftrightarrow} N_{b}$ (thick solid), $N_{u} \stackrel{2}{\leftrightarrow} N_{b}$ (dotted), $N_{u} \stackrel{1}{\leftrightarrow} N_{b}$ (dashed).
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